arXiv:1201.3785v1 [math.AG] 18 Jan 2012

[1]

THE GEOMETRY ON SMOOTH TOROIDAL COMPACTIFICATIONS OF SIEGEL VARIETIES

SHING-TUNG YAU AND YI ZHANG

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Cusps of Siegel varieties from view of Hodge theory 6
1.1. Homogenous rational polarized VHSs on Siegel spaces 6
1.2. Cusps on Siegel spaces 9
1.3. Polarized Mixed Hodge structures attached to cusps 11
2. Toroidal compactifications and their infinity boundary divisors 17
2.1. Equivalent toroidal embeddings 17
2.2. Admissible families of polyhedral decompositions 19
2.3. General toroidal compactifications of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ 23
2.4. Infinity boundary divisors on toroidal compactifications 26
3. Canonical volume forms and constrained conditions of decompositions of cones 34
3.1. Volume forms of the Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g$ associated to cusps 34
3.2. Examples on Local volume forms of low-degree Siegel varieties associated to central cone decompositions 37
3.3. Global volume forms on Siegel varieties $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ 38
3.4. Restraint conditions of decompositions of cones from Kähler-Einstein equations 43
4. Asymptotic behaviour of logarithmical canonical line bundles on smooth toroidal compactifications of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ 46
4.1. Some lemmas on local volume functions 50
4.2. Behaviors of logarithmical canonical line bundles 54
4.3. Calculation on intersection numbers and non ampleness of logarithmical canonical bundles 58
References 69

Introduction

Throughout this paper, g is an integer more than two.

Siegel varieties are locally symmetric varieties. They are important and interesting in algebraic geometry and number theory because they arise as moduli spaces for Abelian varieties with a polarization and a level structure.

The purpose of this paper is to study smooth toroidal compactifications of Siegel varieties and their applications, we also try to understand the Kähler-Einstein metrics on Siegel varieties through the compactifications. We discuss the geometric aspects of the theory after the works of Ash-Mumford-Rapoport-Tai and Faltings-Chai. Later advances in algebraic geometry have given us many very effective tools for studying these varieties and their toroidal compactifications.

---

[1] Yi Zhang was supported in part by the NSFC Grant(#10731030) of Key Project "Algebraic Geometry"

Date: January 19, 2012.

There is a general theory of compactifications of all locally symmetric varieties D/Γ(D a bounded symmetric domain, $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ an arithmetic subgroup). Every variety D/Γ has its Stake-Baily-Borel compactification, which is a canonical minimal compactification. But this compactification has rather bad singularities. In another direction, Ash, Mumford, Rapoport and Tai, in their collaborated book [AMRT], use the theory of toroidal embedding to construct a whole class of compactifications with mild singularities, including, when Γ is neat, smooth compactifications. Faltings and Chai use purely algebraic method to construct arithmetic toroidal compactifications of Siegel varieties.

A toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ of a Siegel variety $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} := \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$($\mathfrak{H}_g$ the genus-g Siegel space, $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{H}_g)$ an arithmetic subgroup) is totally determined by a combinatorial condition : an admissible family of polyhedral decompositions of certain positive cones. As well known, the natural Bergman metric on $\mathfrak{H}_g$ is Kähler-Einstein. The first author believes that the intrinsic Kähler-Einstein property on an open manifold M should be helpful for finding a good compactification $\overline{M}$ of M, and he has thought this problem for a ling time. In this paper, we can assert that the Kähler-Einstein metric on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ endows some restraint combinatorial conditions for all toroidal smooth compactifications of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$(Theorem 3.7, Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 in Section 3). Let us explain this result : Let $\sigma_{\max}$ be an admissible top-dimensional polyhedral cone with $N = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}(= \frac{g(g+1)}{2})$ edges $\rho_1, \cdots \rho_N$. Each edge ρ_i of $\sigma_{\max}$ corresponds to an irreducible components D_i of the boundary divisor $D_\infty := \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. Assume that D_∞ is normal crossing. Then the sections s_i of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ defining $D_i (i = 1, \cdots, N)$ give us a global coordinate system on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ such that we can choose a suitable Hermitian metric $||\cdot||_i$ on each line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ and the volume form of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ can be represented by

$$\Phi_{g,\Gamma} = \frac{d\mathcal{V}_g}{(\prod_{j=1}^N ||s_i||_i^2) F_\Gamma^{g+1}(\log ||s_1||_1, \cdots, \log ||s_N||_N)}. \tag{0.0.1}$$

where $d\mathcal{V}_g$ is a global smooth volume form on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$, and F_Γ is a homogenous real polynomial of degree g. Moreover, the coefficients of F_Γ in the formula 0.0.1 are totally determined by the cone $\sigma_{\max}$ and Γ(Theorem 3.2). On the other hand, the unique Kähler-Einstein metric on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ guarantees the following equality

$$(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^N = N!\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$$

on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. This equality defines a system of real polynomials, and the system of all coefficients of F_Γ gives a nature solution to that system of real polynomials. Moreover, that system of real polynomials defines an affine variety $\mathfrak{Q}_g$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is dependent only on $\mathfrak{H}_g$. The important thing is that the set of all admissible top-dimensional polyhedral cones has an injection into the set $\mathfrak{Q}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ of all integral point of $\mathfrak{Q}_g$(Theorem 3.7).

As an important application of the formula 0.0.1 in Algebraic geometry, we study the asymptotic behaviour of logarithmical canonical line bundles on smooth toroidal compactifications of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$(Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.14 and Theorem 4.16 in Section 4). We find all logarithmical cotangent bundles degenerate sharply even though $K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}} + D_\infty$'s are big and numerically effective(cf.[Mum77]). For convenience, we fix a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ and write

$$D_\infty = \bigcup_j D_j,\ D_{i,\infty} := \bigcup_{j\neq i} D_j \cap D_i, \quad \text{and } D_i^* := D_i \setminus D_{i,\infty}$$

Mumford also shows that the form $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is a current on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ representing $c_1(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}} + D_\infty)$ in cohomology class. Using the formula 0.0.1, we obtain that $\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ can be extended to a continuous form on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}\cup\bigcup_j D_j^*$, and that the restriction of this continuous form to each D_i^*(denote by $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})$) is a smooth form on D_i^*. Moreover, the form $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})$ on D_i^* has Poincaré growth on $D_{i,\infty}$. Therefore, we can regard $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})$ as a positive closed current on D_i. Moreover, let $||\cdot||_i$ be an arbitrary Hermitian metric on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ for each D_i, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}\cdot D_{i_1}\cdots D_{i_d}\\ =\;&(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}\int_{D_{i_l}}\mathrm{Rest}_{D_{i_l}}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d})\wedge(\bigwedge_{1\leq j\leq d,j\neq l}c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_{i_j}),||\cdot||_{i_j}))\end{aligned}$$

for any $d(1\leq d\leq N-1)$ irreducible components $D_{i_1},\cdots,D_{i_d}$ of the boundary divisor D_∞ and any integer $l\in[1,d]$,(Theorem 4.14). Furthermore, we observe that irreducible components of D_∞ are all from lower genus Siegel varieties and the type of $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})$ is similar with the type of $\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$. Due to this structure of self-similarity, we use the method of recurrence to deduce an integral formula : For any $d(1\leq d\leq N-1)$ different irreducible components $D_1,\cdots,D_d$ of D_∞ satisfying $\bigcap_{l=1}^{d}D_l\neq\emptyset$, there is

$$\begin{aligned}&(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}\cdot D_1\cdots D_d\\ =\;&\int_{\bigcap_{k=1}^{d}D_k}\mathrm{Rest}_{\bigcap_{i=1}^{d}D_i}(\mathrm{Rest}_{\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1}D_i}\cdots(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1}((\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d})\cdots)).\end{aligned}$$

A direct consequence is that if $d\geq g-1$ then the intersection number

$$(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}\cdot D_1\cdots D_d=0$$

for any d different irreducible components $D_1,\cdots,D_d$ of D_∞. Therefore, the divisor $K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty$ on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is never ample(Theorem 4.16).

In general, the boundaries of smooth toroidal compactifications have self-intersections(cf.[AMRT] and [FC]). However, in most geometric applications, we would like to have a nice toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ such that the added infinity boundary $D_\infty=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}\setminus\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is a normal crossing divisor, for example, in Mumford's work of Hirzebruch's proportionality theorem in the non-compact case(cf.[Mum77]). In Section 2, we study the boundaries of smooth toroidal compactifications explicitly and we actually obtain a sufficient and necessary combinatorial condition for toroidal compactifications with normal crossing boundary divisor(Theorem 2.21 and Theorem 2.24 in Section 2).

On the other hand, the degenerate limits of Abelian varieties have been studied by Mumford, Oda-Seshadri, Nakamura and Namikawa. Deligne's Theorem(cf.[Del71]) shows that the nth cohomology group of an arbitrary complex variety X carries a canonical mixed Hodge structure, and that the structure is reduced to an ordinary Hodge structure of pure weight n if X is a complete nonsingular variety. Thus, toroidal compactifications of Siegel varieties can be related back to degenerations of Abelian varieties or to degenerations of weight one Hodge structures. Roughly, there is a correspondence between the category of degenerations of Abelian varieties and the category of limits of weight one Hodge structures. The Hodge-theoretic interpretation of the boundary $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}\setminus\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ of toroidal compactification is given by Carlson, Cattani and Kaplan in [CCK79]. Thus, we believe that any rational boundary component(cusp) of a Siegel variety must parameterize some class of mixed Hodge structures. That is the motivation for our studying cusps of Siegel varieties. Recently, Kato and Usui generalize the work of Carlson-Cattani-Kaplan, and use the idea of logarithmic geometry to give toroidal compactifications of period domains from view of mixed Hodge theory(cf.[KU]). We explore this topic, and obtain that the Hodge-theoretic interpretation of the boundary of Siegel varieties coincides with the classic description given by Satake-Baily-Borel in [Sat] and [BB66]. Actually, we show that any cusp of Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g$ can be identified with the set of certain weight one polarized mixed Hodge structures(Theorem 1.17 in Section 1).

The results of this paper, the methods and the techniques in this paper, are essential to all locally symmetric varieties. Thus, the results of this paper can be generalized to general locally symmetric varieties with same methods and techniques in this paper.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Professor Kang Zuo and Professor Ching-Li Chai for useful suggestions, and the second author particularly thanks Dr. Xuanming Ye. We are grateful to Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences and Mathematics Department National Taiwan University, the final version of the paper was finished during the period of our visiting TIMS. The second author is also grateful to Mathematics Department Harvard University for hospitality during 2009/2010.

We begin by establishing the basic notation.

Notation.

For any real Lie group $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{P}^+$ is the identity component of $\mathcal{P}$ for the real topology. For any linear space L_k over a field k, a finite field extension $k \subset K$ allows we to define a K-linear space $L_K := L_k \otimes K$.

Throughout this paper, we fix a real vector space $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ of dimensional $2g$ and fix a standard symplectic form $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_g \\ I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. For any non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form $\widetilde{\psi}$ on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, it is known that there is an element $T \in \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ such that ${}^tT\widetilde{\psi}T = \psi$. We also fix a symplectic basis $\{e_i\}_{1\leq i\leq 2g}$ of the standard symplectic space $(V_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi)$ such that

$$\psi(e_i, e_{g+i}) = -1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq g, \text{ and } \psi(e_i, e_j) = 0 \text{ for } |j-i| \neq g. \tag{0.0.2}$$

- Denote by $V_{\mathbb{Z}} := \oplus_{1\leq i\leq 2g}\mathbb{Z}e_i$, then $V_{\mathbb{R}} = V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a standard lattice in $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. In this paper, we fix the lattice $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and fix the rational space $V_{\mathbb{Q}} := V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.
- Let $V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)}$ be the rational subspace of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ spanned by $\mathbb{Q}$-vectors $\{e_{k+1}, \cdots, e_g\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq g-1$, and $V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(g)} := \{0\}$. Define $V^{(k)} := V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ for $0 \leq k \leq g$.
- For any $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\mathfrak{R}$, we define $V_{\mathfrak{R}} := V_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}$ and we write

$$\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathfrak{R}) := \{h \in \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathfrak{R}}) \mid \psi(hu, hv) = \psi(u, v) \text{ for all } u, v \in V_{\mathfrak{R}}\}. \tag{0.0.3}$$

Since $\det M = \pm 1$ for all $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$, $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Q})$. Define

$$\Gamma_g = \Gamma_g(1) := \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z}), \ \Gamma_g(n) := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv I_{2g} \mod n\} \ \forall n \geq 2. \tag{0.0.4}$$

Each congruent group $\Gamma_g(n)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ with finite index.

For any free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we use W to represent a linear space over $\mathbb{Z}$(i.e, $W(\mathfrak{R}) := W_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}$ for any $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\mathfrak{R}$), and we define $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ to be the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ representing the functor ($\mathbb{Q}$ − algebras $\longrightarrow$ Groups, $\mathfrak{R} \longmapsto \mathrm{GL}(W_{\mathfrak{R}})$) (cf.[Mil]). We always write $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ for $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ if $\mathrm{rank} W_{\mathbb{Z}} = n$. For the fixed free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we also define $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \psi)$ to be the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ representing the functor

$$\mathbb{Q}-\text{algebras} \longrightarrow \text{Groups}, \quad \mathfrak{R} \longmapsto \text{Sp}(V,\psi)(\mathfrak{R}) := \text{Sp}(g,\mathfrak{R}).$$

We know that $\text{Sp}(V,\psi)$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$.

- Two subgroups S_1 and S_2 of $\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$ are **commensurable** if $S_1 \cap S_2$ has finite index in both S_1 and S_2. A subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$ is said to be **arithmetic** if $\rho(\Gamma)$ is commensurable with $\rho(\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})) \cap \text{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ for some embedding $\rho : \text{Sp}(V,\psi) \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \text{GL}(n)$.

  By a result of Borel, a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$ arithmetic if and only if $\rho^{'}(\Gamma)$ is commensurable with $\rho^{'}(\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})) \cap \text{GL}(n^{'},\mathbb{Z})$ for every embedding $\rho^{'} : \text{Sp}(V,\psi) \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \text{GL}(n^{'})$(cf.Chap. VI. [Mil]). Then, a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ is arithmetic if and only if $[\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma] < \infty$.
- Let $k^{'}$ be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$, and $\widetilde{V}_{k^{'}}$ be a $k^{'}$-vector space. As above, we obtain an algebraic group $\text{GL}(\widetilde{V})$ defined over $k^{'}$.

  An automorphism α of a $k^{'}$-vector space is defined to be **neat** (or **torsion free**) if its eigenvalues in $\mathbb{C}$ generate a torsion free subgroup of $\mathbb{C}$. An element $h \in \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$ is said to be **neat**(or **torsion free**) if $\rho(h)$ is neat for one faithful representation $\rho : \text{Sp}(V,\psi) \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \text{GL}(\widetilde{V})$. A subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$ is **neat** if all elements of Γ are torsion free. If an element $h \in \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$ is neat then $\rho^{'}(h)$ is neat for every representation $\rho^{'}$ of $\text{Sp}(V,\psi)$ defined over $k^{'}$(cf.[Mil]). It is known that if $n \geq 3$ then $\Gamma_g(n)$ is a neat arithmetic subgroup of $\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$.
- For any arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})(g \geq 2)$, we can find a neat subgroup $\Gamma^{'} \subset \Gamma$ of finite index. In fact, the neat subgroup $\Gamma^{'}$ can be given by congruence conditions(cf.[Mil]).

The Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g$ of degree g is defined to be the set of all symmetric matrices over $\mathbb{C}$ of degree g whose imaginary parts are positive defined. The simple real Lie group $\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{H}_g$ as $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \bullet \tau := \frac{A\tau+B}{C\tau+D}$.

- Let $o := \sqrt{-1}I_g$ be a fixed point in $\mathfrak{H}_g$. The stabilizer of the point o is isomorphic to the unitary group $\text{U}(g)$. We identify o with $\pi(e)$ where $\pi : \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{H}_g$ is the standard projection. The element $s_o := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$ is an involution of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ leaving the point o as an isolated fixed point, so that $\mathfrak{H}_g$ is an non-compact Hermitian symmetric space.
- From now on, let $G_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$ be the real Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} := \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$, and regard $K_{\mathbb{R}} := \text{U}(g)$ as a real Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Lie}(K_{\mathbb{R}})$.

  With respect to the standard symplectic basis, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} &= \{\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in M(2g,\mathbb{R}) \mid D = -{}^tA,\ {}^tB = B,\ {}^tC = C\}, \\ \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} &= \{\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \in M(2g,\mathbb{R}) \mid {}^tA = -A,\ {}^tB = B\} \\ &\cong \{X = A + \sqrt{-1}B \in M(g,\mathbb{C}) \mid X + \overline{{}^tX} = 0\} =: u(g). \end{aligned}$$

A **Siegel variety** is defined to be $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} := \Gamma\backslash\mathfrak{H}_g$, where Γ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$. A Siegel variety $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is a normal quasi-project variety.

- Any neat arithmetic subgroup Γ of $\text{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$ acts freely on the Siegel Space $\mathfrak{H}_g$, so that the induced $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is a regular quasi-projective complex variety of dimension $g(g+1)/2$.
- A **Siegel variety of degree** g **with level** n is defined to be $\mathcal{A}_{g,n} := \Gamma_g(n)\backslash\mathfrak{H}_g$. Thus, the Siegel varieties $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ $(n \geq 3)$ are quasi-projective complex manifolds.

1. CUSPS OF SIEGEL VARIETIES FROM VIEW OF HODGE THEORY

Let $o := \sqrt{-1}I_g$ be a fixed point in $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

1.1. **Homogenous rational polarized VHSs on Siegel spaces.**

Define

$$\mathrm{U}^1 := \mathrm{U}(1) = \{|z| = 1 \,|\, z \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}_g$ be an arbitrary point. Let $T_\tau(\mathfrak{H}_g)$ be the real tangent space at τ and J_τ the complex structure on $T_\tau(\mathfrak{H}_g)$ induced by the global integral complex structure J of $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

$\mathfrak{H}_g$ is a Hermitian symmetric space, and its Bergman metric B is Kähler. Regard $(\mathfrak{H}_g, B)$ as a Riemannian symmetric space, we have a basic fact:

Lemma 1.1 (Cf.[Hel]&[Del73])**.** *For any $z = a + \sqrt{-1}b \in \mathrm{U}^1$, there is a unique isometric automorphism $u_\tau(z) : \mathfrak{H}_g \to \mathfrak{H}_g$ such that*

- *$u_\tau(z)$ maps τ to τ,*
- *$du_\tau(z) : T_\tau(\mathfrak{H}_g) \to T_\tau(\mathfrak{H}_g)$ is given by $v \mapsto z \cdot v := av + bJ_\tau(v)$.*

The uniqueness of $u_\tau(z)$ ensures that $u_\tau(1) = \mathrm{Id}$ and

$$u_\tau(z_1z_2) = u_\tau(z_1) \circ u_\tau(z_2) = u_\tau(z_2) \circ u_\tau(z_1),\ \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathrm{U}^1.$$

Therefore, we obtain a group homomorphism

$$u_\tau : \mathrm{U}^1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{H}_g) = \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})/\{\pm I_{2g}\},\ z \mapsto u_\tau(z). \tag{1.1.1}$$

Furthermore, we can lift u_τ^2 to be a group homomorphism

$$h_\tau : \mathrm{U}^1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}).$$

Since $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$ is a simply-connected topological space. The uniqueness of $u_\tau(z)$ guarantees that

$$h_{M(\tau)} = Mh_\tau M^{-1},\ \text{for } \forall\tau \in \mathfrak{H}_g, \forall M \in \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}). \tag{1.1.2}$$

Note that $u_o^2(\sqrt{-1})$ is the involution of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ fixing the point o, so $h_o(\sqrt{-1})$ is one of $\{\pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}\}$. Since that $h_o : \mathrm{U}^1 \to \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$ is a group homomorphism and that $h_o(\mathrm{U}^1)$ is a commutative group in $U(g)$, we must have $h_o(\sqrt{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $\mathbb{G}_m := \mathrm{GL}(1)$ be an algebraic torus. A priori $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{G}_{m/\mathbb{Q}}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and thus $\mathbb{G}_m(k) = k^\times$ for any field k containing $\mathbb{Q}$. Following 1.4.4 in [Del73], we define $\mathrm{GSp}(V,\psi)$ to be the quotient $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi) \times \mathbb{G}_m$ by the central subgroup $\{e, (\epsilon, -1)\}$, it is an algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let ι be the composed homomorphism

$$\iota : \mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi) \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi) \times \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(V,\psi),$$

and $t : \mathrm{GSp}(V,\psi) \to \mathbb{G}_m$ the homomorphism given by

$$\mathrm{GSp}(V,\psi)(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}),\ \ [(g,\lambda)] \mapsto \lambda^2.$$

We then have a split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{GSp}(V,\psi) \xrightarrow{t} \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow 1. \tag{1.1.3}$$

According to Deligne's Hodge theory(cf.[Del71],[Del73],[Del79]), each h_τ actually corresponds to a rational Hodge structure on $V_\mathbb{R}$ of pure weight one given by a Hodge filtration

$$F_\tau^\bullet = \left(F_\tau^2 \subset F_\tau^1 \subset F_\tau^0\right) = \left(0 \subset F_\tau^1 \subset V_\mathbb{C}\right)$$

on $V_\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$F^1_\tau = \text{ the subspace of } V_\mathbb{C} \text{ spanned by the column vectors of } \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ I_g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we observe that h_τ is polarized automatically by the standard symplectic form ψ, i.e., $F^\bullet_\tau$ satisfies the following two Riemann-Hodge bilinear relations:

a) $\psi(F^p_\tau, F^q_\tau) = 0$ for $p+q>1$.

b) The Hermitian form $V_\mathbb{C} \times V_\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ $(x,y) \mapsto \psi(C_\tau(x), \overline{y})$ is positive definite.

Proposition 1.2 (Satake-Deligne [Sat]&[Del73]). *Define*

$$\mathfrak{S}_g = \mathfrak{S}(V_\mathbb{R}, \psi) := \{F^1 \in \mathrm{Grass}(g, V_\mathbb{C})\ ) \mid \psi(F^1, F^1) = 0, \sqrt{-1}\psi(F^1, \overline{F^1}) > 0\}.$$

The map $h : \mathfrak{H}_g \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{S}_g \quad \tau \longmapsto F^1_\tau$ *identifies the Siegel space* $\mathfrak{H}_g$ *with the period domain* $\mathfrak{S}_g$*. Moreover, the map* h *is biholomorphic.*

Let $\pi : \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{H}_g \cong \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(g)$ be the standard projection mapping the identity e to the point $o = \sqrt{-1}I_g$. Then, we have the following commutative diagrams of differentials

(1.2.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ad}h_o(z)"] \arrow[d, "d\pi"'] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[d, "d\pi"] \\ T_o(\mathfrak{H}_g) \arrow[r, "d(h_o(z))|_o"] & T_o(\mathfrak{H}_g), \end{tikzcd} \quad \forall z \in \mathrm{U}^1.$$

In particular, we obtain that $\sigma := \mathrm{Ad}(h_o(\sqrt{-1}))$ is an involution of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}))$, and there is a decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$(orthogonal under the no-degenerate Killing form) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Lie}(K) \cong \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{U}(g))$ and $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_o(\mathfrak{H}_g)$. Since $h_o(\mathrm{U}^1)$ is a commutative subgroup in $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$, both $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ are $\mathrm{Ad}(h_o(\mathrm{U}^1))$-invariant. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ad}(h_o(\exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{8})))|_\mathfrak{p}$ is compatible with the complex structure J_o of the tangent space $T_o(\mathfrak{H}_g)$. Thus, the collection $\{J_\tau\}_{\tau \in \mathfrak{H}_g}$ is $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$-invariant and is same as the original global complex structure J on $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

Since $J_\mathfrak{o}$ gives a decomposition $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-$ into $\pm\sqrt{-1}$-eigenspaces, we have :

Corollary 1.3. *For every* $z \in \mathrm{U}^1$*, the adjoint homomorphism* $\mathrm{Ad}(h_o(z)) : \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}(h_o(z))|_{\mathfrak{p}^+} &: \mathfrak{p}^+ \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^+ \qquad v \longmapsto z^2 v, \\ \mathrm{Ad}(h_o(z))|_{\mathfrak{p}^-} &: \mathfrak{p}^- \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^- \qquad v \longmapsto z^{-2} v, \\ \mathrm{Ad}(h_o(z))|_{\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}} &: \ \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C} \qquad v \longmapsto v. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have the following composite homomorphism

$$\mathrm{U}^1 \xrightarrow{h_o} \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{1.3.1}$$

It determines a weight zero real Hodge structure on $\mathfrak{g}$(cf.[Del73],[Del79]). Actually, this real Hodge structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ has type of $\{(-1,1),(0,0),(1,-1)\}$ by the corollary 1.3 :

$$\mathfrak{g}^{0,0} = \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}\ ,\ \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1} = \mathfrak{p}^-.$$

Denote by

$$F^1_o(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1},\ F^0_o(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}^{0,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{1,-1} \text{ and } F^{-1}_o(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}.$$

Lemma 1.4. *Let* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}))$*. We have :*

$$F^p_o(\mathfrak{g}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \,|\, X(F^s_o) \subset F^{s+p}_o\ \forall s\} \quad \textit{for } p = -1, 0, 1,$$

where $F^\bullet_o$ *is the Hodge filtration on* $V_\mathbb{C}$ *given by* h_o*.*

Proof. The composite homomorphism $\mathrm{U}^1 \xrightarrow{h_o} \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}}))$ determines a weight zero real Hodge structure on $\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{R}}))$. Also, the composite homomorphism $\mathrm{U}^1 \xrightarrow{h_o} \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$ gives a weight zero real Hodge structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. The inclusion $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a morphism of Hodge structures by the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Ad}(g)"'] & \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Ad}(g)"] \\ \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\subset"] & \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \end{tikzcd} \qquad \forall g \in \mathrm{Sp}(g,R). \tag{1.4.1}$$

Write $H_o^{s,1-s} := F_o^s/F_o^{s+1}$ for $s = 0, 1$. We obtain

$$\mathfrak{g}^{i,-i} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \,|\, X(H_o^{s,1-s}) \subset H_o^{s+i,1-s-i}\ \forall s\} \quad \text{for } i = -1, 0, 1.$$

as a subset of $\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^{i,-i}$. ☐

Remark. $F_o^0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $F_o^1(\mathfrak{g})$ are then Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular, both $\mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{1,1}$ are commutative complex Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Corollary 1.5 (Deligne [Del79])**.** *Gluing Hodge structures* $\{h_\tau\}_{\tau\in\mathfrak{H}_g}$ *altogether, the local system* $\mathbb{V} := V_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathfrak{H}_g$ *admits a homogenous variation of polarized rational Hodge structures of weight one on* $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

Proof. The relation $\mathfrak{g}^{-1,1} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \,|\, X(H_o^{s,1-s}) \subset H_o^{s-1,2-s}\ \forall s\}$ in the lemma 1.4 shows that the holomorphic tangent bundle of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ is horizontal(cf [Sch73]). ☐

Suppose that Γ is a neat arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$, we immediately have:

- Since $\mathfrak{H}_g$ is simply connected, the fundamental group of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ has $\pi_1(\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}, o) \cong \Gamma$.
- There is a natural local system $\mathbb{V}_{g,\Gamma} := V_{\mathbb{Q}} \times_\Gamma \mathfrak{H}_g$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ given by the fundamental representation
$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}, o) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(V,\psi)(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proposition 1.6. *Let* Γ *be a neat arithmetic subgroup of* $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$. *We have :*

1. *The local system* $\mathbb{V}_{g,\Gamma}$ *admits a variation of polarized rational Hodge structures on* $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma$. *Moreover, the associated period map*
$$h_\Gamma : \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma\backslash\mathfrak{S}_g. \tag{1.6.1}$$
is induced by the isomorphism h *in the proposition 1.2.*
2. *Let* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *be an arbitrary smooth compactification of* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *with simple normal crossing divisor* $D_\infty := \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. *Around the boundary divisor* D_∞, *all local monodromies of any rational PVHS* $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}$ *on* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *are unipotent.*

Proof. By the corollary 1.5, the local system $\mathbb{V} = V_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathfrak{H}_g$ admits a homogenous variation of polarized rational Hodge structures on $\mathfrak{H}_g$. The arguments in Section 4 of [Zuc81] show that the VHS attached to $\mathbb{V}$ can induce a homogenous variation of polarized rational Hodge structures on the local system $\mathbb{V}_\Gamma$, and so the period map h_Γ is given by the $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$-equivariant isomorphism $h : \mathfrak{H}_g \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{S}_g$ in the proposition 1.2.

It is well-known that all local monodromies of the rational PVHS $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}$ around D_∞ are quasi-unipotent(i.e., all eigenvalues of monodromies are roots of the unit). Since $\mathfrak{H}_g$ is simply-connected and Γ is neat, all eigenvalues of monodromies must be the unity, and so these monodromies are unipotent. ☐

1.2. **Cusps on Siegel spaces.** Let $F^0_o(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying $\mathrm{Lie}(F^0_o(G_{\mathbb{C}})) = F^0_o(\mathfrak{g})$, and $F^1_o(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ the subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying $\mathrm{Lie}(F^1_o(G_{\mathbb{C}})) = F^1_o(\mathfrak{g})$. The lemma 1.4 shows immediately that $F^1_o(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the parabolic subgroup preserving the Hodge filtration $F^\bullet_o$, and that $F^1_o(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the unipotent radical of $F^0_o(G_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Proposition 1.7 (The Harish-Chandra Embedding Theorem)**.** *Let* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}))$*. The set* $\mathfrak{S}_g$ *is contained in the largest cell of* $\check{\mathfrak{S}}_g$*. Precisely, we have a map* $\zeta : \mathfrak{S}_g \longrightarrow \overline{F^1_o(\mathfrak{g})}$ $h \mapsto n_h$ *where* $n_h \in \overline{F^1_o(\mathfrak{g})}$ *is determined by* $h = \exp(n_h)h_o$*, and the map* ζ *identifies* $\mathfrak{S}_g$ *with a bounded open subset of* $\overline{F^1_o(\mathfrak{g})}$*.*

Proof. For $i = 1, \cdots, g$, let $u_i := \frac{\sqrt{-1}e_i + e_{-i}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $u_{g+i} := -\sqrt{-1}\,\overline{u_i}$ where $\{e_1, \cdots, e_g, e_{g+1}, \cdots, e_{2g}\}$ is the standard real symplectic basis of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined in 0.0.2. Then, $\{u_1, \cdots, u_g\}$ is a basis of F^1_o and $\{u_1, \cdots, u_g, u_{g+1}, \cdots, u_{2g}\}$ becomes a symplectic basis of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. The space F^1_o is spanned by $u_1, \cdots, u_g$. Since the group $F^1_0(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the unipotent radical of $F^0_o(G_{\mathbb{C}})$, we obtain that

$$a \in F^1_o(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \Longleftrightarrow a \text{ acts trivially on } H := F^1_o \text{ and } V_{\mathbb{C}}/H.$$

We then have :

$$\begin{aligned} n \in \overline{F^1_o(\mathfrak{g})} &\Longleftrightarrow n \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},\ n(\overline{H}) = 0 \text{ and } n(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset \overline{H} \\ &\Longleftrightarrow n \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, n(u_1, \cdots, u_{2g}) = (u_1, \cdots, u_{2g}) \begin{pmatrix} 0_g & 0_g \\ A & 0_g \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

With respect to the basis $\{u_1, \cdots u_{2g}\}$, we write any element in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{C}))$ as a matrix in $M_{2g,2g}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, we obtain that an element $n \in M_{2g,2g}(\mathbb{C})$ is in the Lie algebra $\overline{F^1_o(\mathfrak{g})}$ if and only if $n = \begin{pmatrix} 0_g & 0_g \\ A & 0_g \end{pmatrix}$ with ${}^tA = A$. It is obvious that the map ζ is well-defined and is injective. Furthermore, we have that $n = \begin{pmatrix} 0_g & 0_g \\ A & 0_g \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has $\exp(n)h_0 \in \mathfrak{S}_g$ if and only if A is in the bounded domain

$$D_g := \{B \in M(g,\mathbb{C}) \,|\, {}^tB = B \text{ and } I_g - B\overline{B} > 0\}.$$

□

Using the Harish-Chandra embedding 1.7, we defined

$$\overline{\mathfrak{S}_g} := \exp(\overline{\zeta(\mathfrak{S}_g)^{cl}})h_o$$

where $\overline{\zeta(\mathfrak{S}_g)^{cl}}$ is the closure of $\zeta(\mathfrak{S}_g)$ in $\overline{F^1_o(\mathfrak{g})}$. The set $\partial\mathfrak{S}_g := \overline{\mathfrak{S}_g} - \mathfrak{S}_g$ is the boundary of $\overline{\mathfrak{S}_g}$.

Corollary 1.8.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathfrak{S}_g} &= \{F^1 \in \mathrm{Grass}(g, V_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \psi(F^1, F^1) = 0, \sqrt{-1}\psi(F^1, \overline{F^1}) \geq 0\}, \\ \partial\mathfrak{S}_g &= \{F^1 \in \check{\mathfrak{S}}_g \,|\sqrt{-1}\psi(F^1, \overline{F^1}) \geq 0, \psi(\cdot, \bar{\cdot}) \textit{ is degenerate on } F^1\}. \\ &= \{F^1 \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}_g} \,| F^1 \cap \overline{F^1} \textit{ is a non trivial isotropic space }\} \end{aligned}$$

A **boundary component** of the Siegel space $\mathfrak{S}_g = \mathfrak{S}(V, \psi)$ is a subset in $\partial\mathfrak{S}_g$ given by

$$\mathfrak{F}(W_{\mathbb{R}}) := \{F^1 \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}_g} \mid F^1 \cap \overline{F^1} = W_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \text{ where } W_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ is an isotopic real subspace of } V_{\mathbb{R}}\ \}.$$

A k**-th boundary component** of $\mathfrak{S}(V_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi)$ is a $\mathfrak{F}(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W_{\mathbb{R}} = k$. We note $\mathfrak{F}(\{0\}) = \mathfrak{S}_g$ and other boundary components are subsets of $\partial\mathfrak{S}_g$.

The group $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$ has a natural action on the set of all boundary components as

$$M \bullet \mathfrak{F}(W_{\mathbb{R}}) := \mathfrak{F}(M(W_{\mathbb{R}}))\ \ \forall M \in \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}),$$

and $\overline{\mathfrak{S}_g}$ is a disjoint union of all boundary components. In particular,

$$\partial\mathfrak{S}_g = \overset{\circ}{\bigcup_{\text{nontrivial isotropic } W_{\mathbb{R}}\subset V_{\mathbb{R}}}} \mathfrak{F}(W_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

The **normalizer** of a boundary component $\mathfrak{F}$ is the subgroup $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F})$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R})$ containing of those g such that $g\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{F}$. A boundary component $\mathfrak{F}$ is said to be **rational** if its normalizer $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F})$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$(i.e., there is an algebraic subgroup $N^{\mathfrak{F}}\subset \mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F})^+ = N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})^+$ cf.[Mil]). For convenience, a(k-th) rational boundary component is called to be a(k-th) **cusp**(or a cusp of depth k).

We note that for any two isotropic rationally defined subspaces $W_2\subset W_1$ of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, the set $\{F/W_2 \mid F\in\mathfrak{F}(W_1)\}$ is a cusp of $\mathfrak{S}(W_2^{\perp}/W_2,\psi)$. A k-th cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ always corresponds to a Siegel space of genus $g-k$, in particular $\mathfrak{F}(V^{(k)})\cong\mathfrak{H}_k$.

Remark 1.9 (Cf.(4.15)-(4.16) §5 [Nam])**.** The following conditions are equivalent for a k-th boundary component $\mathfrak{F}(W)$:

i. $\mathfrak{F}(W)$ is rational;
ii. W is an isotropic rationally defined subspaces, i.e., $W = W_{\mathbb{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{R}$ where $W_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isotropic subspace of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$(and so $W_{\mathbb{R}} = (W_{\mathbb{R}}\cap V_{\mathbb{Q}})\otimes\mathbb{R}$);
iii. $\exists M\in\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$ such that $W = M(V^{(k)})$;
iv. $\exists M\in\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $W = M(V^{(k)})$.

Proposition 1.10 (Baily-Borel cf.[BB66],[Del73],[AMRT])**.** *The map* $\mathfrak{F}\mapsto N^{\mathfrak{F}}$ *is a bijection between the set of proper boundary components of* $\mathfrak{S}_g$ *to the set of maximal parabolic algebraic subgroups of* $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi)$. *Moreover, the boundary component* $\mathfrak{F}$ *is rational if and only if* $N^{\mathfrak{F}}$ *is a maximal rational parabolic algebraic subgroup of* $\mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi)$.

For any proper boundary component $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_g$, there is an isotropic subspace $V_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $(V_{\mathbb{R}},\psi)$ with $\mathfrak{F}(V_{\mathfrak{F}})=\mathfrak{F}$, and so there will be an increasing filtration of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$

$$W_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}) = \big(0\subset W_0^{\mathfrak{F}}\subset W_1^{\mathfrak{F}}\subset W_2^{\mathfrak{F}}\big) := \big(0\subset V_{\mathfrak{F}}\subset (V_{\mathfrak{F}})^{\perp}\subset V_{\mathbb{R}}\big). \tag{1.10.1}$$

This filtration 1.10.1 corresponds to a unique morphism $w_{\mathfrak{F}}^{'}:\mathbb{G}_m\to \mathrm{GSp}(V,\psi)$ defined over $\mathbb{R}$. We also define the cocharacter $w_{\mathfrak{F}} := w_{\mathfrak{F}}^{'}w_0^{-1}:\mathbb{G}_m\to\mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi)$ where

$$w_0^{-1}:\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{(e,(\cdot)^{-1})} \mathrm{Sp}(V,\psi)\times\mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(V,\psi).$$

We note that $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$, and that $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if the boundary component $\mathfrak{F}$ is rational. We have the following composed homomorphism :

$$\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{w_{\mathfrak{F}}} \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})\subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})). \tag{1.10.2}$$

Define $\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^i := \{X\in\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \mid \mathrm{Ad}(w_{\mathfrak{F}}(\lambda))X = \lambda^i X, \forall\lambda\in\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R})\}$ and

$$\mathfrak{g}^i := \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^i\cap\mathfrak{g} = \{X\in\mathfrak{g}\mid \mathrm{Ad}(w_{\mathfrak{F}}(\lambda))X = \lambda^i X, \forall\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^{\times}\}. \tag{1.10.3}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{g}^{-2}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^{-0}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^{1}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^{2}\\ &\subset \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^{-2}\oplus\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^{-1}\oplus\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^{0}\oplus\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^{1}\oplus\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^{2} = \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})\end{aligned}$$

by 1.4.1 and 1.10.2. Therefore, the weight morphism $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ endows an increasing filtration on Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$(respectively on $\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$)

$$W_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = (0\subset W_{-2}^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{g})\subset\cdots\subset W_2^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g})$$

with

$$W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^{-2}, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-1}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \text{ and } W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{0}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0}. \tag{1.10.4}$$

Lemma 1.11. *Let* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R}))$. *We have*

$$W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{s}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid X(W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{l}) \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{s+l}\}$$

and

$$[W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{s}(\mathfrak{g}), W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{t}(\mathfrak{g})] \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{s+t}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Proof. Choose subspaces V^j of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that we can write $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{i} = \oplus_{j \leq i} V^j$, and define $V^j = \{0\}$ if $j \notin [-2, 2]$. Similarly as in the proof of the lemma 1.4, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{g}^i = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid X(V^j) \subset V^{j+i} \ \forall j\} \ \text{ for any integer } i \in [-2, 2].$$

by the commutative diagram 1.4.1 and the definition of $\mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbb{R}})^i$ show that

Therefore, the first statement is true, and the second statement follows the first one. □

Corollary 1.12 (Cf.[Del73],[AMRT],[Mil90]). *Let* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R}))$. *Let* $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(W)$ *be a boundary component of the Siegel space* $\mathfrak{H}_g$. *We have :*

- $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{0}(\mathfrak{g})$, $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}^0$ *are Lie subalgebras of* $\mathfrak{g}$;
- $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g})$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}^2$ *are commutative Lie subalgebras of* $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\big(W_{-1} \subset W_0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2\big) := \big(0 \subset W \subset W^{\perp} \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}\big)$ *be the filtration corresponding to* $\mathfrak{F}$. *For each integer* i *in* $[-2, 0]$, *we define* $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{i}(\mathrm{Sp}(V, \psi))$ *to be the algebraic subgroup of* $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \psi)$ *of elements acting as the identity map on* $\bigoplus\limits_{p} W_p/W_{p-i}$.

We have :

- $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{0}(\mathrm{Sp}(V, \psi)) = N^{\mathfrak{F}}$ *is a parabolic subgroup of* $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \psi)$ *with Lie algebra* $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{0}(\mathfrak{g})$;
- $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{F}} := W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-1}(\mathrm{Sp}(V, \psi))$ *has Lie algebra* $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-1}(\mathfrak{g})$, *and it is the unipotent radical of* $N^{\mathfrak{F}}$;
- $U^{\mathfrak{F}} := W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathrm{Sp}(V, \psi))$ *is the center of* $\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{F}}$, *its Lie algebra* $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$ *is commutative;*
- $Z^{\mathfrak{F}}$:= *the centralizer of the morphism* $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *in* $N^{\mathfrak{F}}$, *it has Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}^0$;
- $V^{\mathfrak{F}} := \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{F}}/U^{\mathfrak{F}}$ *is an Abelian group whose Lie algebra identifies with the linear space* $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$.

All above algebraic subgroups will be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ *if* $\mathfrak{F}$ *is rational. Similarly, we can define Lie subgroups* $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(G), W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-1}(G), W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{0}(G)$ *of* $G = \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R})$.

1.3. **Polarized Mixed Hodge structures attached to cusps.**

For an isotropic real subspace $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $(V_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi)$(resp. rational subspace $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $(V_{\mathbb{Q}}, \psi)$), let

$$L^{\perp}_{\mathbb{R}} := \{v \in V_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \psi(v, L_{\mathbb{R}}) = 0\}(\text{resp. } L^{\perp}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \{v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \psi(v, L_{\mathbb{Q}})\} \ ),$$

let $L^{\vee}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the dual space of $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to $(V_{\mathbb{R}}, \psi)$(resp. $L^{\vee}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the dual space of $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with respect to $(V_{\mathbb{Q}}, \psi)$). For any $N \in \mathfrak{g}$, there is a symmetric bilinear form $\psi_N : V_{\mathbb{R}} \times V_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\psi_N(v, u) := \psi(v, N(u)). \tag{1.12.1}$$

Lemma 1.13. *Let* $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(W)$ *be a cusp of* $\mathfrak{H}_g$ *and let* N *be an arbitrary nonzero element in* $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{Lie}(U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}))$. *Let* $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\bullet}(\mathbb{R}) = \big(0 \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathbb{R},0} \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathbb{R},1} \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathbb{R},2}\big) := \big(0 \subset W \subset W^{\perp} \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}\big)$ *the weight filtration associated to the cusp* $\mathfrak{F}$. *We have :*

1. *The inclusions* $\mathrm{Im}(N) \subset W$ *and* $W^{\perp} \subset \mathrm{Ker}(N)$ *are held. The element* N *induces a weight filtration* $W_{\bullet}(N) = (0 \subset W_{-1}(N) \subset W_0(N) \subset W_1(N)$ *by setting* $W_1(N) := V_{\mathbb{R}}, W_{-1}(N) := \mathrm{Im}(N : V_{\mathbb{R}} \to V_{\mathbb{R}})$ *and* $W_0(N) := \mathrm{Ker}(N : V_{\mathbb{R}} \to V_{\mathbb{R}})$.
2. *For any two* $N_1, N_2 \in W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g})$, $N_1 N_2 = N_2 N_1 = 0$.

3. *The* ψ_N *can be regarded as a symmetric bilinear form on* $V_{\mathbb{R}}/W^{\perp}$. *If* ψ_N *is nondegenerate on* $V_{\mathbb{R}}/W^{\perp}$ *then* $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\bullet}(\mathbb{R}) = (W(N)[-1])_{\bullet}$, *where* $(W(N)[-1])_{\bullet}$ *is the weight filtration given by* $(W(N)[-1])_j := W_{j-1}(N)\ \forall j$.

Proof. 1. The Lemma 1.11 shows that $\mathrm{Im}(N) \subset W$ as $N \in W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is easy to obtain that

$$\mathrm{Im}(N) \subset W \Longleftrightarrow W^{\perp} \subset \mathrm{Ker}(N).$$

2. It is obvious by that $\mathrm{Im}(N_2) \subset W \subset W^{\perp} \subset \mathrm{Ker}(N_1)$.
3. If there is a vector $0 \neq v \in W_0(N) \setminus W^{\perp}$ then $N(v) = 0$, and so $\psi(v, N(v)) = 0$. Thus, we must have $W^{\perp} = W_0(N) = \mathrm{Ker}(N : V_{\mathbb{R}} \to V_{\mathbb{R}})$. It is sufficient to show the following claim.
   Claim: $\mathrm{Im}(N) = W \Longleftrightarrow W^{\perp} = \mathrm{Ker}(N)$.
   Proof of the claim.
   - ”$\Rightarrow$” : Suppose $\mathrm{Im}(N) = W$. Then, $\psi(y, W) = \psi(y, \mathrm{Im}(N)) = -\psi(N(y), V_{\mathbb{R}}) = 0$ for any $y \in \mathrm{Ker}(N)$. Thus $\mathrm{Ker}(N) \subset W^{\perp}$.
   - ”$\Leftarrow$” : Suppose $W^{\perp} = \mathrm{Ker}(N)$. Since $N : \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{\mathrm{Ker}(N)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Im}(N)$, we get

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Im}(N) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\mathbb{R}} - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Ker}(N) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\mathbb{R}} - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W^{\perp} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W.$$

□

Given a rational boundary component $\mathfrak{F}(W)$, a positive cone $C(\mathfrak{F}(W))$ in $W^{\mathfrak{F}(W)}_{-2}$ is defined to be

$$C(\mathfrak{F}(W)) := \{N \in W^{\mathfrak{F}(W)}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \psi_N > 0 \text{ on } \frac{V_{\mathbb{R}}}{W^{\perp}}\} \tag{1.13.1}$$

where ψ_N is a symmetric bilinear form on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined in 1.12.1(cf.[AMRT],[CCK79]). Clearly, $C(\mathfrak{F}(W))$ is a convex cone in $\mathfrak{g}$ which does not contain any linear subspace. With respect to the cusp $\mathfrak{F}_k := \mathfrak{F}(V^{(k)})$, the positive cone is

$$C(\mathfrak{F}_k) = \{\begin{pmatrix} 0_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{g-k} & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0_{g-k} \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathrm{Sym}^{+}_{g-k}(\mathbb{R})\},$$

where $\mathrm{Sym}^{+}_{g-k}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined to be the set of positive-definite matrices in $\mathrm{Sym}_{g-k}(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 1.14. *Let* $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(W)$ *be a cusp of* $\mathfrak{H}_g$ *and* N *an arbitrary nonzero elements in* $C(\mathfrak{F})$. *We have :*

$$N^2 = 0,\ (W(N)[-1])_{\bullet} \equiv W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\bullet}(\mathbb{R}) = \big(0 \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathbb{R},0}(= W) \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathbb{R},1}(= W^{\perp}) \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{2,\mathbb{R}}(= V_{\mathbb{R}})\big).$$

Proof. It is obvious by the lemma 1.13.

□

For any isotropic rationally defined subspace W of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, the dual space $W^{\vee}$ of W is also an isotropic rationally defined subspace of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W^{\vee} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W$. For any cusp $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(W)$ of the Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g$,

$$\mathfrak{F}^{\vee} := \mathfrak{F}(W^{\vee}). \tag{1.14.1}$$

is defined to be the **dual cusp** of $\mathfrak{F}$.

We observe that the space $\check{\mathfrak{S}}_g$ can be identified with a set of Hodge filtrations

$$\{F^{\bullet} = (0 \subset F^1 \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid F^1 \in \mathrm{Grass}(g, V_{\mathbb{C}}),\ \psi(F^1, F^1) = 0\}$$

and any cusp $\mathfrak{F}$ can also be identified with a set of certain Hodge filtrations.

Lemma 1.15. *Let $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(W)$ be a cusp of the Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g$, and $C(\mathfrak{F})$ the positive cone in $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $N \in C(\mathfrak{F})$ be an element and let $F^\bullet = (0 \subset F^1 \subset V_{\mathbb{C}})$ be a filtration in $\mathfrak{F}$.*

Write $W = W_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ where $W_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isotropic subspace of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\bullet} = (0 \subset W_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\perp} \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the corresponding weight filtration on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We have :

1. *There are following direct sum decompositions*

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\perp} &= W_{\mathbb{Q}} \bigoplus ((W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\perp}),\\
(W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee})^{\perp} &= W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee} \bigoplus ((W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\perp}),\\
F^1 &= W_{\mathbb{C}} \bigoplus ((W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \cap F^1).
\end{aligned}
$$

   Each above decomposition is orthogonal under the form ψ.

   Define

$$\check{F}^1 := W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \bigoplus ((W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \cap F^1).$$

   The dual filtration $\check{F}^\bullet := (0 \subset \check{F}^1 \subset V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is in $\mathfrak{F}^\vee$, and there is a bijection

$$\mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}^\vee \;\; F^\bullet \longmapsto \check{F}^\bullet.$$

2. *That $N(V_{\mathbb{R}}) = N(W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}) = W_{\mathbb{R}}$, $N(\check{F}^1) = W_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $N(F^1) = 0$. Also, there is an isomorphism*

$$N|_{W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}} : W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

3. *There holds*

$$\exp(\sqrt{-1}N)\check{F}^1 \in \mathfrak{S}_g.$$

Proof. There exists a $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(g, V_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_k := \mathfrak{F}(V^{(k)}) = M(\mathfrak{F})$ for some k, we then have $w_{\mathfrak{F}_k} = M w_{\mathfrak{F}} M^{-1}$ and

$$W^{\mathfrak{F}_k}_{\bullet} = M W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\bullet} M^{-1},\; W^{\mathfrak{F}_k}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) = M W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}) M^{-1} \text{ and } C(\mathfrak{F}_k) = M C(\mathfrak{F}) M^{-1}.$$

In particular, we have $(V^{(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}})^{\vee} = M(W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee})$ and $((V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)})^{\vee})^{\perp} = M((W_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\vee})^{\perp})$.

Thus, it is sufficient to prove the statements in the case of $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_k$. Now, we obtain :

$$(V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)})^{\vee} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{e_{g+k+1}, \cdots, e_{2g}\}, (V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)})^{\perp} = \mathrm{spac}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{e_1, \cdots, e_g, e_{g+1}, \cdots, e_{g+k}\}$$

and $((V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)})^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap (V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)})^{\perp} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{e_1, \cdots, e_k, e_{g+1}, \cdots, e_{g+k}\}$.

1. Obviously, there is a direct sum decomposition

$$(V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)})^{\perp} = V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)} \oplus (((V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)})^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap (V_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(k)})^{\perp}).$$

   This decomposition is orthogonal with respect to the form ψ. By duality, we also get the second equality in the statement (1). Since $\psi(F^1, F^1) = 0$, we have $F^1 \subset W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$. By the first equality, any $f \in F^1$ can be written as $f = v_1 + v_2$ where $v_1 \in W_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $v_2 \in (W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$. Due to $W_{\mathbb{C}} \subset F^1$, we have $v_2 = f - v_1 \in F^1$ and so $v_2 \in (W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \cap F^1$. Thus, the third equality in the statement (1) is true.
2. Since

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{g-k} & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0_{g-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

   where B is a positive-definite matrix in $\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R})$, We must have

$$N(W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}) = W_{\mathbb{R}} \;\text{ and }\; N(W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}) = 0.$$

   The other equalities in the statement (2) are obvious.

3. Let f be a an arbitrary nontrivial vector in $\check{F}^1$. By the statement (1), we can write
$$f = w_1 + w_2 \text{ where } w_1 \in W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} \text{ and } w_2 \in (W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee})^{\perp} \cap W_{\mathbb{C}}^{\perp} \cap F^1.$$
Then, we have :
$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-1}\psi(\exp(\sqrt{-1}N)f, \overline{\exp(\sqrt{-1}N)f}) &= \sqrt{-1}\psi(\exp(\sqrt{-1}N)f, \exp(-\sqrt{-1}tN)\overline{f})\\ &= \sqrt{-1}\psi(f, \exp(-2\sqrt{-1}N)\overline{f})\\ &= \sqrt{-1}\psi(f, \overline{f}) + 2\psi(f, N\overline{f})\\ &= \sqrt{-1}\psi(f, \overline{f}) + 2\psi(w_1, N\overline{w_1}) + 2\psi(w_2, N\overline{w_1})\\ &= \sqrt{-1}\psi(f, \overline{f}) + 2\psi(w_1, N\overline{w_1}) + 2\psi(\overline{w_1}, Nw_2)\\ &= \sqrt{-1}\psi(w_2, \overline{w_2}) + 2\psi(w_1, B\overline{w_1}).\end{aligned}$$
We get $\sqrt{-1}\psi(w_2, \overline{w_2}) \geq 0$, and get that $\sqrt{-1}\psi(w_2, \overline{w_2}) = 0$ if and only if $w_2 = 0$. Also, $N \in C(\mathfrak{F})$ implies $\psi(w_1, B\overline{w_1}) \geq 0$ and implies that $\psi(w_1, B\overline{w_1}) = 0$ if and only if $w_1 = 0$. Therefore,
$$\sqrt{-1}\psi(\exp(\sqrt{-1}N)f, \overline{\exp(\sqrt{-1}N)f}) > 0,$$
and so $\sqrt{-1}\psi(\cdot, \bar{\cdot})$ is Hermitian positive on $\exp(\sqrt{-1}N)\check{F}^1$. Thus, we can finish the proof of the statement (3) by that a point $F \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}_g}$ is in $\mathfrak{S}_g$ if and only if $\sqrt{-1}\psi(\cdot, \bar{\cdot})$ is Hermitian positive on F.

□

A **mixed Hodge structure**(MHS) on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$(resp. $V_{\mathbb{R}}$)(cf.[Del71],[Sch73]) consists of two filtrations, an increasing filtration on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$(resp. $V_{\mathbb{R}}$)-the weight filtration $W_\bullet$, and a decreasing filtration $F^\bullet$ on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$-the Hodge filtration, such that the filtration $F^\bullet$ induces a Hodge structure on each $\mathrm{Gr}_r^W V_{\mathbb{Q}} := W_r/W_{r-1}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Gr}_r^W V_{\mathbb{R}} := W_r/W_{r-1}$) of pure weight r, where
$$F^p(\mathrm{Gr}_r^W V_{\mathbb{C}}) := \frac{F^p \cap (W_r \otimes \mathbb{C})}{F^p \cap (W_{r-1} \otimes \mathbb{C})} \ \forall p.$$

Definition 1.16 (Cf.[CKS86]&[CK87]). A **polarized mixed Hodge structure**(PMHS) of weight l on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ consists of a MHS $(W_\bullet, F^\bullet)$ on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, a nilpotent element $N \in F^{-1}\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a nondegenerate bilinear form Q such that

- $N^{l+1} = 0$;
- $W_\bullet = (W(N)[-l])_\bullet$, where $W(N)[-l]_j := W_{j-l}(N)\ \forall j$;
- $Q(F^p, F^{l-p+1}) = 0\ \forall p$;
- $N(F^p) \subset F^{p-1}\ \forall p$;
- the Hodge structure of weight $l + r$ induced by $F^\bullet$ on
$$P_{l+r} := \ker(N^{r+1} : \mathrm{Gr}_{l+r}^{W_\bullet} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{l-r-2}^{W_\bullet})$$
is polarized by $Q(\cdot, N^r(\cdot))$, i.e.,$Q(\cdot, N^r(\cdot))$ is $(-1)^{l+r}$-symmetric on P_{l+r} and
$$\begin{aligned} Q(P_{l+r,\mathbb{C}}^{p_1,q_1}, N^r(P_{l+r,\mathbb{C}}^{p_2,q_2})) &= 0 \quad \text{unless } p_1 = q_2 \text{ and } p_2 = q_1\\ (\sqrt{-1})^{p-q}Q(v, N^r(\overline{v})) &> 0 \quad \text{for any nonzero } v \in P_{l+r,\mathbb{C}}^{p,q}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.17. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a rational component of Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g$ and*
$$W_\bullet^{\mathfrak{F}} = \big(0 \subset W_0^{\mathfrak{F}} \subset W_1^{\mathfrak{F}} \subset W_2^{\mathfrak{F}} (= V_{\mathbb{Q}})\big)$$
the corresponding weight filtration on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We have :

1. *For any Hodge filtration $F^\bullet \in \mathfrak{F}^\vee$ and for any $N \in C(\mathfrak{F})$, the triple $(F_\tau^\bullet, W_\bullet^{\mathfrak{F}}, N)$ and the standard symplectic form ψ on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, determine a polarized mixed Hodge structure of weight one on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

2. Any pair $(F^\bullet_\tau, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet)$ *with* $F^\bullet_\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$ *is a mixed Hodge structure of weight one on* $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
3. Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{F}^\vee = \{F^\bullet \in \check{\mathfrak{S}}_g \mid (F^\bullet, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet, N, \psi) \textit{ is a PMHS of weight one for all } N \in C(\mathfrak{F})\}.$$

Proof. Let $G = \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R})$.

1. By the corollary 1.14, we have :

$$(W(N)[-1])_\bullet \equiv (W^{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes \mathbb{R})_\bullet := \big(0 \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{0,\mathbb{R}} \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{1,\mathbb{R}} \subset W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{2,\mathbb{R}}(= V_{\mathbb{R}})\big)\ \forall N \in C(\mathfrak{F}).$$

Let $F^\bullet = (0 \subset F^1 \subset F^0 = V_{\mathbb{C}})$ be a filtration $\mathfrak{F}^\vee$. By definition, we have :

$$F^1 \cap \overline{F^1} = \mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} = (W^{\mathfrak{F}}_0)^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}\ \forall N \in C(\mathfrak{F}).$$

Since $\psi(F^1, F^1) = \psi(\overline{F^1}, \overline{F^1}) = 0$, we have

$$F^1 \subset (\mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}})^\perp \text{ and } \overline{F^1} \subset (\mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}})^\perp.$$

Claim 1: For any integer r in $[0, 2]$, we have

$$F^p(\mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_r V_{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus \overline{F^{r-p+1}(\mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_r V_{\mathbb{C}})} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_r V_{\mathbb{C}}\ \forall p.$$

The claim 1 is not difficult to check. Then, we obtain the decreasing filtration $F^\bullet(\mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_r V_{\mathbb{C}})$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_r V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ arises as a Hodge structure on $\mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_r V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of pure weight r for $r = 0, 1, 2$(cf.[Gri]&[Sch73]), i.e., the pair $(F^\bullet, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet)$ is a mixed Hodge structure on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The polarization is automatically true by the definition of $C(\mathfrak{F})$(cf.1.13.1).

2. Let $\check{F}^\bullet = (0 \subset \check{F}^1 \subset \check{F}^0(= V_{\mathbb{C}}))$ be a fixed decreasing filtration in $\mathfrak{F}^\vee$. The lemma 1.15 shows that

$$F^1_\delta := \exp(\sqrt{-1}N)\check{F}^1 \in \mathfrak{S}_g.$$

Write $F^\bullet_\delta := (0 \subset F^1_\delta \subset F^0_\delta(= V_{\mathbb{C}}))$. We begin to show that the pair $(F^\bullet_\delta, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet)$ is a mixed Hodge structure on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with same Hodge numbers as the MHS $(\check{F}^\bullet, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet)$.

Since $F^p_\delta \oplus \overline{F^{2-p}_\delta} = V_{\mathbb{C}}\ \forall p$, we get

$$F^p_\delta \bigcap \overline{F^{2+k-p}_\delta} \subset F^p_\delta \bigcap \overline{F^{2-p}_\delta} = \{0\}\ \ \forall k \geq 0\ \forall p,$$

and so

$$F^p_\delta \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_a V_{\mathbb{C}} \bigcap \overline{F^{1+a-p}_\delta \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_a V_{\mathbb{C}}} = \{0\}\ \forall a \geq 1\ \forall p. \tag{1.17.1}$$

Let $M := \exp(\sqrt{-1}N)$. $M \in W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(G)$ is unipotent, thus M respects the weight filtration $(W^{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\bullet$ and acts as identity on $\mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_a V_{\mathbb{C}}$ for all a. Moreover, M induces a complex linear isomorphisms

$$M : \check{F}^p \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_a V_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} F^p_\delta \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_a V_{\mathbb{C}}$$

for any integers a and p. Since

$$F^1_\delta \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_0 V_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \check{F}^1 \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_0 V_{\mathbb{C}} = \{0\} \text{ and } F^0_\delta \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_0 V_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \check{F}^0 \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_0 V_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_0 V_{\mathbb{C}},$$

we have

$$F^p_\delta \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_a V_{\mathbb{C}} \bigoplus \overline{F^{1+a-p}_\delta \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_a V_{\mathbb{C}}} \cong \mathrm{Gr}^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}}_a V_{\mathbb{C}} \ \text{ for all } a \text{ and } p$$

by the above 1.17.1.

The following claim guarantees the statement 2 is true.

Claim 2. Let τ be an arbitrary point in $\mathfrak{H}_g$. If $(F^\bullet_\tau, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet)$ is a mixed Hodge structure on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ then for any $\tau' \in \mathfrak{H}_g$, $(F^\bullet_{\tau'}, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet)$ is again a MHS on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and there is an isomorphism of MHSs

$$(F^\bullet_\tau, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\cong} (F^\bullet_{\tau'}, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet).$$

Proof of the claim 2. It is known that G acts transitively on $\mathfrak{S}_g$, and

$$\mathfrak{S}_g \cong G/K_\tau, \quad \text{where } K_\tau = \{M \in G \mid MF^\bullet_\tau = F^\bullet_\tau\}.$$

There always holds(cf.Page 242(5.24) in [Sch73])

$$G = W_0^{\mathfrak{F}}(G)K_\tau.$$

Thus, $W_0^{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{S}_g$, and so there is a $M \in W_0^{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ such that $\tau^{'} = M(\tau)$ and

$$F^\bullet_{\tau^{'}} = MF^\bullet_\tau \;\text{ and } \overline{F^\bullet_{\tau^{'}}} = \overline{MF^\bullet_\tau} = M\overline{F^\bullet_\tau}.$$

Since M respects $(W^{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes \mathbb{C})_\bullet$, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} M &: F^\bullet_\tau \mathrm{Gr}_a^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} F^\bullet_{\tau^\tau} \mathrm{Gr}_a^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}}, \ \forall a \\ M &: \overline{F^\bullet_\tau \mathrm{Gr}_a^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{F^\bullet_{\tau^\tau} \mathrm{Gr}_a^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}}} \ \forall a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(F^\bullet_{\tau^{'}}, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet)$ is a MHS on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and M induces an isomorphism of MHSs

$$M : (F^\bullet_\tau, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\cong} (F^\bullet_{\tau^{'}}, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet).$$

3. Let $F^\bullet = (0 \subset F^1 \subset F^2 (= V_{\mathbb{C}}))$ be a Hodge filtration in $\check{\mathfrak{S}}_g$ and N an element in $C(\mathfrak{F})$.

Claim 3. Suppose that $(F^\bullet, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet, N, \psi)$ is a PMHS, then $F^\bullet$ is in $\mathfrak{F}^\vee$.

After the claim 3, the corollary 1.14 and the statement 1 in this theorem show that if $(F^\bullet, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet, N_1, \psi)$ is a PMHS for one certain $N_1 \in C(\mathfrak{F})$ then $(F^\bullet, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet, N, \psi)$ is again a PMHS for any $N_1 \in C(\mathfrak{F})$. Therefore, we obtain the statement 3.

We prove the claim 3 by the following steps.

i. From the MHS $(F^\bullet, W^{\mathfrak{F}}_\bullet)$, we obtain:
   - $(\mathrm{Gr}_0^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\bullet \mathrm{Gr}_0^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a Hodge structure of pure weight zero, and so
$$F^1 \cap \mathrm{Im}(N)_{\mathbb{C}} = \overline{F^1} \cap \mathrm{Im}(N)_{\mathbb{C}} = \{0\}.$$
   - $(\mathrm{Gr}_1^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\bullet \mathrm{Gr}_1^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a Hodge structure of pure weight one. By definition,
$$F^1 \mathrm{Gr}_1^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong F^1 \cap \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$
   - $(\mathrm{Gr}_2^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\bullet \mathrm{Gr}_2^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}})$ has a rational Hodge structure of pure type $(1,1)$.Thus,
$$F^1 \mathrm{Gr}_2^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}} = \overline{F^1 \mathrm{Gr}_2^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}}} = \mathrm{Gr}_2^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}},$$
     and so $N(F^1) = N(\overline{F^1}) = \mathrm{Im}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\ker(N)_{\mathbb{C}} + F^1 = \mathrm{Ker}(N) + \overline{F^1} = V_{\mathbb{C}}$.

ii. We need to prove $F^1 \cap \overline{F^1} = \mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} = (W_0^{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes \mathbb{C})^\vee$.
   - To show $\mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq F^1 \cap \overline{F^1}$: Since $(\mathrm{Gr}_2^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\bullet \mathrm{Gr}_2^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is polarized $\psi(\cdot, N(\cdot))$, we have
$$\mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} = (N(\overline{F^1}))^\vee \subset F^1 \text{ and } \mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} = (N(F^1))^\vee \subset \overline{F^1}.$$
     Then,
$$\mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq F^1 \cap \overline{F^1} \text{ and } F^1 \subset (\mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}})^\perp.$$

- To show $F^1 \cap \overline{F^1} \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}}$: Let v be an arbitrary vector in $E := F^1 \cap \overline{F^1}$. By the second equality in(1) of the lemma 1.15, v can be written uniquely as

  $$v = v_1 + v_2, \text{ where } v_1 \in \mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}}, v_2 \in \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

  As $\mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} \subset E$, we have $v_2 = v - v_1 \in E$ and so $v_2 \in \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}} \cap E$.

  Since the weight one Hodge structure $(\mathrm{Gr}_1^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\bullet \mathrm{Gr}_1^{W^{\mathfrak{F}}} V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is polarized by ψ, we must have

  $$\sqrt{-1}\psi(F^1 \cap \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}, \overline{F^1 \cap \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}}) > 0.$$

  On the other hand, $\sqrt{-1}\psi(v_2, \overline{v_2}) = 0$ since $\psi(E, \overline{E}) = \psi(E, E) = 0$. Thus, $v_2 = 0$ and so we obtain $v \in F^1 \cap \overline{F^1}$.

iii. As $\mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}} = \{0\}$, we get $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and so we obtain

$$F^1 = \mathrm{Im}(N)^\vee_{\mathbb{C}} \bigoplus F^1 \cap \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Since $\psi(F^1, F^1 = 0) = \psi(\overline{F^1}, \overline{F^1} = 0) = 0$ and

$$\sqrt{-1}\psi(F^1 \cap \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}, \overline{F^1 \cap \mathrm{Ker}(N)_{\mathbb{C}}}) > 0,$$

we must have $\sqrt{-1}\psi(F^1, \overline{F^1}) \geq 0$, which is equivalent to that $F^\bullet \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}_g}$.

□

2. TOROIDAL COMPACTIFICATIONS AND THEIR INFINITY BOUNDARY DIVISORS

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ be an arithmetic subgroup.

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an arbitrary k-th cusp. Recall the corollary 1.12, we define

$$u^{\mathfrak{F}} := \mathrm{Lie}(U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})) = W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g}), \ v^{\mathfrak{F}} := \mathrm{Lie}(V^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})) = W^{\mathfrak{F}}_{-2}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The Lie algebra $v^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is in fact identified with the space $\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$. We note that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(C(\mathfrak{F})) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} u^{\mathfrak{F}} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R})) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{F}_k$ the cusp $\mathfrak{F}(V^{(k)})$, which is a cusp of depth $g-k$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{H}_k$.

2.1. **Equivalent toroidal embeddings.**

Let N be a lattice, i.e., a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank and $M = N^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ its dual. We fix isomorphisms $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$, $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$.

The lattice N can be regarded as the group of 1-parameter subgroups of the algebraic torus $T_N := \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}[M]$. Actually, any $a = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) \in N \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ corresponds to a unique one-parameter subgroup $\lambda_a : \mathbb{G}_m \to T_N$ given by

$$\lambda_a(t) = (t_1^{a_1}, \cdots, t_d^{a_d}) \in T_N(L), \, t \in \mathbb{G}_m(L),$$

where L is an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. We also note that $T_N \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{G}_m)$. On the other hand, the dual lattice M can be regarded as $X(T_N)$(the group of characters of T_N). Any $m = (m_1, \cdots, m_d) \in M$ corresponds to a unique $\chi^m \in X(T_N)$ given by

$$\chi^m(t_1, \cdots, t_d) = t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_d^{m_d} \in \mathbb{G}_m(L) = L^*, \, (t_1, \cdots, t_d) \in T_N(L) \cong (L^*)^d$$

where L is an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Obviously, the lattices M, N are related by a non-degenerated canonical pairing

$$M \times N \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \ (m, a) \longmapsto < m, a >,$$

where $< m, a >$ is determined by $\chi^m(\lambda_a(t)) = t^{<m,a>}\ \ t \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

A **convex rational polyhedral cone** in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a subset $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$\sigma = \{\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i y_i | \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},\ \ i = 1, \cdots, t\}$$

for a finite number of vectors $y_i \in N_{\mathbb{Q}}, i = 1, \cdots, t$; its dual of σ is defined to be

$$\sigma^\vee = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\ < m, u > \geq 0\ \forall u \in \sigma\},$$

which in fact is a convex rational polyhedral cone in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$; the dimension $\dim \sigma$ is defined to be the dimension of the smallest subspace of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing σ; a **face** of σ is a convex rational polyhedral cone $\sigma^{'}$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\sigma^{'} = \sigma \cap \{v \in N_{\mathbb{R}} | \lambda(x) = 0\}$ for some $\lambda \in \sigma^\vee \cap M_{\mathbb{Q}}$, denoted by $\sigma^{'} \prec \sigma$. A 1-dimensional convex rational polyhedral cone is called an **edge**. Any convex rational polyhedral cone σ endows an **affine toric variety** $X_\sigma = \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}[\sigma^\vee \cap M]$ where

$$\mathbb{C}[\sigma^\vee \cap M] := \{ \sum_{(m_1, \cdots, m_d) \in \sigma^\vee \cap M} a_m x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_d^{m_d} \mid \forall a_m \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

A face τ of σ induces an open immersion $X_\tau \hookrightarrow X_\sigma$ of affine varieties, so that X_τ can be identified with an open subvariety of X_σ.

A convex rational polyhedral cone σ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called **strong** if and only if $\sigma \cap (-\sigma) = \{0\}$. A strong rational convex polyhedral cone σ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is said **regular**(with respect to N) provided that σ is generated by part of a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of N. A **fan** of N is defined to be a nonempty collection $\Sigma = \{\sigma_\alpha\}$ of convex rational polyhedral cones in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

- all cones in Σ are strong;
- if $\sigma^{'}$ is a face of a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then $\sigma^{'} \in \Sigma$;
- for any two $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$, the intersection $\sigma \cap \tau$ is a face of both σ and τ.

The set of all edges in a fan Σ is denoted by $\Sigma(1)$. A fan Σ is said **regular**(with respect to N) if all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ are regular. A fan $\Sigma = \{\sigma_\alpha\}$ of N determines a separate scheme $X_\Sigma := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} X_\sigma$ by patching together the X_{σ_α}'s along the $X_{\sigma_\alpha \cap \sigma_\beta}$'s.

Let Σ be an arbitrary fan of N. In general, the associated scheme X_Σ is normal and locally of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, X_Σ is smooth if and only if Σ is regular, and X_Σ is of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if Σ is a finite collection of convex rational polyhedral cones. Let σ_α be any cone in Σ. Since $\sigma_\alpha^\vee$ spans $M_{\mathbb{R}}$, there is an open immersion of the algebraic torus $T_N := \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}[M]$ in $X_\sigma = \mathrm{Spec}k[\sigma_\alpha^\vee \cap M]$(We call $T_N \subset X_\sigma$ a **toric embedding**). The action $T_N \times T_N \to T_N$ given by the translation in T_N can be extended to an action $T_N \times X_{\sigma_\alpha} \to X_{\sigma_\alpha}$. The open immersion $X_\tau \hookrightarrow X_{\sigma_\alpha}$ induced by a face $\tau \prec \sigma_\alpha$ is certainly equivariant with respect to the actions of T_N. Therefore, there is a natural open immersion $T_N \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} X_\Sigma$ with the unique action T_N on X_Σ extending T_N's action on each X_{σ_β}.

Definition 2.1 (Cf.[AMRT])**.** A **stratification** on a topological space X is a collection $\Xi = \{S_\alpha\}$ of locally closed subsets, called the **strata**, such that every closed point of X is exactly in one stratum S_α and such that the closure of a stratum is a union of strata.

Proposition 2.2 (Cf.[AMRT],[KKMS]&[Ful])**.** *Let $\Sigma = \{\sigma_\alpha\}$ be a fan of a lattice N. We have :*

1. *There is a bijection between the set of cones in Σ and the set of orbits in X_Σ, and there holds $\dim \sigma_\alpha + \mathcal{O}^{\sigma_\alpha} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_N$. Moreover, $\sigma_\alpha \subseteq \sigma_\beta$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}^{\sigma_\beta} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}^{\sigma_\alpha}}^{\mathrm{cl}}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{O}^{\sigma_\alpha}}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ denotes the closure in both the classical and Zariski topologies of X_Σ. In particular, each*

edge $\rho \in \Sigma$ *gives a codimension one closed subscheme* $D_\rho := \overline{\mathcal{O}^\rho}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ *in* X_Σ*, which actually is a* T_N*-invariant prime divisor of* X_Σ*.*

2. *The collection* $\{\mathcal{O}^{\sigma_\alpha}\}$ *is a stratification of* X_Σ *in the classical analytic topology. Furthermore,*
$$X_\tau = \coprod_{\delta \prec \tau} \mathcal{O}^\delta, \quad \overline{\mathcal{O}^\tau}^{\mathrm{cl}} = \coprod_{\delta \in \Sigma, \delta \succeq \tau} \mathcal{O}^\delta$$
for any cone $\tau \in \Sigma$*.*

Corollary 2.3. *Let* Σ *be a fan of a lattice* N *and* X_Σ *the associated scheme with torus embedding* $T_N := \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}[N^\vee]$*. We have :*

1. *For any cone* $\sigma \in \Sigma$*,* $\overline{\mathcal{O}^\sigma}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ *is a closed subscheme of* X_Σ *with only normal singularities; moreover,* $\overline{\mathcal{O}^\sigma}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ *is smooth if* Σ *is a regular fan.*
2. *Assume that every low-dimensional cone* $\tau \in \Sigma(\dim \tau < \mathrm{rank} N)$ *is a face of some top-dimensional cone* $\sigma_{\max} \in \Sigma$*(i.e.,* $\dim \sigma_{\max} = \mathrm{rank} N$*). If* Σ *is a regular fan then the infinity boundary* $D_\infty := X_\Sigma \setminus T_N$ *is simple normal crossing, i.e., all irreducible components of* D_∞ *are smooth and they intersect each other transversely.*

Proof. It is a easy exercise to readers. □

2.2. **Admissible families of polyhedral decompositions.** In [AMRT], Mumford and his coworkers have constructed explicitly a class of toroidal compactifications of D/Γ for each bounded symmetric domain D with an arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Aut}(D)$. Actually, the compactification is determined by a certain combinatorial Γ-admissible rational polyhedral cone decompositions.

We define a partial order on the set of cusps of $\mathfrak{H}_g$: For any two cusps $\mathfrak{F}(W_1)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(W_2)$, we say $\mathfrak{F}(W_1) \prec \mathfrak{F}(W_2)$ if and only if $W_2 \subset W_1$. According to this partial order, $\mathfrak{F}(\{0\}) = \mathfrak{H}_g$ is the unique maximal element, and a cusp of depth g is called a **minimal cusp**(or **minimal rational boundary component**) of $\mathfrak{H}_g$. We call $\mathfrak{F}_0$ the **standard minimal cusp** of $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

Definition 2.4 (Cf.[Nam])**.** Suppose that C is an open cone in a real vector space $E_\mathbb{R}$, where $E_\mathbb{R}$ has an underlying integral structure $E_\mathbb{Z}$, i.e.,$E_\mathbb{R} = E_\mathbb{Z} \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}$. A **(rational) boundary component of** C **is a cone** $C' = (\overline{C} \cap E')^\circ$(denote by $C' \prec C$) given by a linear (rationally defined) subspace E' of $E_\mathbb{R}$ with $E' \cap C = \emptyset$. The **rational closure** $\overline{C}^{\mathrm{rc}}$ of C is the union of all rational boundary components of C.

We note that any proper rational boundary component of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ is of form $C(\mathfrak{F}')$ where $\mathfrak{F}'$ is a cusp with $\mathfrak{F}_0 \prec \mathfrak{F}'$(cf.Theorem 3 in §4.4 of Chap.III [AMRT]).

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $\mathfrak{F}(W_1)$ *and* $\mathfrak{F}(W_2)$ *be two cusps of* $\mathfrak{H}_g$*. The cusp* $\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2)$ *has following properties :*

1. *There is* $u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2)} \subset u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1)} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_2)}$*. Moreover, if there is a maximal isotropic subspace* W *of* $V_\mathbb{R}$ *containing* $W_1 \cup W_2$ *then*
$$u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2)} = u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1)} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_2)}.$$
2. *If* $W_1 \cap W_2$ *is a proper subspace of* W_1 *then*
$$u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2)} \cap C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1)) = \emptyset \text{ and } C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2)) \cap C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1)) = \emptyset$$
3. *The equalities*
$$\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2))} = \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1))} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2)} = \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_2))} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2)}$$
are held. If there is a maximal isotropic subspace W *of* $V_\mathbb{R}$ *containing* $W_1 \cup W_2$ *then*
$$\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1))} \cap \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_2))} = \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2))}.$$

Remark. The lemma implies that $C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1 \cap W_2))$ is a rational boundary component of both $C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1))$ and $C(\mathfrak{F}(W_2))$. The equalities in (3) are also true even if we replace $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F})}$ with $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F})}^{\mathrm{rc}}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Let $U = W_1 \cap W_2$. Then, we have

$$U^\vee \subset W_1^\vee \cap W_2^\vee \quad \text{and} \quad W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp \subset U^\perp.$$

We note that

$$u^{\mathfrak{F}(W)} = W_{-2}^{\mathfrak{F}(W)} = \{H \in \mathfrak{g} \mid H(W^\vee) \subset W \text{ and } H(W^\perp) = 0\} \tag{2.5.1}$$

for any cusp $\mathfrak{F}(W)$.

1. Since $W_1^\vee \oplus W_1^\perp = W_2^\vee \oplus W_2^\perp = U^\vee \oplus U^\perp = V_\mathbb{R}$, the equality 2.5.1 says there holds

$$u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)} \subset u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1)} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_2)}.$$

Moreover, suppose there is a maximal isotropic subspace W of $V_\mathbb{R}$ containing both W_1 and W_2. $W_1 + W_2$ is an isotropic subspace in W, and

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2)^\perp = 2g - \dim(W_1 + W_2).$$

Also, we have

$$U^\perp = W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp.$$

Let $N \in u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1)} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_2)}$, we then have

$$N(U^\vee) \subset N(W_1^\vee \cap W_2^\vee) \subset N(W_1^\vee) \cap N(W_2^\vee) \subset W_1 \cap W_2 = U$$

and $N(U^\perp) = N(W_1^\perp + W_2^\perp) = 0$. Thus

$$u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_1)} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(W_2)} \subset u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)}.$$

2. Recall

$$C(\mathfrak{F}(W)) := \{N \in u^{\mathfrak{F}(W)} \mid \psi(\cdot, N(\cdot)) > 0 \text{ on } \frac{V_\mathbb{R}}{W^\perp}\}.$$

Let $N \in u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)}$ be an arbitrary element. Consider the filtration

$$0 \subset U \subsetneq W_1 \subset W_1^\perp \subset U^\perp \subsetneq V_\mathbb{R},$$

we obtain that $\psi(\cdot, N(\cdot))$ is semi-positive but not positive on $V_\mathbb{R}/W_1^\perp$ since $N(U^\perp) = 0$. Thus, $u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)} \cap C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1)) = \emptyset$.

3. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(U))} = \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1))} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)} = \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_2))} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)}$$

for any rational defined subspace U of W_1 with $U \subsetneq W_1, U \subsetneq W_2$.

Let U be an arbitrary rational defined subspace U of W_1 such that $U \subsetneq W_1, U \subsetneq W_2$. Clearly, we have

$$\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W))} = \{N \in u^{\mathfrak{F}(W)} \mid \psi(\cdot, N(\cdot)) \text{ is semi-positive on } \frac{V_\mathbb{R}}{W^\perp}\}.$$

Let $N \in \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(U))}$ be an arbitrary element. Using the argument in the proof of the statement(2), we get that the bilinear form $\psi(\cdot, N(\cdot))$ is semi-positive on $V_\mathbb{R}/W_1^\perp$, and so $N \in \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1))} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)}$. On the other hand, $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_1))} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)} \subset \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(U))}$ is clear. Similarly, we have $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(U))} = \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}(W_2))} \cap u^{\mathfrak{F}(U)}$.

$\square$

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an arbitrary cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

Since $U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C})$ is a connected complex Lie group and $N^2 = 0$ for any $N \in u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}}$ by the lemma 1.13, the exponential map

$$\exp : u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \xrightarrow{\cong} U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C}) \ \ \zeta \mapsto I_{2g} + \zeta$$

is an isomorphism. We can identify $U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C})$ with its Lie algebra $u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}}$ by this isomorphism and regard $U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C})$ as a complex space. Moreover, for any ring $\mathfrak{R}$ in $\{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{R})$(the set of all $\mathfrak{R}$-points of the algebraic group $U^{\mathfrak{F}}$) can be regarded as an $\mathfrak{R}$-module by

$$U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{R}) \cong M_{k(k+1)/2}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}}, \tag{2.5.2}$$

Therefore $U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C})$ has a natural integer structure $U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z})$ and for any ring $\mathfrak{R}$ in $\{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, there is an isomorphism $U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R} = U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{R})$. The corollary 1.12 ensures that any element $\gamma \in N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z})$ defines an automorphism $\overline{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Aut}(U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z}))$ by $\overline{\gamma} : U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z}),\ u \longmapsto \gamma u \gamma^{-1}$. Thus, we obtain a group morphism $j_{\mathfrak{F}} : N^{\mathfrak{F}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(U^{\mathfrak{F}})$ such that there is

$$j_{\mathfrak{F}} : N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{R}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{R})) \ \ \gamma \mapsto \overline{\gamma} := ((\cdot) \mapsto \gamma(\cdot)\gamma^{-1})$$

for any $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\mathfrak{R}$. We see that if $\gamma \in U^{\mathfrak{F}}$ then $\overline{\gamma}$ is the identity in $\mathrm{Aut}(U^{\mathfrak{F}})$.

In general, there is a semi-product of rational algebraic groups(cf.[Del73]&[AMRT]):

$$N^{\mathfrak{F}} = \underbrace{(G_h^{\mathfrak{F}} \times G_l^{\mathfrak{F}})}_{\text{direct product}} \cdot \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{F}},$$

which is called the Levi-decomposition of $N^{\mathfrak{F}}$. Moreover, we have :

- The surjective homomorphisms $p_{h,\mathfrak{F}} : N^{\mathfrak{F}} \to G_h^{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $p_{l,\mathfrak{F}} : N^{\mathfrak{F}} \to G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$,
- the group $G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{F}$ and the group $G_h^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is semi-simple,
- the group $G_h^{\mathfrak{F}} \cdot \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{F}}$ centralizes $U^{\mathfrak{F}}$ and the group $G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is reductive without compact factors.

Example 2.6 (Cf.[Chai]&[Nam])**.** Consider the cusp $\mathfrak{F}_k$, we compute that

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F}_k) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0_{k,g-k} & A_{12} & * \\ * & f & * & * \\ A_{21} & 0_{k,g-k} & A_{22} & * \\ 0_{g-k,k} & 0_{g-k,g-k} & 0_{g-k,k} & -{}^t f^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R}) \ \ | \ \ \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(k, \mathbb{R})$$

$$f \in \mathrm{GL}(g-k, \mathbb{R})\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_l^{\mathfrak{F}_k}(\mathbb{R})^+ &= \{ \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & {}^t f^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid f \in \mathrm{GL}(g-k, \mathbb{R}),\ \det f > 0\} \\ &\cong \mathrm{GL}(g-k, \mathbb{R})^+, \\ G_h^{\mathfrak{F}_k}(\mathbb{R}) = G_h^{\mathfrak{F}_k}(\mathbb{R})^+ &= \{ \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0_{k,g-k} & A_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{g-k} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{21} & 0_{k,g-k} & A_{22} & 0 \\ 0_{g-k,k} & 0_{g-k,g-k} & 0_{g-k,k} & I_{g-k} \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(k, \mathbb{R})\} \\ &\cong \mathrm{Sp}(k, \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the action $G_l^{\mathfrak{F}_k}(\mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathfrak{F}_k) \longrightarrow C(\mathfrak{F}_k)\ \ (M, A) \longmapsto MAM^{-1}$ is equivalent to the action

$$\mathrm{GL}(g-k, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Sym}_{g-k}^{+}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_{g-k}^{+}(\mathbb{R}) \ \ (f, u) \longmapsto f \cdot u \cdot {}^t f.$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{F}(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ where $W_{\mathbb{R}}=W_{\mathbb{Z}}\otimes\mathbb{R}$ is a rationally define subspace of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let W represent a linear space over $\mathbb{Z}$ given by $W(\mathfrak{R}):=W_{\mathbb{Z}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{R}$ for any $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\mathfrak{R}$. Both $G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $G_h^{\mathfrak{F}}$ are algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and there are two isomorphisms*

$$G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}\cong \mathrm{GL}(W) \quad \textit{and} \quad G_h^{\mathfrak{F}}\cong \mathrm{Sp}(W^{\perp}/W,\psi).$$

Lemma 2.8. *The group homomorphism $j_{\mathfrak{F}}:N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})\to \mathrm{Aut}(U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}))$ induces a group homomorphism $j_{C(\mathfrak{F})}:N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})\to\mathrm{Aut}(C(\mathfrak{F}))$. Moreover, $j_{C(\mathfrak{F})}$ factors through $p_{l,\mathfrak{F}}:N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})\longrightarrow G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[dr, "j_{C(\mathfrak{F})}"] & \\
N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[ur, "p_{l,\mathfrak{F}}"] \arrow[rr, "j_{C(\mathfrak{F})}"] & & \mathrm{Aut}(C(\mathfrak{F}))
\end{tikzcd}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the statements in case of $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{F}_k$. Let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}}$ be a fixed point in $C(\mathfrak{F})$. Since $G_h^{\mathfrak{F}}\cdot\mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{F}}$ centralizes $U^{\mathfrak{F}}$, We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{the orbit of } \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}} \text{ for the adjoint action of } N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ on } U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})\\
=\;&\text{the orbit of } \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}} \text{ for the adjoint action of } G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ on } U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})\\
=\;&C(\mathfrak{F}).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is given by the computation in the example 2.6. Therefore, we get the group homomorphism $j_{C(\mathfrak{F})}:N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})\to\mathrm{Aut}(C(\mathfrak{F}))$ and $j_{C(\mathfrak{F})}$ factors through the morphism $p_{l,\mathfrak{F}}$. ☐

Define

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}:=\Gamma\cap\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F}),\ \ \overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}:=j_{C(\mathfrak{F})}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}).$$

Since $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F})\subset N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F})^{+}=N^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})^{+}$, the group $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a discrete subgroup of the real Lie group $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F})$(cf. [Mil]), and there is an inclusion

$$\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}=j_{C(\mathfrak{F})}(\Gamma\cap G_l^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}))\xrightarrow{\subset}\mathrm{Aut}(U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z}))\cap\mathrm{Aut}(C(\mathfrak{F})).$$

Definition 2.9 (Cf.[AMRT]&[FC])**.** Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ and $\mathbb{G}$ an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Q})$ with an action on $C(\mathfrak{F})$. A **$\mathbb{G}$-admissible polyhedral decomposition** of $C(\mathfrak{F})$ is a collection of convex rational polyhedral cones $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}=\{\sigma_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\alpha}\subset\overline{C(\mathfrak{F})}$ such that

- $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a fan;
- if $\sigma\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ then $\gamma(\sigma)\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ for $\forall\gamma\in\mathbb{G}$;
- the number of cones module $\mathbb{G}$ is finite;
- $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F})}^{\mathrm{rc}}=\bigcup\limits_{\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{F}}$.

A $\mathbb{G}$-admissible polyhedral decompositions $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F})$ is **regular** with respect to an arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma^{'}\subset\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ if $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a regular fan with respect to the lattice $\Gamma^{'}\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark. Each convex rational polyhedral cone in $C(\mathfrak{F})$ is automatically strong since $C(\mathfrak{F})$ is non-degenerate. Moreover, the construction in [AMRT] implies that every cone in a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$-admissible polyhedral decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}=\{\sigma_{\alpha}\}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F})$ is actual a face of one top-dimensional cone in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$(i.e., a cone $\sigma_{\max}^{\mathfrak{F}}\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ with $\dim\sigma_{\max}^{\mathfrak{F}}=\dim_{\mathbb{R}}C(\mathfrak{F})$).

Definition 2.10 (Cf.[AMRT])**.** Let $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ be an arithmetic subgroup.

1. A **Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions** is a collection $\{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$-admissible polyhedral decompositions $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}=\{\sigma_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{F}}\}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F})$, $\mathfrak{F}$ running over the cusps of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ such that
    - if $\mathfrak{F}^2=\gamma\mathfrak{F}^1$ for some $\gamma\in\Gamma$ then $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^2}=\gamma(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1})$.

- if $\mathfrak{F}^1 \prec \mathfrak{F}^2$ then $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^2} = \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}^1} \cap \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}^2)} \mid \sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}^1} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1}\}$.

2. A Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions $\{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is called **regular** if for any cusp $\mathfrak{F}$ the $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$-admissible polyhedral decompositions $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F})$ is regular with respect to Γ.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ be an arithmetic subgroup. Let $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\}$ be a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$, where $\mathfrak{F}_0$ is the standard minimal cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$. The $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ endows a Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions $\{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ as follows :*

Step 1: *For any minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{\min} = M(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ with $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$, we define*

$$\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} := M(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}) = \{M\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}M^{-1} \mid \sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}\}.$$

Step 2: *For any cusp $\mathfrak{F}$, if $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ is a minimal cusp with $\mathfrak{F}_{\min} \prec \mathfrak{F}$ then we define*

$$\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}} := \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}|_{\overline{C(\mathfrak{F})}} = \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} \cap \overline{C(\mathfrak{F})} \mid \sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}\}.$$

Moreover, we have :

1. *$\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is regular with respect to Γ if $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is regular with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$.*
2. *If $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is regular with respect to Γ then the family $\{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is regular.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

2.3. **General toroidal compactifications of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$.** Let $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W = k$. Denote by

$$D(\mathfrak{F}) := U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_g = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathrm{U}^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C})} \alpha\mathfrak{S}_g \subset \check{\mathfrak{S}}_g.$$

Since $W_0^{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{S}_g$, we have $D(\mathfrak{F}) = \exp(\sqrt{-1}u^{\mathfrak{F}})\mathfrak{S}_g = \bigcup\limits_{C \in u^{\mathfrak{F}}} \exp(\sqrt{-1}C)\mathfrak{S}_g$.

The following result is another version of the lemma 1.15 :

Proposition 2.12 (Cf.[Sat],[AMRT],[KW65],[Fal])**.** *Notations as in Corollary 1.12. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a cusp of $\mathfrak{S}_g$. We have*

$$D(\mathfrak{F}) = \{F \in \check{\mathfrak{S}}_g \mid \sqrt{-1}\psi(v,\overline{v}) > 0 \ \ \text{for } 0 \neq v \in F \cap W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}\} \tag{2.12.1}$$

and a diffeomorphism

$$\varphi : u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \times v_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \times \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} D(\mathfrak{F}) \ \ (a+\sqrt{-1}b, c, F) \longmapsto \exp(a+\sqrt{-1}b)\exp(c)(\check{F})$$

such that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}_g) = (u^{\mathfrak{F}} + \sqrt{-1}C(\mathfrak{F})) \times v_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \times \mathfrak{F}$.

Corollary 2.13. *Notations as in Corollary 1.12. Let $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(W_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a cusp of $\mathfrak{S}_g$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W_{\mathbb{R}} = k$. The space $V^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})$ has a natural complex structure such that it is isomorphic to $M_{g-k,k}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, there is an isomorphism $\Phi : U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{g-k,k}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} D(\mathfrak{F})$.*

We sketch the construction of a general toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}^{\mathrm{tor}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ by following [AMRT] in the complex analytic topology. Let $\Sigma_{\mathrm{tor}}^{\Gamma} = \{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ be a general Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an arbitrary cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$. Define

$$L_{\mathfrak{F}} := \Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q}), \ M_{\mathfrak{F}} = L_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\vee} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L_{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We have $L_{\mathfrak{F}} = \Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z})$ as $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$. Using 2.5.2, $L_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a full lattice in the vector space $U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C}) \cong u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}}$. The algebraic torus $T_{\mathfrak{F}} := \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C}[M_{\mathfrak{F}}]$ is isomorphic analytically to $\frac{U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C})}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})}$ which is $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{\dim u^{\mathfrak{F}}}$. Then, there is an analytic isomorphism $\frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \cong T_{\mathfrak{F}} \times v_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \times \mathfrak{F}$ by the embedding of Siegel domain of third type $D(\mathfrak{F}) \cong u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \times v_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \times \mathfrak{F}$, so that there is a principal $T_{\mathfrak{F}}$-bundle $\frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \to \frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}}}$. We note that if $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ is a minimal cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ then $D(\mathfrak{F})/u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a space of one single point. For any cone $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$, we replace $T_{\mathfrak{F}}$ with X_σ by the open embedding $T_{\mathfrak{F}} \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} X_\sigma$, and obtain a fiber bundle $P_{\mathfrak{F},\sigma} : X_\sigma \times_{T_{\mathfrak{F}}} \frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \longrightarrow \frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{u^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathbb{C}}}$. Define

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F},\sigma} = \text{the interior of the closure of } \tfrac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \text{ in } X_\sigma \times_{T_{\mathfrak{F}}} \tfrac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})}. \tag{2.13.1}$$

As gluing all X_σ to construct the scheme $X_{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$, we glue $P_{\mathfrak{F},\sigma} : X_\sigma \times_{T_{\mathfrak{F}}} \frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \to \frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{u^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ altogether to obtain a fiber bundle $P_{\mathfrak{F}} : X_{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}} \times_{T_{\mathfrak{F}}} \frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \longrightarrow \frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{u^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ with fiber $X_{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$, and we also glue $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F},\sigma}$ altogether to obtain an analytic space $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$. We call $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$ the **partial compactification in the direction** $\mathfrak{F}$ of the Siegel variety $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. Obviously, we have

$$Z'_{\mathfrak{F}} = \text{the interior of the closure of } \tfrac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \text{ in } X_{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}} \times_{T_{\mathfrak{F}}} \frac{D(\mathfrak{F})}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})}.$$

For any cusp $\mathfrak{F}$ and any element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there is an analytic isomorphism $\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F},\gamma\mathfrak{F}} : Z'_{\mathfrak{F}} \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} Z'_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}}$; and for any two cusps $\mathfrak{F}_1 \prec \mathfrak{F}_2$, there is an analytic étale morphism $\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_2,\mathfrak{F}_1} : Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_2} \longrightarrow Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_1}$ (cf.Lemma 1 in §5 Chap.III [AMRT]).

Here is an important example that we use frequently :

Example 2.14. Let $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(W)$ be a cusp of $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W = k > 0$. Assume Γ is neat. We can describe $Z_{\mathfrak{F},\sigma}$ in a local coordinate system: Let σ be a regular cone in $C(\mathfrak{F})$ of top-dimension $k(k+1)/2$. As in [AMRT] and [Mum77], we take a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\zeta_\alpha\}_1^{k(k+1)/2}$ of $\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\mathbb{R}_+\zeta_1, \cdots, \mathbb{R}_+\zeta_{k(k+1)/2}$ are the all edges of σ. Let $\{\delta_\alpha\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\zeta_\alpha\}$ in $M_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then, we have an open embedding

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{F}} : \mathfrak{H}_g \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{g-k,k}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{F}(W) \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}^{k(k+1)/2} \times M_{g-k,k}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{S}(W^\perp/W, \psi_W),$$

so that

$$(u_\alpha, s_i, t_j) \in \mathbb{C}^{k(k+1)/2} \times M_{g-k,k}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{S}(W^\perp/W, \psi_W) \tag{2.14.1}$$

endows a coordinates system of $\mathfrak{H}_g$(Note we write $u \in U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{C})$ as $u = \sum_\alpha u_\alpha\zeta_\alpha$). Consider the exponential

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{H}_g \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{C}^{k(k+1)/2} \times M_{k,g-k}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{S}(W^\perp/W, \psi_W) \arrow[d, "{(z_\alpha := \exp((2\pi\sqrt{-1})\delta_\alpha(u)), s_i, t_j)}"] \\
\frac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \arrow[r, "\subset"] & (\mathbb{C}^*)^{k(k+1)/2} \times M_{k,g-k}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{S}(W^\perp/W, \psi_W)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.14.2}$$

There holds $\bigcup\limits_{\beta=1}^{k(k+1)/2} \{(z_\alpha, s_i, t_j) \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F},\sigma} \mid z_\beta = 0\} \overset{\subset}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F},\sigma} \setminus \frac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})}$.

An analytic variety X together with an open embedding $U_X \subset X$ is a **toroidal embedding** if any point $x \in X$ has a small neighborhood W_x such that $(W_x, W_x \cap U_X)$ is analytically isomorphic to $(V, V \cap T)$ for some neighborhood $V(x) \subset X_\sigma$ of some point $t \in X_\sigma$ for some torus embedding $T \subset X_\sigma$ given by some strongly convex cone σ(cf.[AMRT]& [KKMS]).

Lemma 2.15. *Let $\Sigma^\Gamma_{\text{tor}} = \{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ be a Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions.*
We have :

1. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an arbitrary cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$. The collection $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}, \sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is a stratification of $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$. In particular,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}, \sigma)}^{\text{cl}} = \coprod_{\delta \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}, \delta \succeq \sigma} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}, \delta) \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}},$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma)}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ *is the closure of* $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma)$ *in* $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Moreover, the open embedding $\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}}(:=\frac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})})\xrightarrow{\subset} Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *is a toroidal embedding without self-intersections, i.e., every irreducible component of* $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}\setminus\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *is normal.*

2. *For any two cusps* $\mathfrak{F}^1,\mathfrak{F}^2$ *with* $\mathfrak{F}^1\prec\mathfrak{F}^2$, $\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F}^2,\mathfrak{F}^1}:(Z'_{\mathfrak{F}^2},\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}^2})\longrightarrow(Z'_{\mathfrak{F}^1},\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}^1})$ *is a toroidal morphism(cf.*[AMRT] *for the definition).*

Proof. 1. The proposition 2.2 confirms the first statement in (1) immediately.

For any two cusps $\mathfrak{F}^1$ and $\mathfrak{F}^2$ with $\mathfrak{F}^1\prec\mathfrak{F}^2$, by Lemma 1 in §5 Chap.III [AMRT], the morphism $\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F}^2,\mathfrak{F}^1}:Z'_{\mathfrak{F}^2}\to Z'_{\mathfrak{F}^1}$ is almost equivariant, in sense that if a $t\in T_{\mathfrak{F}^2}$ and a $p\in Z'_{\mathfrak{F}^2}$ have $t\cdot p\in Z'_{\mathfrak{F}^2}$ then $t\cdot\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F}^2,\mathfrak{F}^1}(p)=\Pi_{\mathfrak{F}^2,\mathfrak{F}^1}(t\cdot p)$. Thus, the morphism $\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F}^2,\mathfrak{F}^1}$ maps any stratum $\mathcal{S}^{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{F}^2}$ of $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}^2}$ to a unique stratum of $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}^1}$. Precisely, we have $\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F}^2,\mathfrak{F}^1}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}^2,\sigma_\beta))\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}^1,\sigma_\beta)$ since $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^2}=\{\sigma_\beta\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1}\mid\sigma_\beta\subset\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}^2)}\}$. Furthermore, there holds

$$\Pi'^{-1}_{\mathfrak{F}^2,\mathfrak{F}^1}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}^1,\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}^1}_\alpha))=\begin{cases}\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}^2,\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}^1}_\alpha) & \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}^1}_\alpha\subset\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}^2)},\\ \emptyset & \text{others.}\end{cases}$$

for $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1}=\{\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}^1}_\alpha\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an arbitrary cusp. There is a minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}\prec\mathfrak{F}$. It is obvious that the open embedding $\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}\xrightarrow{\subset}Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ is a toroidal embedding. Since $\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ is a étale morphism and $\Pi'^{-1}_{\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}})=\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}}$, we then obtain that the embedding $\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}}\subset Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is toroidal. That the embedding $\mathbb{U}_{\mathfrak{F}}\subset Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$ has non self-intersections is a direct consequence of the corollary 2.3.

2. It is clear by the above argument.

□

The disjoint union $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}:=\overset{\circ}{\bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{F}}}Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$ has a natural Γ-action. An equivalent relation R is defined on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}$: We say $x\sim^R y$ for $x\in Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_1},y\in Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_2}$ if and only if there exists a cusp $\mathfrak{F}_3$, a point $z\in Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_3}$ and a $\gamma\in\Gamma$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_1\preceq\mathfrak{F}_3,\gamma\mathfrak{F}_2\preceq\mathfrak{F}_3$ and

$$(\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_3,\mathfrak{F}_1}:Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_3}\longrightarrow Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_1})\text{ maps } z \text{ to } x,\quad(\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_3,\gamma\mathfrak{F}_2}:Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_3}\longrightarrow Z'_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}_2})\text{ maps } z\text{ to } y.\tag{2.15.1}$$

Shown in §5 – §6 Chap. III. [AMRT], the transitivity condition of the relation R holds and the relation graph in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}\times\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}$ is closed. We then obtain a compact Hausdorff analytic variety $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{g,\Gamma}:=\frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}}{\sim R}$, which is called a **toroidal compactification** of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is an algebraic space, but not projective in general. However, a theorem of Tai(cf.Chap.IV [AMRT]) shows that if $\Sigma^{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{tor}}$ is projective(cf.Definition 2.1 in Chap. IV. of [AMRT]) then $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is a projective variety.

The main theorem I in [AMRT] shows that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is the unique Hausdorff analytic variety containing $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ as an open dense subset such that

- for every cusp $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathfrak{H}_g$, there is an open morphisms $\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}}$ making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}\frac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & Z'_{\mathfrak{F}} \arrow[d, "\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}}"] \\ \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} \arrow[r, hook] & \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{g,\Gamma};\end{tikzcd}\tag{2.15.2}$$

- $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{tor}}_{g,\Gamma}=\bigcup_{\mathrm{Cusps}\,\mathfrak{F}}\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}}(Z'_{\mathfrak{F}})$.

2.4. **Infinity boundary divisors on toroidal compactifications.** For a polyhedral decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$, we can take part all edges in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ into two disjoint sets:

- Interior-edge=$\{\rho \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}(1) \mid \mathrm{Int}(\rho) \subset C(\mathfrak{F}_0)\}$,
- Boundary-edge=$\{\rho \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}(1) \mid \mathrm{Int}(\rho) \cap C(\mathfrak{F}_0) = \emptyset\}$,

where $\mathrm{Int}(\sigma)$ is defined to be the set of relative interior points of $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. These two sets are both $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}(= \Gamma \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{F}_0))$-invariant for any arithmetic subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 2.16. *Let* Γ *be a neat arithmetic subgroup of* $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Q})$ *and* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} = \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\}_\alpha$ *a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F})$*. Let* ρ *be an edge in the set Boundary-edge.*

Assume that $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *is regular with respect to* Γ*. There is a unique rationally defined one dimensional isotopic subspace* W_ρ *of* $V(= V^{(0)})$ *such that* $\mathrm{Int}(\rho) = C(\mathfrak{F}(W_\rho))$*. Moreover, for any cone* $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *there exists a unique cusp* $\mathfrak{F}_\sigma$ *such that* $\mathfrak{F}_0 \preceq \mathfrak{F}_\sigma$ *and* $\mathrm{Int}(\sigma) \subset C(\mathfrak{F}_\sigma)$*.*

Proof. By Theorem 3 in §4.4 of Chap.III [AMRT], any proper rational boundary component of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$(cf.Definition 2.4) is of form $C(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ by a cusp $\mathfrak{F}_1$ with $\mathfrak{F}_0 \prec \mathfrak{F}_1$. Thus, there is a cusp $\mathfrak{F}'$ different with $\mathfrak{F}_0$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_0 \prec \mathfrak{F}'$ and $\rho \in \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}')}$.

Suppose $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}(W')$ has $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} W' \geq 2$. By the lemma 2.11, $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ endows a Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions $\{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}'}$ is regular to with respect to Γ. Since $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}_0)}^{\mathrm{rc}} = \bigcup\limits_\alpha \sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}$, there exists a top-dimensional cone $\sigma_{\max} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ and a face τ of $\sigma_{\max}$ such that ρ is an edge of τ and $\tau \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}'}$ with $\dim \tau = \dim C(\mathfrak{F}')$. Since the cone $\sigma_{\max}$ is regular, there is a face δ of σ satisfying $\rho \in \delta$ and $\delta \notin \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}'}$. Thus, we have another cusp $\mathfrak{F}'' = \mathfrak{F}(W'')$ that W'' is not a subspace of W' and $\delta \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}''}$. Then, $\rho \in u^{\mathfrak{F}(W' \cap W'')}$ by the lemma 2.5, and so $\mathrm{Int}(\rho)$ is in a proper rational boundary component of $C(\mathfrak{F}')$.

By recurrence, we obtain that $\mathrm{Int}(\rho)$ is a proper rational boundary component of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ and there is a rationally defined one dimensional isotopic subspace W_ρ of V such that $\mathrm{Int}(\rho) = C(\mathfrak{F}(W_\rho))$. The uniqueness is due to(3) of the lemma 2.5.

The rest can be obtained by similar method. □

Definition 2.17. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ be an arithmetic subgroup. A **symmetric Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions** is the Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions induced by a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ as in the lemma 2.11. A **symmetric toroidal compactification** $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ of a Siegel variety $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is a toroidal compactification constructed by a symmetric Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions.

Definition 2.18. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ be an arithmetic subgroup and $\mathfrak{F}$ a cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$. We say that a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$-admissible polyhedral decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F})$ has **non Γ-self-intersections** if a $\gamma \in \overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ satisfies $\gamma(\sigma) \cap \sigma \neq \{0\}$ for a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ then γ acts as the identity on the cone σ.

Remark. Note that any $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$-admissible polyhedral decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F})$ can be subdivided into another regular $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$-admissible polyhedral decomposition $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{F}}$(cf.[AMRT],[FC]), and it is obvious that the regular refinement $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ also has non Γ-self-intersections provided that $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ has non Γ-self-intersections.

The following lemma 2.19 says that our definition of a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$-admissible polyhedral decomposition without Γ-self-intersection is compatible with the condition (ii) in §2.4 Chap IV [FC].

Lemma 2.19. *Let* Γ *be an arithmetic subgroup of* $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Q})$*. Let* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *be a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$*-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F})$*, where* $\mathfrak{F}$ *is a cusp of* $\mathfrak{H}_g$*.*

Assume that $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *is regular with respect to* Γ*. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

i. $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *has non* Γ*-self-intersections;*
ii. *if a* $\gamma \in \overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ *satisfies* $\gamma(\sigma) \cap \sigma \neq \{0\}$ *for a cone* $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *then* γ *acts as the identity on* $C(\mathfrak{F})$*.*

Proof. By definition, $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ has non Γ-self-intersections if and only if that a $\gamma \in \overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ satisfying $\gamma(\sigma) \cap \sigma \neq \{0\}$ for some cone $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ will act as the identity on any cone in the set $\{\tau \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}} \mid \tau \cap \gamma(\sigma) \cap \sigma \neq \{0\}\}$. Indeed, a regular top-dimensional cone $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\max}$ has the form

$$\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\max} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i y_i | \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \ \ i = 1, \cdots, t\}$$

such that $\{y_1, \cdots, y_t\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Z})$. If γ acts as the identity on $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\max}$ then γ has to act as the identity on $C(\mathfrak{F})$. □

Lemma 2.20. *Let* $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ *be an arithmetic subgroup. Let* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *be a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$*. Let* $\{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *be the symmetric* Γ*-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions induced by* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$*(cf.Lemma 2.11).*

Assume $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *has non* Γ*-self-intersections. We have :*

1. *For any cusp* $\mathfrak{F}$ *of* $\mathfrak{H}_g$*, the induced* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$*-admissible polyhedral decomposition* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ *of* $C(\mathfrak{F})$ *has non* Γ*-self-intersections.*
2. *For any subgroup* Γ' *of* Γ *with finite index,* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *has non* Γ'*-self-intersections as a* $\overline{\Gamma'_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*-admissible polyhedral decomposition.*

Proof. The first statement is straightforward by the lemma 2.11, and the second is obvious. □

Let $\overline{D/\Gamma}$ be an arbitrary toroidal compactification of a locally symmetric variety D/Γ. In general, the toroidal embedding $D/\Gamma \subset \overline{D/\Gamma}$ is not without self-intersection. The main theorems II in [AMRT] says that $D/\Gamma \subset \overline{D/\Gamma}$ is without monodromy : For each stratum $\mathcal{O}$, the branches of $\overline{D/\Gamma} - D/\Gamma$ through $\mathcal{O}$ are not permuted by going around loops in $\mathcal{O}$.

For more efficient applications of toroidal compactifications in geometry, we continue to study the smooth compactifications of Siegel varieties, and exploit the infinity boundary divisors of smooth compactifications explicitly.

Theorem 2.21. *Let* $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ *be an arithmetic subgroup and let* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}_0}_{\alpha}\}$ *be a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ *where* $\mathfrak{F}_0$ *is the standard minimal cusp of* $\mathfrak{H}_g$*. Let* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *be the symmetric toroidal compactification of* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *constructed by* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$*,* $D_\infty := \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *the boundary divisor.*

Assume that the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *is regular with respect to* Γ*. We have :*

1. *The number of irreducible components of* D_∞ *is equal to*
$$[\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma] + [\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma] \times \#\{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}\textit{-orbits in Interior-edge}\}.$$
2. *The compactification* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *is a smooth compact analytic variety with simple normal crossing boundary divisor* D_∞*, if the group* Γ *is neat and the decomposition* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *has non* Γ*-self-intersections.*

Proof. We define an equivalent relation on the set of cusps : $\mathfrak{F} \sim^{\Gamma} \mathfrak{F}'$ if and only if there exists an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\mathfrak{F}' = \gamma\mathfrak{F}$. The equivalent class is denoted by $[\cdot]$.

Let $\{\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}\}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ be the symmetric Γ-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions induced by the given decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. For any cusp $\mathfrak{F}$, we also define an equivalent relation on $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$: $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha} \sim^{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}} \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\beta}$ if and only if there exists an element $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ such that $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\beta} = \gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha})$. Denoted this equivalent class by $[\cdot]_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

For each cusp $\mathfrak{F}$, a basic fact is that the group $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}/\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R})$ acts properly discontinuously on $Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}}$(cf.Proposition 1 in §6.3 Chap.III[AMRT]), thus the morphism $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ factors through a morphism $\pi_{\mathfrak{F}}: Z_{\mathfrak{F}} \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ so that there is a commutative diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}}"] \arrow[dr, "\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}}"'] & Z_{\mathfrak{F}} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\mathfrak{F}}"] \\ & \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}, \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.21.1}$$

where $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the quotient of $Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ by $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}/\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a quotient morphism.

Let $\mathfrak{F}^1, \mathfrak{F}^2$ be two arbitrary cusps with $\mathfrak{F}^2 \prec \mathfrak{F}^1$. Since there is $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1} = \{\sigma_\beta \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^2} \mid \sigma_\beta \subset \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}^1)}\}$, we always have

$$\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}^1}} - \text{orbit of } \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}^1}_{\alpha} \text{ in } \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1} = (\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}^2}} - \text{orbit of } \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}^1}_{\alpha} \text{ in } \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^2})\bigcap \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1} \quad \forall \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}^1}_{\alpha} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1}.$$

Then, we get an induced morphism $\Pi_{\mathfrak{F}^1,\mathfrak{F}^2}: Z_{\mathfrak{F}^1} \longrightarrow Z_{\mathfrak{F}^2}$. Moreover, $\Pi_{\mathfrak{F}^1,\mathfrak{F}^2}$ is a local isomorphism satisfying the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}^1} \arrow[r, "\Pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}^1,\mathfrak{F}^2}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}^1}"'] & Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}^2} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}^2}"] \\ Z_{\mathfrak{F}^1} \arrow[r, "\Pi_{\mathfrak{F}^1,\mathfrak{F}^2}"] & Z_{\mathfrak{F}^2} \end{tikzcd}$$

Recall the construction of a general toroidal compactification of $\mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$, the condition 2.15.1 of the relation R ensures that the following diagram is commutative :

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z_{\mathfrak{F}_2} \arrow[r, "\Pi_{\mathfrak{F}_2,\mathfrak{F}_1}"] \arrow[dr, "\pi_{\mathfrak{F}_2}"'] & Z_{\mathfrak{F}_1} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\mathfrak{F}_1}"] \\ & \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.21.2}$$

for any two cusps $\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2$ with $\mathfrak{F}_1 \prec \mathfrak{F}_2$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ be an arbitrary minimal cusp. Denote by

$$\mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}]} := \pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}(Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}),$$

it is well-defined since $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}}(Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}}) = \pi^{'}_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}}(Z^{'}_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}})$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\forall \mathfrak{F}$. As $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ is an open morphism, the set $\mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}]}$ is open in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. There are useful properties F1-F4 :

F1: Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an arbitrary cusp and γ an arbitrary element in Γ. It is obvious that there is an induced isomorphism $\Pi_{\mathfrak{F},\gamma\mathfrak{F}}: Z_{\mathfrak{F}} \to Z_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}} \arrow[r, "\Pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F},\gamma\mathfrak{F}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}}"'] & Z^{'}_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}}"] \\ Z_{\mathfrak{F}} \arrow[r, "\Pi_{\mathfrak{F},\gamma\mathfrak{F}}"] & Z_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}} \end{tikzcd}$$

Thus, it is easy to get

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}, \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha})) = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}, \kappa(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha}))) \ \forall \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}},\ \forall \kappa \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}.$$

For any cone $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$, we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}, [\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}]_{\mathfrak{F}}) := \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}, \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})).$$

The collection $\{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}, [\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}}]_{\mathfrak{F}})\}_{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is then a stratification of $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

F2: Let $\mathfrak{F}^1, \mathfrak{F}^2$ be two arbitrary cusps with $\mathfrak{F}^2 \prec \mathfrak{F}^1$. Since $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1} = \{\sigma_\beta \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^2} \mid \sigma_\beta \subset \overline{C(\mathfrak{F}^1)}\}$, we obtain

$$\Pi^{-1}_{\mathfrak{F}^1,\mathfrak{F}^2}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}^2, [\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}^2}]_{\mathfrak{F}^2})) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}^1, [\tau]_{\mathfrak{F}^1}) & \text{if } \exists \tau \in [\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}^2}]_{\mathfrak{F}^2} \text{ such that } \tau \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^1} \\ \emptyset & \text{others} \end{cases} \tag{2.21.3}$$

by the lemma 2.15.

F3: For any cusp $\mathfrak{F}(W)$ and for any element γ in Γ, $\mathfrak{F}(W \cap \gamma(W))$ is the unique minimal one in the set of cusps $\{\mathfrak{F} \mid \mathfrak{F}(W) \prec \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}(\gamma(W)) \prec \mathfrak{F}\}$, and so we can glue $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}(W)}$ and $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma(W))}$ along $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}(W \cap \gamma(W))}$.

On the other hand, if we restrict the action of the relation R on $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ then this relation R is reduced to the action of the $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ on $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$. We indeed obtain an analytic isomorphism

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} : Z_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}]}. \tag{2.21.4}$$

Therefore, the morphisms

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{F}} : Z_{\mathfrak{F}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \ \forall \mathfrak{F}$$

are all local isomorphisms by the commutative diagram 2.21.2.

F4: Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an arbitrary cusp. We define

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}, [\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}]_{\mathfrak{F}}) := \pi_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}, [\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}]_{\mathfrak{F}})) = \pi'_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}, \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})) \ \forall \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}.$$

Since $\pi_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a local isomorphism, $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}, [\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}}]_{\mathfrak{F}})\}_{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is also a stratification of $\pi_{\mathfrak{F}}(Z_{\mathfrak{F}})$. In particular, $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_{\min}, [\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}]_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}})\}_{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}}$ is a stratification of $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$. Furthermore, we have isomorphisms

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} : \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}_{\min}, [\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}]_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_{\min}, [\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}]_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}) \ \forall \sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}. \tag{2.21.5}$$

For any two cusps $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ and $\mathfrak{F}'_{\min}$, we observe that $\mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}]} \neq \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}'_{\min}]}$ if and only if $[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}] \neq [\mathfrak{F}'_{\min}]$. Thus, the toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is covered by $[\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]$ different open sets :

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{[\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z}):\Gamma]} \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}]}$$

where $\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}$'s are minimal cusps such that $[\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}] \neq [\mathfrak{F}^j_{\min}]$ if $i \neq j$. Therefore, to study D_∞ on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$, it is sufficient to study all codimension-one strata on $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.

We always fixed $\mathfrak{F}^1_{\min}$ as the standard minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_0$. Let ρ be an edge in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})$ the associated stratum in $\mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]}$.

i. **Claim 1.** Suppose that $[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}] \neq [\mathfrak{F}_0]$.

That $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0}) \bigcap \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}]} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there is an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\rho \subset (\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}_0)} \setminus C(\mathfrak{F}_0)) \bigcap (\overline{C(\gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min})} \setminus C(\gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min})).$$

Proof of the Claim 1. The "if" part : By the lemma 2.16, there is a cusp $\mathfrak{F}_\rho$ of depth one such that $\mathfrak{F}_0 \prec \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ and $\mathrm{Int}(\rho) = C(\mathfrak{F}_\rho)$. Clearly, $C(\mathfrak{F}_\rho)$ is also a rational boundary component of $C(\gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min})$. Thus, $\mathfrak{F}_0 \prec \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ and $\gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min} \prec \mathfrak{F}_\rho$. The gluing condition 2.15.1, together with 2.21.3 and 2.21.5 shows that $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0}) \cap \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}]} \neq \emptyset$.

The "only if" part : We have a $z \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})$ such that $z \in \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]} \cap \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}]}$. By the gluing condition 2.15.1, there exists a $\gamma \in \Gamma$, a cusp $\mathfrak{F}^{'}$ and a point in $x \in Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}^{'}}$ such that $\mathfrak{F}_0 \prec \mathfrak{F}^{'}, \gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min} \prec \mathfrak{F}^{'}$ and $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}^{'}}(x) = z$. Thus, the edge ρ is in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^{'}}$ by the lemma 2.15, and so $\rho \in \Sigma_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} = \gamma(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}})$.

The cusp $\mathfrak{F}^{'}$ can not be $\mathfrak{F}_0$ by the condition $[\mathfrak{F}_{\min}] \neq [\mathfrak{F}_0]$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\rho \subset (\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}_0)} \setminus C(\mathfrak{F}_0)) \bigcap (\overline{C(\gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min})} \setminus C(\gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min}))$$

since $\rho \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^{'}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} \cap \Sigma_{\gamma\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$.

ii. We construct a global irreducible divisor D_ρ in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ by the edge ρ as follows :

- Case that ρ is in the set Interior-edge. The claim 1 shows that

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \bigcup_{i=2}^{[\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z}):\Gamma]} \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}]}.$$

Let D_ρ be the closure of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})$ in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. D_ρ is a global divisor in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$, and

$$D_\rho \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \bigcup_{i=2}^{[\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z}):\Gamma]} \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}]} \subset \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}^1_{\min}]} = \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]}.$$

- Case that ρ is in the set boundary-edge. The above case tell us that for any edge $\rho^{'}$, $D_{\rho^{'}} \subset \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]}$ if and only if $\rho^{'}$ is in the set Interior-edge.

Thus, we can rearrange the order of $\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}$'s, and we have an integer $l \geq 2$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0}) \cap \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}]} \neq \emptyset, & \text{for } i = 1, \cdots l; \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0}) \cap \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}]} = \emptyset, & \text{for other } i. \end{cases}$$

By the claim 1, we can suppose that $\rho \subset \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}}$ and that ρ is in $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min})} \setminus C(\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min})$ only for $i = 1, \cdots, l$. Then, there is a cusp $\mathfrak{F}_\rho$ of depth one such that $\rho \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_\rho}$ and $\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min} \prec \mathfrak{F}_\rho$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, l$ by the lemma 2.16. For each integer i in $[1, \cdots, l]$, the equality 2.21.3 says that $\mathcal{O}_\rho := \pi_{\mathfrak{F}_\rho}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}_\rho, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_\rho}))$ is in the stratum $\mathcal{O}_i := \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}, [\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}})$ of $\mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}^i_{\min}]}$, and $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ is an open subset in each $\mathcal{O}_i$ since each $\pi_{\mathfrak{F}_\rho}$ is a local isomorphism. We glue all $\mathcal{O}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{O}_l$ together along $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ to obtain an analytic subspace $\mathcal{S}_\rho$. Therefore, the closure D_ρ of $\mathcal{S}_\rho$ is a global divisor in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$.

Now we begin to prove the statements (1) and(2) in the theorem.

1. Define

$$\text{All-boundary-edge} := \bigcup_{\rho \in \text{Boundary-edge}} \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})} \gamma(\rho).$$

From the construction of the divisor by an edge in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$, we immediately obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the number of irreducible components of } D_\infty \\ = \; & \#\{\Gamma\text{-orbits in All-boundary-edge}\} + [\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma] \times \#\{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}\text{-orbits in Interior-edge}\} \\ = \; & [\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma] + [\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma] \times \#\{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}\text{-orbits in Interior-edge}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is due to the lemma 2.16 and the fact that every two cusps of depth one are $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$-equivalent(cf.Remark(4.16) in §5 [Nam]).

2. Suppose that the decomposition of $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ has non Γ-self-intersections.

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be an arbitrary cusp. By the lemma 2.20 the induced $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$-admissible polyhedral decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}} = \{\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}\}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F})$ has non Γ-self-intersections.

Claim 2. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}$, any $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ with $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \neq \{0\}$, the following two conditions are equivalent :

i. $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})}^{\text{cl}} \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}))}^{\text{cl}} \neq \emptyset$,

ii. γ acts as the identity on any cone in the set $\{\tau \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}} \mid \tau \succeq \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}\}$.

Proof of the Claim 2. Suppose $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})}^{\text{cl}} \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}))}^{\text{cl}} \neq \emptyset$. By the lemma 2.15, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})}^{\text{cl}} = \coprod_{\delta\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}},\delta\succeq\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\delta), \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}))}^{\text{cl}} = \coprod_{\delta\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}},\delta\succeq\gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\delta).$$

Because the collection $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma)\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is a stratification of $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$, we a cone $\delta \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ such that $\delta \succeq \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $\delta \succeq \gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})$. Thus $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \subset \delta \cap \gamma^{-1}(\delta)$. Since $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ has non Γ-self-intersections, γ acts as the identity on any cone $\tau \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ containing $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Using similar arguments in Claim 2, we also obtain :

Claim 3. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and let $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \neq \{0\}$ be a cone in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$. If $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}) \cap S(\mathfrak{F},\gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})) \neq \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}) = S(\mathfrak{F},\gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}))$ and the restricted morphism

$$\Pi'_{\mathfrak{F},\gamma\mathfrak{F}}|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})} : \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}) \longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{F},\gamma(\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}))$$

is the identification on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})$.

By the claim 2 and the claim 3, we have

$$\text{pr} : \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})}^{\text{cl}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F},[\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}]_{\mathfrak{F}})}^{\text{cl}} \ \ \forall\{0\} \neq \sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}.$$

Moreover, The statement(1) of the corollary 2.3 guarantees that $\overline{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F},[\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}]_{\mathfrak{F}})}^{\text{cl}}$ has only normal singularities for any nonzero cone $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

By the symmetry, we only need to consider singularities in the open set $\mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]}$. Since $\pi_{\mathfrak{F}_0} : Z_{\mathfrak{F}_0} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]}$ is an isomorphism, then there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{F}_0} : \overline{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}_0,[\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})}^{\text{cl}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0,[\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})}^{\text{cl}}$$

for any cone $\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0,[\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})}^{\text{cl}}$ is the closure of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0,[\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})$ in $\mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]}$.

For each edge ρ in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$, the global divisor D_ρ has that

$$D_\rho \cap \mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]} = \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F}_0,[\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})}^{\text{cl}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{F}_0,[\rho]_{\mathfrak{F}_0})}^{\text{cl}}.$$

Thus, D_ρ is a normal variety. In particular D_ρ has non self-intersections.

Since $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is regular with respect to Γ, the statement (2) of the corollary 2.3 guarantees that $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_0} = \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\{0\})}^{\text{cl}}$ is smooth, and that $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{F},[\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}}]_{\mathfrak{F}})}^{\text{cl}} (\cong \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F},\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}})}^{\text{cl}})$ is also smooth for any $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ with $\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}} \neq \{0\}$. That the irreducible components of D_∞ intersect transversely is obtained again by the statement (2) of the corollary 2.3.

Now we suppose that Γ is neat. The fundamental group of $A_{g,\Gamma}$ is then isomorphic to Γ, and so $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}/U^{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \Gamma$ acts freely on $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}}$ for any cusp $\mathfrak{F}$, thus the morphism $\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}} : Z'_{\mathfrak{F}} \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is étale. Therefore, $\mathfrak{U}_{[\mathfrak{F}_0]}$ is smooth since that $Z'_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is smooth.

□

Remark. Assume the condition that Γ is neat and the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ has non Γ-self-intersections. When an edge $\rho \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is exactly in the set Boundary-edge, by using the argument in Theorem 2.2 of [Wang93] we can assert that the associated irreducible boundary divisor $D_\rho \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is actually a smooth toroidal compactification $\overline{X}$ of some locally symmetric variety X. The variety X actually has a direct factor like a Siegel variety $\mathcal{A}_{g-1,\Gamma'}$ for some arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma^{'} \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g-1,\mathbb{Z})$ induced by Γ. The toroidal compactification $\overline{X}$ is then constructed by a Γ-admissible family which is induced by the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. Moreover, if two edges ρ_2, ρ_2 in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ are both in the set Boundary-edge then $D_{\rho_1} \cong D_{\rho_2}$.

Example 2.22 (Central cone decomposition)**.** In [Igu67]&[Nam], they prove that there exists a $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$-admissible rational polyhedral decomposition Σ_{cent}(**central cone decomposition**) of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ such that the induced $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$-admissible family of polyhedral decompositions Σ is projective, the decomposition Σ_{cent} has a regular(with respect to the lattice basis of $U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathbb{Z})$) cone of top dimension as $C(\mathfrak{F}_o)$ which is called the **principal cone** σ_0 :

$$\sigma_0 := \{X = (x_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Sym}_g(\mathbb{R}) \mid x_{ij} \leq 0 (i \neq j), \sum_{j=1}^{g} x_{ij} \geq 0 (\forall i)\}.$$

and if $g \leq 3$ then we have the following properties :

- Σ_{cent} is regular with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}(g, Z)$, and all edges of top-dimensional cones in Σ_{cent} are on the boundary of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$;
- the principal cone σ_0 is the unique maximal cone in Σ_{cent} up to $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$; furthermore, the cone σ_0 can be written down clearly as $\sigma_0 = \{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq g} \lambda_{i,j}\zeta_{i,j} \mid \lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ such that the following edges $\zeta_{i,j}$ are all in $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}_0)}^{\text{rc}} \setminus C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_{i,i} := E_{i,i}, & 1 \leq i \leq g; \\ \zeta_{i,j} := -E_{i,j} - E_{j,i} + E_{i,i} + E_{j,j}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq g. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the central cone decomposition Σ_{cent} must have $\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$-self-intersections.

Definition 2.23. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ be an arithmetic subgroup. Let $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\}$ be an $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$, and let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ be the symmetric toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ constructed by $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.

With respect to the open morphism $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0} : Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0} \to \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$, we define :

1. A top-dimensional cone $\sigma_{\max}$ in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is said to be **Γ-fine** if the restriction $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}|_{B_\rho}$ is an isomorphism onto its image for every edge ρ of itself, where B_ρ is the divisor constructed by ρ on $Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.
2. The constructed symmetric toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is called **geometrically Γ-fine** if the following condition is satisfied : The restriction $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}|_{B_\rho}$ is an isomorphism onto its image, where B_ρ is the divisor on $Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ constructed by ρ, ρ running over the edges of $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.

The proof of the theorem 2.21 tells us that a decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ without Γ-self-intersection will induces a geometrically Γ-fine symmetric toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. Actually we obtain :

Theorem 2.24. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ be a neat arithmetic subgroup and let $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ be a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ be the symmetric toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ constructed by $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.*

Assume that the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is regular with respect to Γ. The following conditions are equivalent :

i. Every irreducible component of the infinity boundary divisor $D_\infty = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ has non self-intersections;

ii. The compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *is geometrically* Γ*-fine;*
iii. the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *has non* Γ*-self-intersections.*
iv. The infinity boundary divisor $D_\infty = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *is simple normal crossing.*

Proof. By the corollary 2.3, that (i)$\Longleftrightarrow$(iv) is obviously true. The proof of the theorem 2.21 actually shows that (i)$\Longleftrightarrow$(ii) and (iii)$\Longrightarrow$(ii).

We now begin to show that (ii)$\Longrightarrow$(iii).

Since $\mathfrak{F}_0$ is a minimal cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$, $Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is isomorphic to the toroidal variety $X_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. Let σ be an arbitrary cone in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. Suppose that $\gamma \in \overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is an element such that $\gamma(\sigma) \cap \sigma \neq \{0\}$.

We know that $\tau := \gamma(\sigma) \cap \sigma$ is a face of σ. Let ρ be an arbitrary edge of τ. Then, $\rho_1 := \gamma^{-1}(\rho)$ is also an edge of σ. Let B_ρ(resp. B_{ρ_1}) be the divisor on $Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ corresponding to the edge ρ(resp. ρ_1). We must have $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}(B_\rho) = \pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}(B_{\rho_1})$.

Claim(*) $\gamma(\rho) = \rho$: Otherwise, there is a top-dimensional cone $\sigma_{\max} \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ containing σ, and so B_ρ intersects with B_{ρ_1} transversely by the corollary 2.3. It contradicts the condition that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is geometrically Γ-fine.

Since γ is an automorphism of the lattice $\Gamma \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathbb{Z})$, γ acts as the identity on the edge ρ, and so γ act as the identity on the cone τ.

Claim (**) $\tau = \sigma$: Otherwise, $\gamma(\sigma)$ and σ are two different cone in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. Let $\mathcal{O}^\sigma$(resp. $\mathcal{O}^{\gamma(\sigma)}$) be the orbit in $Z^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ corresponding to the cone σ(resp. $\gamma(\sigma)$). Let δ be an edge of τ. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{O}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{O}^{\gamma(\sigma)} \subset B_\delta, \;\; \mathcal{O}^\sigma \cap \mathcal{O}^{\gamma(\sigma)} = \emptyset.$$

But we also have

$$\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathcal{O}^\sigma) = \pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathcal{O}^{\gamma(\sigma)}),$$

which means that the image $\pi^{'}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}(B_\delta)$ have self-intersections. It is a contradiction.

Therefore, γ must acts as the identity on the cone σ. □

Corollary 2.25. *Let* $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ *be a neat arithmetic subgroup and let* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *be a geometrically* Γ*-fine symmetric toroidal compactification of the Siegel variety* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} := \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ *constructed by a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}_0}_\alpha\}$ *of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ *regular with respect to* Γ, *where* $\mathfrak{F}_0$ *is the standard minimal cusp of* $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

Let $D_1, \cdots, D_d$ *be d different irreducible components of the simple normal crossing boundary divisor* $D_\infty = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$.

We have :

1. *That* $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_d \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if the followings are held*
   - $d \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$;
   - *there exists a minimal cusp* $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ *of* $\mathfrak{H}_g$ *and a top-dimensional cone* $\sigma_{\max}$ *in* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ *with d differential edges* ρ_i $i = 1, \cdots, d$ *such that* $D_i = D_{\rho_i}$ $i = 1, \cdots, d$, *where* D_ρ *is the divisor constructed by an edge* ρ*(cf. Theorem 2.21).*
2. *Assume that* $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. *There are two cases:*
   - $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_d = \emptyset$ *and so* $D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdots D_d = 0$.
   - $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_d \neq \emptyset$ *and the intersection number*

$$D_1 \cdot D_2 \cdots D_d = 1.$$

Proof. It is straightforward by the intersection theory on toric geometry(cf.[Ful]).

□

3. CANONICAL VOLUME FORMS AND CONSTRAINED CONDITIONS OF DECOMPOSITIONS OF CONES

For any integer k in $[1, g]$, we still denote $\mathfrak{F}_k$ the cusp $\mathfrak{F}(V^{(g-k)})$ of $\mathfrak{H}_g$. We take coordinate system $\tau = (\tau_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq g} \in \mathfrak{H}_g$ of the Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g = \{\tau \in M_g(\mathbb{C}) \mid \tau =^t \tau, \mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$. The canonical Bergman metric on $\mathfrak{H}_g$ is given by

$$ds^2 = \sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g, 1\leq k\leq l\leq g} g_{ij,\overline{kl}} d\tau_{ij} d\overline{\tau_{kl}} := \mathrm{Tr}(d\tau \mathrm{Im}(\tau)^{-1} d\overline{\tau} \mathrm{Im}(\tau)^{-1})$$

(cf.[Sie43]), and its Kähler form is

$$\omega_{\mathrm{can}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g, 1\leq k\leq l\leq g} g_{ij,\overline{kl}} d\tau_{ij} \wedge d\overline{\tau_{kl}}.$$

Then the volume form is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\frac{g(g+1)}{2})!} \omega_{\mathrm{can}}^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} &= (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \det(g_{ij,\overline{kl}}) dV_g \\ &= (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \frac{dV_g}{(\det \mathrm{Im}(\tau))^{g+1}} =: \Phi_g(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$dV_g(\tau) := \bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} d\tau_{ij} \wedge d\overline{\tau_{ij}} = \alpha_{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} (\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} d\tau_{ij}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} d\overline{\tau_{ij}})$$

is the standard Euclidian volume form, where $\alpha_n := (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$ is a constant depending on positive integer n. We call $\Phi_g(\tau)$ the symplectic volume form on $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

It is well-known that ω_{can} is Kähler-Einstein. Precisely, we have

$$-\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \log \det(g_{ij,\overline{kl}}) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \log(\det \mathrm{Im}(\tau))^{g+1} = -\omega_{\mathrm{can}}. \tag{3.0.1}$$

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ be a neat arithmetic subgroup. Since($\mathfrak{H}_g$, ds^2) is $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R})$-invariant, it induces a canonical metric on the smooth Siegel variety $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} = \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$. The canonical metric is a complete Kähler-Einstein metric with negative Ricci curvature. The volume form Φ_g is also $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R})$-invariant, and so we have an induced canonical volume form $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. It is known that $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ is singular at the boundary divisor $D_\infty := \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} - \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ for any smooth toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$.

3.1. **Volume forms of the Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g$ associated to cusps.** Associated to a cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{g-k}$, We can write the volume form Φ_g in the coordinate system explicitly.

With respect to the embedding in the proposition 2.12

$$\varphi : u_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathfrak{F}_{g-k}} \times v_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{F}_{g-k}} \times \mathfrak{F}_{g-k} \xrightarrow{\cong} D(\mathfrak{F}_{g-k}),\ (u_1 + \sqrt{-1}u_2, v, F) \longmapsto \exp(u_1 + \sqrt{-1}u_2)\exp(v)(\check{F})$$

and $\varphi : (u^{\mathfrak{F}_{g-k}} + \sqrt{-1}C(\mathfrak{F}_{g-k})) \times v_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathfrak{F}_{g-k}} \times \mathfrak{F}_{g-k} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{S}_g$, we use the corollary 2.13 and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_g = \{ & \begin{pmatrix} I_{g-k} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_k & 0 & Z \\ 0 & 0 & I_{g-k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I_{g-k} & 0 & 0 & A \\ -{}^tB & I_k & {}^tA & \frac{{}^tAB - {}^tBA}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & I_{g-k} & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \check{F} \\ & \mid Z = X + \sqrt{-1}Y \in (\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R}) + \sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Sym}_k^+(\mathbb{R})), A + \sqrt{-1}B \in M_{g-k,k}(\mathbb{C}), \check{F} \in \mathfrak{F}_k^\vee \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Sym}_k^+(\mathbb{R}) = \{Y \in \mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R}) \mid Y > 0\}$.

Now, suppose $\tau^{'} \in \mathfrak{H}_{g-k}$ and $Z = X + \sqrt{-1}Y \in (\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R}) + \sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Sym}_k^{+}(\mathbb{R}))(=\mathfrak{H}_k)$. We note that each $\check{F} \in \mathfrak{F}_k^{\vee} = \mathfrak{F}((V^{g-k})^{\vee})$ can be written as :

$$(!) \qquad F_{\tau'} = \text{ subspace of } V_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ spanned by the column vectors of } \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{'} & 0 \\ 0 & 0_k \\ I_{g-k} & 0 \\ 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } \tau^{'} \in \mathfrak{H}_{g-k}.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_g \quad & \ni \quad \begin{pmatrix} I_{g-k} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_k & 0 & Z \\ 0 & 0 & I_{g-k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I_{g-k} & 0 & 0 & A \\ -{}^tB & I_k & {}^tA & \frac{{}^tAB - {}^tBA}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & I_{g-k} & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} F_{\tau'} \\
& = \quad \text{subspace of } V_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ spanned by the column vectors of } \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{'} & A \\ {}^t(A - \tau^{'}B) & Z + \frac{{}^tAB - {}^tBA}{2} \\ I_{g-k} & B \\ 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \quad \text{subspace of } V_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ spanned by the column vectors of} \\
& \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{'} & (A - \tau^{'}B) \\ {}^t(A - \tau^{'}B) & Z +^t B\tau^{'}B - \frac{({}^tAB + {}^tBA)}{2} \\ I_{g-k} & 0 \\ 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \\
& =: \quad F_{\tau}^{1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, this F_{τ}^{1} corresponds to a point $\tau := \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{'} & (A - \tau^{'}B) \\ {}^t(A - \tau^{'}B) & Z +^t B\tau^{'}B - \frac{({}^tAB + {}^tBA)}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathfrak{H}_g$ as we describe in the proposition 1.2. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Im}(\tau) \quad & = \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'}) & -\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'})B \\ -{}^tB\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'}) & \mathrm{Im}(Z) +^t B\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'})B \end{pmatrix} \\
\det \mathrm{Im}(\tau) \quad & = \quad \det \mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'}) \det \mathrm{Im}(Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Write $\tau^{'} = (t_{ij}),\ A = (a_{ij}),\ B = (b_{ij}),\ Z = (c_{ij}),$ and

$$S := A + \sqrt{-1}B = (s_{ij}), U := A - \tau^{'}B = (u_{ij}).$$

$((c_{ij}), (s_{ij}), (t_{i,j}))$ becomes a coordinate system of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ associated to the cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{g-k}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
du_{ij} \quad & = \quad da_{ij} + d(\sum_{\alpha} t_{i\alpha} b_{\alpha j}) \\
& = \quad da_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha} t_{i\alpha} db_{\alpha j} + \text{ forms containing } dt \\
d\overline{u_{ij}} \quad & = \quad da_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha} \overline{t_{i\alpha}} db_{\alpha j} + \text{ forms containing } d\overline{t} \\
du_{ij} \wedge d\overline{u_{ij}} \quad & = \quad -2\sqrt{-1} \sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{Im}(t_{i\alpha}) da_{ij} \wedge db_{\alpha j} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} t_{i\alpha}\overline{t_{i\beta}} db_{\alpha j} \wedge db_{\beta j} \\
& \qquad + \text{ forms containing } dt \text{ or } d\overline{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{k(g-k)}\bigwedge_{i,j} du_{ij}\wedge d\overline{u_{ij}}\\
= \ &\det\mathrm{Im}(t_{ij})(\bigwedge_{i,j} da_{ij}\wedge db_{ij}) + \text{ forms containing } dt \text{ or } d\bar{t}\\
= \ &(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{k(g-k)}\det\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'})(\bigwedge_{i,j} ds_{ij}\wedge d\overline{s_{ij}}) + \text{ forms containing } dt \text{ or } d\bar{t}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Write

$$R := Z +^t B\tau^{'}B - \frac{(^tAB +^t BA)}{2} = (r_{ij}),$$

we calculate the canonical volume form in coordinate system (c_{ij}, s_{ij}, t_{ij}) of $\mathfrak{H}_g$:

$$\begin{aligned}
dV_g(\tau) &= (\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g-k} dt_{ij}\wedge d\overline{t_{ij}})\wedge(\bigwedge_{ij} du_{ij}\wedge d\overline{u_{ij}})\wedge(\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq k} dr_{ij}\wedge d\overline{r_{ij}})\\
&= \det\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'})(\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g-k} dt_{ij}\wedge d\overline{t_{ij}})\wedge(\bigwedge_{ij} ds_{ij}\wedge d\overline{s_{ij}})\wedge(\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq k} dc_{ij}\wedge d\overline{c_{ij}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$d\mathrm{Vol}(\tau^{'}) := \bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g-k} dt_{ij}\wedge d\overline{t_{ij}}),\ \ d\mathrm{Vol}(S) := \bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq g-k, 1\leq j\leq k} ds_{ij}\wedge d\overline{s_{ij}},$$

$$\text{and } d\mathrm{Vol}(Z) := \bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq k} dc_{ij}\wedge d\overline{c_{ij}}.$$

Since $d\mathrm{Vol}(\tau^{'})$ is just the standard Euclidian volume form dV_{g-k} on $\mathfrak{H}_{g-k}$, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_g(\tau) &= (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}\frac{dV_g(\tau)}{(\det\mathrm{Im}(\tau))^{g+1}}\\
&= (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}\frac{\det\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'})dV_{g-k}\bigwedge d\mathrm{Vol}(S)\bigwedge d\mathrm{Vol}(Z)}{(\det\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'})\det\mathrm{Im}(Z))^{g+1}}\\
&= \Phi_{g-k}(\tau^{'})\bigwedge(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{k(g-k)}\frac{d\mathrm{Vol}(S)}{(\det\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'}))^{k-1}}\bigwedge(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}\frac{d\mathrm{Vol}(Z)}{(\det\mathrm{Im}(Z))^{g+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(V^{(g-k)})$ be a k-th cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ for an integer $k \in [1, g]$. We have :*

1. *The Siegel space can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{H}_g = \ &\{\tau := \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{'} & (A-\tau^{'}B)\\ {}^t(A-\tau^{'}B) & Z +^t B\tau^{'}B - \frac{(^tAB+^tBA)}{2}\end{pmatrix} \in M_g(\mathbb{C})\\
&\mid \tau^{'} = (t_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{H}_{g-k},\ Z = (c_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{H}_k,\ A + \sqrt{-1}B = (s_{ij}) =: S =\in M_{g-k,k}(\mathbb{C})\},
\end{aligned}$$

and $((c_{ij}, (s_{ij}), (t_{ij}))$ becomes a coordinate system of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ associated to $\mathfrak{F}$.

2. *We have the following formula for the symplectic volume form :*

$$\Phi_g(\tau) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{g-k}(\tau^{'})\bigwedge(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{k(g-k)}\frac{d\mathrm{Vol}(S)}{(\det\mathrm{Im}(\tau^{'}))^{k-1}}\bigwedge(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}\frac{d\mathrm{Vol}(Z)}{(\det\mathrm{Im}(Z))^{g+1}}, & 1\leq k < g\\ (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}\frac{d\mathrm{Vol}(Z)}{(\det\mathrm{Im}(Z))^{g+1}}, & k = g.\end{cases}$$

3.2. **Examples on Local volume forms of low-degree Siegel varieties associated to central cone decompositions.** In this subsection, we compute volume form for some low genus($g = 2, 3$) Siegel varieties $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}(n \geq 3)$ related to some special compactifications. Let Σ_{cent} be the central cone decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ and σ_0 the principal cone in Σ_{cent}, which is defined in the example 2.22.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,n}^{\text{cent}}$ be the projective smooth symmetric toroidal compactification constructed by the central cone decomposition Σ_{cent} of $\overline{C(\mathfrak{F}_0)}$. We then have an induced canonical volume form $\Phi_{g,n} := \Psi_{g,\Gamma(n)}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$, which is singular at the boundary divisor $D_{\infty,n} := \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,n}^{\text{cent}} - \mathcal{A}_{g,n}$.

We now calculate the volume form on Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_g$ associated to the cusp $\mathfrak{F}_0$. We fix a lattice basis $\{\xi^n_{i,j} := I_{2g} + \zeta^n_{i,j}\}_{1\leq i\leq j\leq k}$ of $\Gamma(n) \cap U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\zeta^n_{i,j}(1 \leq i \leq j \leq k)$ are all edges of the principal cone σ_0 in Σ_{cent} :

$$(\star) \qquad \begin{cases} \zeta^n_{i,i} = nE_{i,i}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq g; \\ \zeta^n_{i,j} = n(-E_{i,j} - E_{j,i} + E_{i,i} + E_{j,j}) & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq g. \end{cases}$$

Then, $\{\zeta^n_{i,j}\}_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Sym}_g(\mathbb{R})$ and $Z = (c_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq g}$ in the proposition 3.1 can be written as

$$Z = \sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} z_{ij}\zeta^n_{i,j}.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} n\widetilde{Z} &:= Z \\ &= \sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} z_{ij}\zeta^n_{i,j} \\ &= \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq g} z_{ij}\zeta^n_{i,j} + \sum_{j=1}^{g} z_{jj}\zeta^n_{j,j} \\ &= n(\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} z_{ij}(-E_{i,j} - E_{j,i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{g}(z_{jj} + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} z_{lj} + \sum_{l=j+1}^{g} z_{jl})E_{j,j}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $Z = \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} c_{ij}(E_{i,j} + E_{j,i}) + \sum_{l=1}^{k} c_{ll}E_{l,l}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{cases} c_{ij} = c_{ji} = -nz_{ij}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq g; \\ c_{jj} = n(z_{jj} + \sum_{l=1}^{j} z_{lj} + \sum_{l=j+1}^{g} z_{jl}) =: n(z_{jj} + m_j) & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq g. \end{cases}$$

We calculate the volume form Φ_g in the coordinate system (z_{ij}) :

$$\begin{aligned} dZ &:= \bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} dc_{ij} = n^{g(g+1)/2} \bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} dz_{ij} \\ \det \mathrm{Im}(Z) &= n^g \det \mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{Z}) = \mathrm{Im} \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} + m_1 & \cdots & -z_{1j} & \cdots & -z_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -z_{1j} & \cdots & z_{jj} + m_j & \cdots & -z_{jk} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -z_{1k} & \cdots & -z_{jk} & \cdots & z_{kk} + m_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\Phi_g(\tau) = (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \frac{\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} dz_{ij}\wedge d\overline{z_{ij}}}{(\det \mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{Z}))^{g+1}}. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Recall the example 2.14, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{H}_g \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d] & U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{g(g+1)/2} \arrow[d, "w_{ij}:=\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_{ij})"] \\
\mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, "\subset"] & (\mathbb{C}^*)^{g(g+1)/2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and a set

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_0} &= \big\{x=(w_{ij})_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g}\in\mathbb{C}^{g(g+1)/2} \mid \text{ there exists a neighborhood} \\ &\qquad \Delta_x \text{ of } x \text{ such that } \Delta_x\cap(\mathbb{C}^*)^{g(g+1)/2}\subset\frac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma(l)\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathbb{Q})}\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^*_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_0} := \widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_0} - \bigcup_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g}\big\{(w_{ij})\in\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_0} \mid w_{ij}=0\big\}.$$

We know that the local morphisms $\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_0}:\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_0}\to\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{cent}}_{g,n}$ and $\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_0}:\widetilde{\Delta}^*_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_0}\to\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ are étale.

Define

$$\Phi_{\sigma_0} := (\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_0})^*(\Phi_{g,n}),$$

the volume on $\widetilde{\Delta}^*_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_0}$ Then, on $\widetilde{\Delta}^*_{\mathfrak{F},\sigma_0}$, the canonical volume form has

$$\Phi_{\sigma_0}(w_{ij}) = (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \frac{\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} dw_{ij}\wedge d\overline{w_{ij}}}{(\prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g}|w_{ij}|^2)(\det\log|W|)^{g+1}} \tag{3.1.2}$$

where

$$\log|W| := \begin{pmatrix} \log|w_{11}|+q_1 & \cdots & -\log|w_{1j}| & \cdots & -\log|w_{1g}| \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\log|w_{1j}| & \cdots & \log|w_{jj}|+q_j & \cdots & -\log|w_{jg}| \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\log|w_{1g}| & \cdots & -\log|w_{jg}| & \cdots & \log|w_{gg}|+q_g \end{pmatrix}_{g\times g}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_j &:= -2\pi\mathrm{Im}(m_j) = -2\pi\mathrm{Im}(\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} z_{lj} + \sum_{l=j+1}^{g} z_{jl}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{j-1}\log|w_{lj}| + \sum_{l=j+1}^{g}\log|w_{jl}| \text{ for } 1\leq j\leq g.\end{aligned}$$

3.3. **Global volume forms on Siegel varieties $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$.** Let $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}_0}_\alpha\}$ be a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ regular with respect to an arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\sigma_{\max}$ be a top-dimensional cone in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. The cone $\sigma_{\max}$ is generated by a lattice basis of $U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\cap\Gamma$, and then we can endows a marking order on this lattice basis. We have $\sigma_{\max}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{g(g+1)/2}\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}$ where $\{\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}\}_{\mu=1}^{g(g+1)/2}$ is the marking basis of $U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\cap\Gamma$. We write

$$\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}=\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g} l^{\mu}_{i,j}\delta_{i,j}\ \text{ for } \mu=1,\cdots,g(g+1)/2$$

where $\{\delta_{ij}\}_{1\leq i\leq j\leq g}$ is the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{Sym}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{i,i}=E_{i,i}, & 1\leq i\leq g;\\ \delta_{i,j}=(E_{i,j}+E_{j,i}) & 1\leq i<j\leq g.\end{cases}$$

Then, we have a $g(g+1)/2\times g(g+1)/2$ integral matrix

$$L_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max},,\{\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}\}):=\begin{pmatrix} l^{1}_{1,1} & \cdots & l^{1}_{g,g}\\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots\\ l^{g(g+1)/2}_{g(g+1)/2,1} & \cdots & l^{g(g+1)/2}_{g(g+1)/2,g(g+1)/2}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1.3}$$

Define

$$\mathrm{vol}_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max}):=\det(L_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max}),\{\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}\})^2.$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{vol}_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max})$ is a positive integer independent of the marking order of the basis.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ *be a neat arithmetic subgroup and* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ *regular with respect to* Γ. *Let* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *be the symmetric toroidal compactification of* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}:=\mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ *constructed by* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.

Assume that the boundary divisor $D_\infty:=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}\setminus\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *is simple normal crossing. Write* $D_\infty=\bigcup\limits_i D_i$. *For each irreducible component* D_i *of* D_∞, *let* s_i *the global section of* $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i))$ *defining* D_i. *Let* $\sigma_{\max}$ *be an arbitrary top-dimensional cone in* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *with a marking order on its edges. We renumber the components* D_i*'s such that* $D_1,\cdots,D_{g(g+1)/2}$ *are the components of constructed by the edges of* $\sigma_{\max}$ *according to the marking order on edges of* $\sigma_{\max}$.

We have :

1. *The canonical volume* $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ *on* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *can be represented by*

$$\Phi_{g,\Gamma}=\frac{d\mathcal{V}_g}{(\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}||s_i||_i^2)F_\Gamma^{g+1}(\log||s_1||_1,\cdots,\log||s_{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}||_{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}})}, \tag{3.2.1}$$

where $d\mathcal{V}_g$ *is a global smooth volume form on* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$, $||\cdot||_i$ *is a suitable Hermitian metric on the line bundle* $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ *for each integer* i *with* $1\leq i\leq g(g+1)/2$, *and*

$$F_\Gamma=\frac{H}{\sqrt[g+1]{\mathrm{vol}_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max})}}$$

is a homogenous polynomial of degree g *such that* $H\in\mathbb{Z}[x_1,\cdots,x_{g(g+1)/2}]$ *and all coefficients of* H *are dependent on* Γ, $\sigma_{\max}$, *and the marking order on edges of* $\sigma_{\max}$.

2.

$$(\sqrt{-1})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}(\frac{g(g+1)}{2})!d\mathcal{V}_g=\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}||s_i||_i^2\mathcal{G}_\Gamma^{g+1}(\partial\overline{\partial}\log\mathcal{G}_\Gamma^{g+1})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}\ \text{ on } \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}, \tag{3.2.2}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_\Gamma:=F_\Gamma(\log||s_1||_1,\cdots,\log||s_{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}||_{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}})$.

3. Moreover, the polynomial $F_\Gamma(x_1, \cdots, x_{g(g+1)/2})$ *in 3.2.1 satisfies the following condition*

$$\det Q_\Gamma - (\frac{-2}{g+1})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} F_\Gamma^{(g+1)(g-1)} = 0,$$

where Q_Γ *is the following* $\frac{g(g+1)}{2} \times \frac{g(g+1)}{2}$ *matrix*

$$F_\Gamma(\frac{\partial^2 F_\Gamma}{\partial x_i \partial x_i})_{i,j} - \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial F_\Gamma}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_\Gamma}{\partial x_{g(g+1)/2}} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial F_\Gamma}{\partial x_1}, & \cdots, & \frac{\partial F_\Gamma}{\partial x_{g(g+1)/2}} \end{array} \right).$$

Proof. Let $N = g(g+1)/2$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ be an arbitrary minimal cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$, and let σ be any top-dimensional cone in the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ induced by $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$(cf.Lemma 2.11).

Recall the local chart $(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma}, (w_1^\sigma, \cdots, w_N^\sigma))$ in 2.13.1 and 2.14, We have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\frac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}(\mathbb{Q})} \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma} \arrow[d, "\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}"', "\text{étale}"] \\
\mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma \arrow[r, "\subset"] & \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\frac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}(\mathbb{Q})} \xrightarrow{\subset} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma}$ is a toroidal embedding(cf.Lemma 2.15). The following facts are important :

i. The morphism $\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} : \frac{\mathfrak{H}_g}{\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}(\mathbb{Q})} \to \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ is surjective.

ii. Define $W_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma} := \pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma})$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is geometrically fine, the restriction map $\pi'_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}|_{\{w_i^\sigma=0\}}$ is an isomorphism onto its image for each w_i^σ. Thus, $(W_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma}, (w_1^\sigma, \cdots, w_N^\sigma))$ becomes a coordinate neighborhood of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$.

iii. Define $W^*_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma} := W_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma} - \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \{w_i^\sigma = 0\}$, we have

$$W^*_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma} = W_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min},\sigma} \setminus D_\infty = \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma.$$

iv. The compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ is covered by finitely many open sets of the form $W_{\mathfrak{F},\delta}$, where $\mathfrak{F}$ is a minimal cusp of $\mathfrak{H}_g$ and δ is a top-dimensional cone in the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Now, we begin to prove the statements $1-3$: Let be an arbitrary top-dimensional cone in the decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. We take a global coordinate chart $(W^*_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_{\max}}, (w_1, \cdots, w_N))$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ constructed by $\sigma_{\max}$ as above such that $D_i \cap W_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_{\max}} = \{w_i = 0\}$ for any integer $i \in [1, \frac{g(g+1)}{2}]$.

1. Similar calculation as in 3.1.2, the form $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ on $(W^*_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_{\max}}, (w_1, \cdots, w_N))$ can be written as

$$\Phi_{\sigma_{\max}} = \frac{(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^N \bigwedge_{1\le i\le N} dw_i \wedge d\overline{w_i}}{(\prod_{1\le i\le N} |w_i|^2)(F_\Gamma(\log|w_1|, \cdots, \log|w_N|))^{g+1}}$$

such that $F_\Gamma = \frac{H}{\sqrt[g+1]{\mathrm{vol}_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max})}}$ where $H \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \cdots, x_N]$ is a homogenous polynomial of degree g. It is obvious that the coefficients of H depend on Γ, $\sigma_{\max}$, and the marking order on edges of $\sigma_{\max}$.

For each integer $i \in [1, N]$, we choose a Hermitian metrics $||\cdot||_i$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ by setting

$$||s_i||_i = |w_i| \quad \text{on} \quad W_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_{\max}}.$$

Let $d\mathcal{V}_g$ be a global smooth volume form on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ such that on $(W^*_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_{\max}},(w_1,\cdots,w_N))$ there holds

$$d\mathcal{V}_g|_{W_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_{\max}}}=(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^N\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq N}dw_i\wedge d\overline{w_i}.$$

Then, we obtain that the form $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ can be represented by

$$\Phi_{g,\Gamma}=\frac{d\mathcal{V}_g}{(\prod_{j=1}^{g(g+1)/2}||s_i||_i^2)F_\Gamma^{g+1}(\log||s_1||_1,\cdots,\log||s_N||_N)}.$$

2. Since ω_{can} is Kähler-Einstein, as the formula 3.0.1 there holds

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log\big((\prod_{j=1}^N||s_i||_i^2)F_\Gamma^{g+1}(\log||s_1||_1,\cdots,\log||s_N||_N)\big)=-\omega_{\text{can}}\ \text{ on }\ \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}.$$

Since $\partial\overline{\partial}\log(\prod_{j=1}^N||s_i||_i^2)=0$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$, we obtain

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log F_\Gamma^{g+1}(\log||s_1||_1,\cdots,\log||s_N||_N)=-\omega_{\text{can}}\text{ on }\ \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}.$$

On $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$, we then get

$$\begin{aligned}(\sqrt{-1})^N(\partial\overline{\partial}\log F_\Gamma^{g+1}(\log||s_1||_1,\cdots,\log||s_N||_N))^N&=(-\omega_{\text{can}})^N\\&=(-1)^NN!\Phi_{g,\Gamma}.\end{aligned}$$

3. With respect to the global coordinate chart $(W^*_{\mathfrak{F}_0,\sigma_{\max}},(w_1,\cdots,w_N))$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$, we define $G(w_1,\cdots,w_N):=F_\Gamma(\log|w_1|,\cdots,\log|w_N|)$. By the equality 3.2.2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\bigwedge_{1\leq i\leq N}dw_i\wedge d\overline{w_i}&=G^{g+1}(\prod_{j=1}^N|w_i|^2)(\partial\overline{\partial}\log G^{g+1})^N\\&=(g+1)^NG^{g+1}(\prod_{j=1}^N|w_i|^2)(\frac{\partial\overline{\partial}G}{G}-\frac{\partial G\wedge\overline{\partial}G}{G^2})^N\\&=(g+1)^NG^{g+1}(\prod_{j=1}^N|w_i|^2)(\sum_{i,j}\frac{GG_{w_i\overline{w_j}}-G_{w_i}G_{\overline{w_j}}}{G^2}dw_i\wedge d\overline{w_j})^N,\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta=(-1)^N2^{-N}N!$ is a constant.

Let $F_\alpha:=\frac{\partial F_\Gamma(x_1,\cdots,x_N)}{\partial x_\alpha}$, $F_{\alpha\beta}:=\frac{\partial^2F_\Gamma(x_1,\cdots,x_N)}{\partial x_\alpha\partial x_\beta}$ for $1\leq\alpha,\beta\leq N$. On $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}G_{w_i}(w_1,\cdots,w_N)&=\frac{F_i(\log|w_1|,\cdots,\log|w_N|)}{2w_i},\\G_{\overline{w_i}}(w_1,\cdots,w_N)&=\frac{F_i(\log|w_1|,\cdots,\log|w_N|)}{2\overline{w_i}},\\G_{w_i\overline{w_j}}(w_1,\cdots,w_N)&=\frac{F_{ij}(\log|w_1|,\cdots,\log|w_N|)}{4w_i\overline{w_j}}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\big(\det(F_\Gamma F_{ij}-F_iF_j)_{i,j}-(\frac{-1}{g+1})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}2^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}F_\Gamma^{(g+1)(g-1)}\big)(\log|w_1|,\cdots,\log|w_N|)=0\ \text{ on }\ \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma},$$

where $(F_\Gamma F_{ij} - F_i F_j)_{i,j}$ is the following matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} F_\Gamma F_{11} - F_1 F_1 & \cdots & F_\Gamma F_{1k} - F_1 F_k & \cdots & F_\Gamma F_{1N} - F_1 F_N \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ F_\Gamma F_{m1} - F_m F_1 & \cdots & F_\Gamma F_{mk} - F_m F_k & \cdots & F_\Gamma F_{mN} - F_m F_N \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ F_\Gamma F_{N1} - F_N F_1 & \cdots & F_\Gamma F_{Nk} - F_N F_k & \cdots & F_\Gamma F_{NN} - F_N F_N \end{array}\right).$$

Actually, we have :

$$(F_\Gamma F_{ij} - F_i F_j)_{i,j} = F_\Gamma (F_{ij})_{i,j} - \left(\begin{array}{c} F_1 \\ \vdots \\ F_N \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} F_1, & \cdots, & F_N \end{array}\right).$$

□

Example 3.3. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(n)$. We consider volume forms on $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ in the case of genus $g = 2$ with same conditions as in the theorem 3.2. A top-dimensional cone $\sigma_{\max}$ in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ is given by

$$\sigma_{\max} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i \overrightarrow{l_i} | \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \ \ i = 1, \cdots, 3\}$$

where $\overrightarrow{l_1}, \overrightarrow{l_2}, \overrightarrow{l_3}$ satisfies that

- for each i,

$$\overrightarrow{l_i} = n\big(a_{i1} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) + a_{i2} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) + a_{i3} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)\big) = n \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_{i1} & a_{i2} \\ a_{i2} & a_{i3} \end{array}\right),$$

- all a_{ij} are integers and $D := \sqrt[3]{(\det(a_{ij})^2_{3\times 3}} > 0$.

Each $Z \in \mathfrak{H}_g = \mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathbb{R}) + \sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Sym}^+_k(\mathbb{R})$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} z_i \overrightarrow{l_i} \\ &= n(\left(\begin{array}{cc} z_1 a_{11} & z_1 a_{12} \\ z_1 a_{12} & z_1 a_{13} \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{cc} z_2 a_{21} & z_2 a_{22} \\ z_2 a_{22} & z_2 a_{23} \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{cc} z_3 a_{31} & z_3 a_{32} \\ z_3 a_{32} & z_3 a_{33} \end{array}\right)) \\ &= n \left(\begin{array}{cc} z_1 a_{11} + z_2 a_{21} + z_3 a_{31} & z_1 a_{12} + z_2 a_{22} + z_3 a_{32} \\ z_1 a_{12} + z_2 a_{22} + z_3 a_{32} & z_1 a_{13} + z_2 a_{23} + z_3 a_{33} \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Then, the symplectic volume becomes

$$\Phi_2 = (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^3 \frac{D^3 dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3 \wedge d\overline{z_1} \wedge d\overline{z_2} \wedge d\overline{z_3}}{(\det \mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{Z}))^3}.$$

where

$$\widetilde{Z} = \frac{1}{n} Z = \left(\begin{array}{cc} z_1 a_{11} + z_2 a_{21} + z_3 a_{31} & z_1 a_{12} + z_2 a_{22} + z_3 a_{32} \\ z_1 a_{12} + z_2 a_{22} + z_3 a_{32} & z_1 a_{13} + z_2 a_{23} + z_3 a_{33} \end{array}\right).$$

For each integer i in $[1, 3]$, let D_i be the smooth divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{2,l}$ constructed by $\overrightarrow{l_i}$ and let s_i be the global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{2,l}}(D_i)$ defining D_i. Then, the symplectic volume form $\Phi_{2,l}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{2,l}$ can be represented by

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{d\mathcal{V}_g}{(||s_1||_1 ||s_2||_2 ||s_3||_3)^2 F^3_\Gamma(\log ||s_1||_1, \log ||s_2||_2, \log ||s_3||_3)}.$$

Here, F_Γ is the following polynomial

$$F_\Gamma(x,y,z)=\frac{Ax^2+By^2+Cz^2+Lxy+Mxz+Nyz}{D}$$

where $A=a_{11}a_{13}-a_{12}^2, B=a_{21}a_{23}-a_{22}^2, C=a_{31}a_{33}-a_{32}^2$, and

$L=a_{11}a_{23}+a_{21}a_{13}-2a_{12}a_{22}, M=a_{11}a_{33}+a_{13}a_{31}-2a_{12}a_{32}, N=a_{21}a_{33}+a_{23}a_{31}-2a_{22}a_{32}$.

Define variables $y_1=x, y_2=y, y_3=z$. and let $F_i=\frac{\partial F_\Gamma}{\partial y_i}$ and $F_{ij}=\frac{\partial^2 F_\Gamma}{\partial y_i\partial y_j}$. Let

$$G=\det(F_\Gamma F_{ij}-F_iF_j)_{i,j}-(\frac{-2}{3})^3F_\Gamma^3.$$

The coefficient of the term y_1^6 in G is $A^3D^{-6}P(a_{ij})$, where $P\in\mathbb{Q}[(x_{ij})_{3\times 3}]$ is a homogenous polynomial and

$$P(a_{ij})=2BM^2+2CL^2+2AN^2-8ABC-2LMN+(8/27)D^3.$$

The coefficient of the term $x_{11}^2x_{22}^2x_{33}^2$ of P is $-46/27$, thus P is a nonzero homogenous polynomial of degree 6.

3.4. **Restraint conditions of decompositions of cones from Kähler-Einstein equations.**

Let

$$N:=\frac{g(g+1)}{2},$$

and let S_N be the group of permutations of the set $\{1,\cdots,N\}$.

For any integer $i\in[1,N]$, let $Y(i)$ be a $g\times g$ symmetric matrix $\begin{pmatrix} y_{11}(i) & \cdots & y_{1g}(i)\\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots\\ y_{1g}(i) & \cdots & y_{gg}(i)\end{pmatrix}$ with N-variables. Each $Y(i)$ can be identified with a $1\times N$ matrix as

$$\widetilde{Y}(i):=(y_{11}(i),\cdots,y_{1g}(i),y_{22}(i),\cdots,y_{2g}(i),\cdots,y_{jj}(i),\cdots,y_{jg}(i),\cdots,y_{gg}(i)).$$

Define

$$Y:=\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{Y}(1)\\ \vdots\\ \widetilde{Y}(i)\\ \vdots\\ \widetilde{Y}(N)\end{pmatrix}.$$

We know that Y is a $n\times n$ matrix with n^2-variables. Define $D(Y):=\det(Y)$, it is a homogenous polynomial of degree N in $\mathbb{Z}[Y]:=\mathbb{Z}[(y_{kl}(i))_{1\le k\le l\le g,1\le i\le N}]$. For any $\varsigma\in S_N$, we define

$$\varsigma(Y):=\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{Y}(\varsigma(1))\\ \vdots\\ \widetilde{Y}(\varsigma(i))\\ \vdots\\ \widetilde{Y}(\varsigma(N))\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we will obtain a characteristic variety $\mathfrak{Q}_g$ by the unique Kähler-Einstein metric on $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

Define $\widetilde{F}=\det(\sum_{i=1}^N x_iY(i))$. We have

$$\widetilde{F}=\sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_N=g,i_k\ge 0}t_{i_1\cdots i_N}(Y)x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_N^{i_N}$$

and each $t_{i_1\cdots i_g}(Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[Y]$ is a homogenous polynomial of degree g.

Let

$$F = \frac{\widetilde{F}}{\sqrt[g+1]{D(Y)^2}}.$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &:= \det\big(F(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_i})_{i,j} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_N} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, & \cdots, & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_N} \end{pmatrix}\big) \\ &= \frac{\det\big(\widetilde{F}(\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{F}}{\partial x_i \partial x_i})_{i,j} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}}{\partial x_N} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}}{\partial x_1}, & \cdots, & \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}}{\partial x_N} \end{pmatrix}\big)}{D(Y)^{2g}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &:= (\frac{-1}{g+1})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} 2^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} F^{(g+1)(g-1)} \\ &= \frac{(\frac{-1}{g+1})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} 2^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \widetilde{F}^{(g+1)(g-1)}}{D(Y)^{2(g-1)}} \\ &= \frac{(\frac{-1}{g+1})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} 2^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \widetilde{F}^{(g+1)(g-1)} D(Y)^2}{D(Y)^{2g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G := D(Y)^{2g}(G_1 - G_2)$. we can write G as

$$G = \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_N = g(g^2-1), j_k \geq 0} C_{j_1\cdots j_N}(Y) x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_N^{j_N} \tag{3.3.1}$$

such that each $C_{j_1\cdots j_N}(Y) \in \mathbb{Q}[Y]$ is a homogenous of degree $g^2(g+1)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $C_{j_1\cdots j_N}(Y) \in \mathbb{Q}[Y]$($\forall j_1 + \cdots + j_N = g(g^2-1)$ with $j_k \geq 0$,) be polynomials defined in 3.3.1. For any a system of positive integers $(j_1, \cdots, j_N)$ with $j_1 + \cdots + j_N = g(g^2-1)$, we have*

$$C_{j_1\cdots j_N}(\varsigma(Y)) = C_{j_{\varsigma^{-1}(1)}\cdots j_{\varsigma^{-1}(N)}}(Y) \ \forall \varsigma \in S_N.$$

Proof. We have $\det(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i Y(\varsigma(i))) = \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_N=g, i_k \geq 0} t_{i_1\cdots i_N}(\varsigma(Y)) x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_N^{i_N}$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i Y(\varsigma(i))) &= \det(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{\varsigma^{-1}(i)} Y(i)) \\ &= \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_N=g, i_k \geq 0} t_{i_1\cdots i_N}(Y) x_{\varsigma^{-1}(1)}^{i_1} \cdots x_{\varsigma^{-1}(N)}^{i_N} \\ &= \sum_{i_{\varsigma(1)}+\cdots+i_{\varsigma(N)}=g, i_k \geq 0} t_{i_1\cdots i_N}(Y) x_1^{i_{\varsigma(1)}} \cdots x_N^{i_{\varsigma(N)}} \\ &= \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_N=g, j_k \geq 0} t_{j_{\varsigma^{-1}(1)}\cdots j_{\varsigma^{-1}(N)}}(Y) x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_N^{j_N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$t_{i_1\cdots i_N}(\varsigma(Y)) = t_{i_{\varsigma^{-1}(1)}\cdots i_{\varsigma^{-1}(N)}}(Y) \quad \forall\, i_1+\cdots+i_N = g \text{ with } i_k \geq 0.$$

Since $D(\varsigma(Y))^2 \equiv D(Y)^2$ for all $\varsigma \in S_N$, we have the statement as well.

□

The permutation group S_N has a natural action on the set $M_{N\times N}(\mathbb{C})$ of $N \times N$- matrices as

$$\varsigma(B) := \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{B}(\varsigma(1)) \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{B}(\varsigma(i)) \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{B}(\varsigma(N)) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } \varsigma \in S_N, B = (\widetilde{B}(1)^T, \cdots \widetilde{B}(i)^T, \cdots, \widetilde{B}(N)^T) \in M_{N\times N}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We know that S_N acts freely on $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{C}) = \{A \in M_{N\times N}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \det A \neq 0\}$, and so the quotient $\mathfrak{P}_g := \mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{C})/S_N$ is a smooth affine variety.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma^{\mathfrak{F}_0}_\alpha\}$ *be a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ *regular with respect to an arithmetic subgroup* $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$. *There is an injective map of sets*

$$\nu_\Gamma : \{\textit{top-dimensional cones in } \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}\} \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{P}_g(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\mathfrak{P}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ *is the set of all integral points of the variety* $\mathfrak{P}_g$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_{\max}$ be an arbitrary top-dimensional cones in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$. Let $\{\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}\}_{\mu=1}^{g(g+1)/2}$ be any marking basis of $U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathbb{Z})\cap\Gamma$ such that $\sigma_{\max} = \sum\limits_{\mu=1}^{g(g+1)/2} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}$. The $L_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max}, \{\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}\})$ defined in 3.1.3 is an element in $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$, and its projective image $[L_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max}, \{\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}\})]$ in $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})/S_N$ is independent of the marking order of the basis $\{\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}\}_{\mu=1}^{g(g+1)/2}$.

Therefore, we define a map $\nu_\Gamma : \{\text{top-dimensional cones in } \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}\} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\sigma_{\max} \longmapsto [L_\Gamma(\sigma_{\max}, \{\overrightarrow{l(\mu)}\})].$$

It is obvious that ν_Γ is injective. □

Lemma 3.6. *Define*

$$\mathfrak{A}_g = \{Z \in \mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{C}) \mid C_{j_1\cdots j_N}(Z) = 0 \ \forall j_1+\cdots+j_N = g(g^2-1) \textit{ with } j_k \geq 0\},$$

where $C_{j_1\cdots j_N}$*'s are polynomials defined in 3.3.1. The permutation group* S_N *acts freely on the affine variety* $\mathfrak{A}_g$.

Proof. By the lemma 3.4, we obtain that if $Z \in \mathfrak{A}_g$ then $\varsigma(Z) \in \mathfrak{A}_g$ for all $\varsigma \in S_N$. Thus, S_N has an action on $\mathfrak{A}_g$. □

Define

$$\mathfrak{Q}_g := \mathfrak{A}_g/S_N. \tag{3.6.1}$$

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{Q}_g$ is an affine variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ dependent only on $\mathfrak{H}_g$. We call $\mathfrak{Q}_g$ the g-**KE-characteristic variety**.

Theorem 3.7. *Let* $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ *be a neat arithmetic subgroup. Let* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\}$ *be a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ *regular with respect to* Γ, *where* $\mathfrak{F}_0$ *is the standard minimal cusp of the Siegel space* $\mathfrak{H}_g$. *Let* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *be the symmetric toroidal compactification of* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} := \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ *constructed by* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.

Assume that the boundary divisor $D_\infty := \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *is simple normal crossing. There is an injection map of sets*

$$\nu_\Gamma : \{\textit{top-dimensional cones in } \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}\} \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{Q}_g(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\mathfrak{Q}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ *is the set of all integral points of the g-KE-characteristic variety* $\mathfrak{Q}_g$.

Proof. It is straightforward by the theorem 3.2 and the lemma 3.5. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let* $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ *be a neat arithmetic subgroup. Let* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\}$ *be a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ *regular with respect to* Γ, *where* $\mathfrak{F}_0$ *is the standard minimal cusp of the Siegel space* $\mathfrak{H}_g$.

There is an injection map of sets

$$\nu_\Gamma : \{\Gamma\textit{-fine top-dimensional cones in } \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}\} \overset{\hookrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{Q}_g(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. As shown in the theorem 2.24, if a top-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is Γ-fine then any $\gamma \in \overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ with $\gamma(\sigma) \cap \sigma \neq \{0\}$ must act as the identity on the cone σ. The rest is trivial.

□

4. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF LOGARITHMICAL CANONICAL LINE BUNDLES ON SMOOTH TOROIDAL COMPACTIFICATIONS OF $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$

Let $N = g(g+1)/2$. For any positive integer n, we define a constant $C_n = (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^n$.

In this section, we fix a neat subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ and a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0} := \{\sigma_\alpha^{\mathfrak{F}_0}\}$ of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ regular with respect to Γ such that the constructed symmetric toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} := \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ is geometrically Γ-fine, i.e., $D_\infty := \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. We define $D_\infty(\epsilon)$ to be tube neighborhood of D_∞ with radius ϵ for suitable real number $\epsilon > 0$. For every irreducible component Y of D_∞, we define

$$Y^* := \setminus \bigcup_{D_j \neq Y} (D_j \cap Y) \quad \text{and } Y_\infty := \bigcup_{D_j \neq Y} (D_j \cap Y)$$

where $D_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} D_i$. Then, Y_∞ is a simple normal crossing divisor of Y and $Y \setminus Y^* = Y_\infty$.

Let $K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}$ be the canonical divisor of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. Let ω_B be the Kähler form of the Bergman metric on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. The Kähler-Einstein equality says that $\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma} = \omega_B$.

Since ω_B has Poincaré growth on D_∞(cf.[Mum77], or Lemma 4.7 in this section), we obtain :

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ *be a neat arithmetic subgroup and let* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *be a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ *regular with respect to* Γ.

Assume that the symmetric toroidal compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *of* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} := \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ *constructed by* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *has simple normal crossing boundary divisor* $D_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} D_i (= \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma})$.

Let $d\mathcal{V}_g$ *be an arbitrary global smooth volume form on* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. *For each irreducible component* D_i *of* D_∞, *let* s_i *be the global section of* $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i))$ *defining* D_i *and let* $||\cdot||_i$ *be an arbitrary*

Hermitian metric on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$. *On* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. *We have*

$$\Phi_{g,\Gamma} \sim \frac{d\mathcal{V}_g}{(\prod_i^l ||s_i||_i)^2(\prod_i^l \log ||s_i||_i)^{g+1}}.$$

In the theorem 3.2, we show that there is a system $\{(U_\alpha, (w_1^\alpha, \cdots, w_N^\alpha))\}_\alpha$ of finitely many coordinate charts of the compactification $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ such that

$$U_\alpha^* := U_\alpha \setminus D_\infty = \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} \text{ and } U_\alpha \cap D_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \{w_i = 0\}. \tag{4.1.1}$$

On any such coordinate chart $(U_\alpha^*, (w_1^\alpha, \cdots, w_N^\alpha))$, the canonical volume $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is written as

$$\Phi_\alpha = \frac{(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^N \bigwedge\limits_{1\leq i\leq N} dw_i^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_i^\alpha}}{(\prod_{1\leq i\leq N} |w_i^\alpha|^2)(F^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|))^{g+1}}.$$

where $F^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \cdots, x_N]$ is a homogenous polynomial in of degree g. We call this F^α the **local volume function** with respect to the local chart U_α^*. Write

$$F_i^\alpha := \frac{\partial F^\alpha(x_1, \cdots, x_N)}{\partial x_i}, F_{ij}^\alpha := \frac{\partial^2 F^\alpha(x_1, \cdots, x_N)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \ \forall i, j \text{ with } 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

Define

$$T_{i,j}^\alpha := F^\alpha F_{ij}^\alpha - F_i^\alpha F_j^\alpha \ \ \forall i, j \text{ with } 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

For any pair (i, j), $T_{i,j}^\alpha$ is a homogenous polynomial of degree $2g-2$ in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \cdots, x_N]$. Then, we have a $N \times N$ matrix $T^\alpha := (T_{i,j}^\alpha)$.

Now, we compute $\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha$ on U_α as a current:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha \ := \ & -\partial\overline{\partial}\log(\prod_{1\leq i\leq N} |w_i^\alpha|^2)F^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|)^{g+1} \\
= \ & -\partial\overline{\partial}\log(\prod_{1\leq i\leq N} |w_i^\alpha|^2) + (g+1)\partial\overline{\partial}\log F^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|) \\
= \ & -\partial\overline{\partial}\log(\prod_{1\leq i\leq N} |w_i^\alpha|^2) \\
& -(g+1)\{\frac{\partial\overline{\partial}F^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|)}{F^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|)} - \frac{(\partial F^\alpha \wedge \overline{\partial}F^\alpha)(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|)}{F^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|)^2}\} \\
= \ & -\sum_{i=1}^N (2 + (g+1)\frac{F_i^\alpha}{F^\alpha}(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|))\partial\overline{\partial}\log|w_i^\alpha| \\
& -(g+1)\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq N} \frac{T_{i,j}^\alpha}{(F^\alpha)^2}(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{dw_i^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}}{4w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We know that the coefficients of the current $\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha$ are all locally integrable. Actually, $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha$ is a positive closed current, its restriction to U_α^*

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}(\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha)|_{U_\alpha^*} = c_1(K_{\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}, h_B)|_{U_\alpha^*}$$

is a strictly positive $(1,1)$-form, where $K_{\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}$ is the canonical divisor on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ and h_B is the metric on $K_{\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}$ induced by the Bergman metric of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. We write the current as

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N}\big(2+(g+1)\frac{F_i^\alpha}{F^\alpha}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\big)\frac{\mathrm{Pr}_i^*(\delta_0)}{2\pi}dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_i^\alpha}\\ &\quad -(g+1)\sum_{1\le i,j\le N}\frac{T_{i,j}^\alpha}{(F^\alpha)^2}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}}{4w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}}\end{aligned}$$

where δ_0 is the standard Dirac measure at 0 and $\mathrm{Pr}_i : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ is the i-th projection by $(z_1,\cdots,z_N)\mapsto z_i$. Particularly, $\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha$ is a smooth form on U_α^* and

$$\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha = -(g+1)\sum_{1\le i,j\le N}\frac{T_{i,j}^\alpha}{(F^\alpha)^2}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}}{4w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}} \quad \text{on } U_\alpha^*.$$

We define a new $N\times N$ matrix $K=(K_{i,j})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&K_{i,j}(w_1^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha)\\ =\;& \frac{-(g+1)}{4}\frac{1}{(F^\alpha)^2(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)}\\ &\times\begin{cases}\big(\frac{2|w_i^\alpha|^2\mathrm{Pr}_i^*(\delta_0)}{\pi}(\frac{2}{g+1}(F^\alpha)^2+F^\alpha F_i^\alpha)+T_{i,i}\big)(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)/|w_i^\alpha|^2, & i=j;\\ T_{i,j}^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)/w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}, & i\neq j.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can rewrite the current as

$$\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha = \sum_{1\le i,j\le N}K_{ij}(w_1^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha)dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ be a neat arithmetic subgroup and $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ regular with respect to Γ. Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ be a symmetric toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}:=\mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ constructed by $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.*

Assume that the boundary divisor $D_\infty:=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}\backslash\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is simple normal crossing. Let $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ be the standard volume form on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$. Let p be a positive integer in $[1,g(g+1)/2]$ and let $\Psi:=(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p$. Write $D_\infty=\bigcup_{j=1}^{l}D_j$, we have :

1. *The form Ψ can be extended to a continuous (N,N)-form $\widetilde{\Psi}$ on $D^*\cup\bigcup_{j=1}^{l}D_j^*$. Define*

$$\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p):=\widetilde{\Psi}|_{D_i^*}. \tag{4.2.1}$$

   for each irreducible component D_i of D_∞. For each D_i, the form $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)$ is a smooth form on D_i^*
2. *For each irreducible component D_i of D_∞, the form $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)$ has Poincaré growth on the simple normal crossing divisor $D_{i,\infty}$ of D_i. That $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)$ becomes a current on D_i in sense that the following integral*

$$\int_{D_i}\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)\wedge\alpha:=\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_{D_i\backslash T_i(\varepsilon)}\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)\wedge\alpha \tag{4.2.2}$$

   is finite for each smooth $(2N-2p)$-form α, where $T_i(\varepsilon)$ is a tube neighborhood of $D_{i,\infty}$ with radius ε.

3. For each irreducible component D_i *of* D_∞*, the form* $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)$ *is closed on* D_i^* *and* $(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^p\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)$ *is a positive closed current on* D_i.

Proof. 1. The statement (1) is a consequence of (4) of the lemma 4.6 and the lemma 4.3 in the following subsection.

2. By symmetry, we prove the statement(2) for case D_1 only. It is a local problem and it is sufficient to prove this statement for $p = 1$.

Taking a local chart $(U_\alpha, (w_1^\alpha w_2^\alpha, \cdots, w_N^\alpha))$ of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ as in 4.1.1, we have the smooth form

$$\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha = -(g+1)\sum_{1\le i,j\le N}\frac{T_{i,j}^\alpha}{(F^\alpha)^2}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}}{4w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}}\quad\text{on } U_\alpha^*.$$

Thus, on $D_1^*\cap U_\alpha$, the $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}) &= -(g+1)\sum_{2\le i,j\le N}\frac{T_{i,j}^\alpha}{(F^\alpha)^2}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)|_{w_1^\alpha=0}\frac{dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}}{4w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}}\quad\text{on } U_\alpha\cap D_1^*.\\ &=: \sum_{2\le i,j\le N}a_{i,j}dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

Let $V_1\subset D_1$ be a small neighborhood in containing the origin point and U_1 a small neighborhood in U_α such that $U_1\cap D_1 = V_1$. Let i,j be two arbitrary integers with $2\le i,j\le N$. Since $\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ has Pincaré growth on $U_\alpha\cap D_\infty$ we have

$$\left|\frac{T_{i,j}^\alpha}{(F^\alpha)^2}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{1}{4w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}}\right|\le\frac{C}{|w_i^\alpha w_j^\alpha||\log|w_i^\alpha|\log|w_j^\alpha||}\quad\text{on}\quad U_1\cap U_\alpha^*$$

for a suitable constant. Let $w_1^\alpha\to 0$, we get

$$|a_{ij}|\le\frac{C}{|w_i^\alpha w_j^\alpha||\log|w_i^\alpha|\log|w_j^\alpha||}\quad\text{on}\quad V_1\cap D_1^*\cap U_\alpha^*.$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})$ has Poincaré growth on $D_{1,\infty}\cap U_\alpha$, and the integral 4.2.2 is finite.

3. It is sufficient to prove the statement(3) in case of D_1 for $p = 1$. It is also a local problem. Take a local chart U_α of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. Let V be an open neighborhood in $D_1^*\cap U_\alpha$. For a sufficiently small ε, we define a sub-complex manifold in D^*

$$V_\varepsilon := \{(\varepsilon, w_2^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha)\mid(0,w_2^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha)\in V\}.$$

On V_ε, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&d(\widetilde{\Psi}|_{V_\varepsilon})(\varepsilon,w_2^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha)\\ =\;&d(\Psi|_{V_\varepsilon})(\varepsilon,w_2^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha)\\ =\;&\sum_{2\le k,i,j\le N}Q_{k,i,j}(\log|\varepsilon|,\log|w_2^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{(\overline{w_k^\alpha}dw_k^\alpha+w_k^\alpha d\overline{w_k^\alpha})\wedge dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}}{|w_k^\alpha|^2w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}},\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{k,i,j}(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_N)$'s are rational functions.

On V, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}&d\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})(w_2^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha)\\ =&\sum_{2\le k,i,j\le N}P_{k,i,j}(\log|w_2^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{(\overline{w_k^\alpha}dw_k^\alpha+w_k^\alpha d\overline{w_k^\alpha})\wedge dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha}}{|w_k^\alpha|^2w_i^\alpha\overline{w_j^\alpha}},\end{aligned}$$

where $P_{k,i,j}(x_2,\cdots,x_N)$'s are rational functions.

Let (k, i, j) be an arbitrary triple with $2 \leq k, i, j \leq N$. Let $(z_2^\alpha, \cdots, z_N^\alpha)$ be an arbitrary point on V. By directly calculating, we get

$$P_{k,i,j}(\log|z_2^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|z_N^\alpha|) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} Q_{k,i,j}(\log|\varepsilon|, \log|z_2^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|z_N^\alpha|).$$

On the other hand,

$$d(\Psi|_{V_\varepsilon}) = (d\Psi)|_{V_\varepsilon} \equiv 0|_{V_\varepsilon} \equiv 0.$$

Thus,

$$Q_{k,i,j}(\log|\varepsilon|, \log|z_2^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|z_N^\alpha|) = 0$$

for any sufficiently small ε.

Therefore,

$$P_{k,i,j}(\log|w_2^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } V,$$

and so

$$d\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}) = 0.$$

□

4.1. **Some lemmas on local volume functions.** For any polynomial T in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \cdots, x_N]$, we can write

$$T = a_l x_1^l + a_{l-1}x_1^{l-1} + \cdots + a_0 \quad \text{with } a_l \neq 0$$

where each a_i is a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x_2, \cdots, x_N]$, we then define $\deg_1(T) = l$, and so that we define $\deg_i T$ for $i = 2, \cdots N$ similarly.

Lemma 4.3.

$$\deg_k T_{i,j}^\alpha \begin{cases} = 2\deg_k F^\alpha - 2, & i = j = k \\ \leq 2\deg_k F^\alpha - 1, & i = k,\ j \neq k \text{ or } i \neq k,\ j = k \\ \leq 2\deg_k F^\alpha, & i \neq k,\ j \neq k \end{cases}$$

and

$$\deg_k \det(T_{i,j}^\alpha)_{1\leq i,j\leq N} \leq 2N\deg_k F^\alpha - 2.$$

Lemma 4.4. $\deg_i F^\alpha \geq 1$ *for all* $i = 1, \cdots N$.

Proof. Otherwise, $\deg_k F^\alpha = 0$ for some k. Then $T_{k,j}^\alpha$ and $T_{j,k}^\alpha$ are zero polynomials for all integers $j \in [1, N]$, so that $\det(T_{i,j}^\alpha)_{1\leq i,j\leq N}$ is a zero polynomials. But the theorem 3.2 shows that

$$\det(T_{i,j}^\alpha)(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|) = (\frac{-2}{g+1})^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}(F^\alpha)_\Gamma^{(g+1)(g-1)}(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|) \neq 0 \text{ on } U_\alpha^*.$$

It is a contradiction. □

For convenience, we now allow any function to take ±∞ value. Define

$$\nu_0 = -\log 0^+ := \lim_{r\to 0+} \log\frac{1}{r}.$$

Here are some definitions and rules :

$$0 \times \nu_0 := 0, \qquad (\nu_0)^0 = 1,$$

$$\alpha\nu_0 := \lim_{r\to 0+} \log(\frac{1}{r})^\alpha \ (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}), \qquad \alpha\nu_0 + \beta\nu_0 := (\alpha+\beta)\nu_0 \ (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}),$$

$$(\nu_0)^\alpha := \lim_{r\to 0+} (\log\frac{1}{r})^\alpha \ (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}), \qquad (\nu_0)^{-\alpha} := \frac{1}{(\nu_0)^\alpha} \ (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}),$$

$$\nu_0^\alpha \times \nu_0^\beta := \nu_0^{\alpha+\beta} \ (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}).$$

We prohibit the rule $\alpha\nu_0^a + \beta\nu_0^b = \alpha\nu_0^a$ for any $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, a > b$. We just write formally as $\alpha\nu_0^a + \beta\nu_0^b$, and we set the rule of the multiplication by

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \nu_0^{a_i})(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_j \nu_0^{b_j}) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m} \alpha_i \beta_j \nu_0^{a_i + b_j}.$$

We also allow that any coefficient of a matrix take $+\infty$ value. A $n \times n$ real matrix M is said to be a **∞-positive** if

$$M = M_1 + (\nu_0 - c) M_2$$

for some positive $n \times n$ matrix M_1, some semi-positive $n \times n$ matrix M_2 and some non-negative real number c. We note that M_1, M_2 and c are not unique for any ∞-positive matrix M.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $M = M_1 + (\nu_0 - c)M_2$ be a $n \times n$ ∞-positive matrix. If $\operatorname{rank}(M_2) = k$ for some $k \in [0, n]$ then*

$$\det(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i \nu_0^i \quad \text{with } c_k > 0.$$

Proof. It is obvious. ☐

Therefore, the determine of ∞-positive is not zero. We also observe that a positive matrix is always ∞-positive and a non-positive symmetric real matrix can not be ∞-positive.

Lemma 4.6. *Let n, g be positive integers such that $n \geq g(g+1)/2$. Let B be an open set in $(\mathbb{C}^n, (z_1, \cdots, z_n))$ containing $(0, \cdots, 0)$ and let $B^* := B \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i$ where $B_i := \{z_i = 0\}$.*

*Let $M(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ be a $g \times g$ **logarithmical positive matrix function** on B^* with n real variables $x_1, \cdots, x_n$, i.e., by definition M must satisfy the following two conditions :*

- *There are n nonzero semi-positive symmetric real $g \times g$ matrices $E_1, \cdots, E_n$ such that*

$$M(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_j E_j;$$

- *$M(-\log|w_1|, \cdots, -\log|w_n|)$ is a positive matrix at every point $(w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in B^*$.*

Let $S(x_1, \cdots, x_n) := \det(M(x_1, \cdots, x_n))$. Let i be an arbitrary integer in $[1, n]$. We have :

1. *$M(-\log|w_1|, \cdots, -\log|w_n|)$ is a ∞-positive matrix at any point $(w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in B$.*
2. *$\deg_i S = \operatorname{rank} E_i$, and*

$$S(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = S_i(x_1, \cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots, x_n) x_i^{\deg_i S} + \text{ terms with lower degree of } x_i$$

where $S_i(x_1, \cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots, x_n)$ is homogenous polynomial of degree $g - \deg_i S$ with $n-1$ variables $x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n$.

3. *There exists a positive number α and a $(g - \operatorname{rank} E_i) \times (g - \operatorname{rank} E_i)$ logarithmical positive matrix function $M_i(x_1, \cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots, x_n)$ on $B_i^* := B_i \setminus \bigcup_{m \neq i} (B_i \cap B_m)$ such that*

$$S_i(x_1, \cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots, x_n) = \alpha \det(M^{(i)}(x_1, \cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots, x_n)).$$

Moreover, $M^{(i)}(-\log|w_1|, \cdots \widehat{-\log|w_i|} \cdots, -\log|w_n|)$ is a ∞-positive matrix at any point $(w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in B$. In particular, $S_i(-\log|w_1|, \cdots \widehat{-\log|w_i|} \cdots, -\log|w_n|)$ is never zero at any point $(w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in B$.

4. *Let $i \in [1,n]$ be an integer and let $B_i^* := B_i \backslash \bigcup_{j\neq i} B_j$. Let $Q \in \mathbb{R}[x_1,\cdots,x_n]$ be a homogenous polynomial with $\deg_i Q \leq \deg_i S$ such that*
$$Q = Q_i(x_1,\cdots \widehat{x_i} \cdots, x_n) x_i^{\deg_i Q} + \text{ terms with lower degree of } x_i \ .$$
where $Q_i(x_1,\cdots \widehat{x_i}\cdots,x_n)$ is homogenous polynomial with $n-1$ variables $x_1,\cdots,x_{i-1},x_{i+1},\cdots,x_n$.

   Define a function $A(z_1,\cdots,z_n) := (Q/S)(-\log|z_1|,\cdots,-\log|z_n|)$ on B^*.

   a)
$$J(w_1,\cdots,\underbrace{0}_{i},\cdots,w_n) := \lim_{t_i\to 0}(Q/S)(-\log|w_1|,\cdots,-\log|t_i|,\cdots,-\log|w_n|)$$
   exists as a finite real number for any point $(w_1,\cdots,\underbrace{0}_{i},\cdots,w_n) \in B_i^*$;

   b) the function A can be extended to a continuous function $\widetilde{A}$ on $B^* \cup B_i^*$, where
$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{A}(w_1,\cdots,w_n) \\ := &\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A(w_1,\cdots,w_n) & (w_1,\cdots,w_n)\in B^*, \\ J(w_1,\cdots,w_{i-1},0,w_{i+1},\cdots,w_n) & (w_1,\cdots,w_{i-1},0,w_{i+1},\cdots,w_n)\in B_i^*; \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$$

   c) $\mathrm{Rest}_i(A) := \widetilde{A}|_{B_i^*}$ is a smooth function on B_i^*.

Proof. 1. It is sufficient to show that $M(-\log|w_1|,\cdots,-\log|w_n|)$ is a ∞-positive matrix at any point $(w_1,\cdots,w_n) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\{w_i = 0\}$.

Let Λ be a subset of $\{1,\cdots,n\}$.

Let $(w_1,\cdots,w_n)$ be a point in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\{w_i = 0\}$ such that $\left\{\begin{array}{ll} w_i = 0, & i\in\Lambda \\ w_i \neq 0, & i\notin\Lambda \end{array}\right.$. We define a system of points $\{(w_1(\epsilon),\cdots,w_n(\epsilon))\}_{\epsilon\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ given by $\left\{\begin{array}{ll} w_i(\epsilon) := \epsilon, & i\in\Lambda \\ w_i(\epsilon) := w_i, & i\notin\Lambda \end{array}\right.$. It is easy to check that there is a positive $\epsilon_0 < 1$ such that $(w_1(\epsilon),\cdots,w_n(\epsilon)) \in B^*$ for $\forall\epsilon\in(0,\epsilon_0]$.

We always have
$$\begin{aligned} M(-\log|w_1(r)|,\cdots,-\log|w_n(r)|) &= M(-\log|w_1(\epsilon_0)|,\cdots,-\log|w_n(\epsilon_0)|) \\ &\quad +(-\log r + \log\varepsilon_0)(\sum_{i\in\Lambda} E_i) \end{aligned}$$
for any sufficient small real positive number r. Since $M(-\log|w_1(\epsilon_0)|,\cdots,-\log|w_n(\epsilon_0)|)$ is a positive matrix as $(w_1(\epsilon_0),\cdots,w_n(\epsilon_0)) \in B^*$ and $\sum_{i\in\Lambda} E_i$ is a semi-positive matrix, we obtain $M(-\log|w_1|,\cdots,-\log|w_n|)$ is a ∞-positive matrix and
$$\begin{aligned} &M(-\log|w_1|,\cdots,-\log|w_n|) \\ = \ &M(-\log|w_1(\epsilon_0)|,\cdots,-\log|w_n(\epsilon_0)|) + (\nu_0 - \log\frac{1}{\epsilon_0})(\sum_{i\in\Lambda} E_i). \end{aligned}$$

2. We prove the second and the third statements in this lemma together. By the symmetry, it is sufficient to prove all statements in case of $i = 1$.

   Since E_1 is semi-positive symmetric and nonzero, E_1 is diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix O such that
$$O^T E_1 O = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_g \end{array}\right)$$

with

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{k_1} > 0 = \lambda_{k_1+1} = \lambda_{k_1+2} = \cdots$$

where $k_1 = \mathrm{rank} E_1$.

Then, we have $O^T M O = x_1 \mathrm{diag}[\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{k_1}, 0, \cdots, 0] + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i O^T E_i O$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \det\left(x_1 \mathrm{diag}[\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{k_1}, 0, \cdots, 0] + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i O^T E_i O\right) \\ &= P_{k_1}(x_2, \cdots, x_n)(\prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \lambda_i) x_1^{k_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} P_{k_1-i}(x_2, \cdots, x_n) a_{k_1-i}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{k_1}) x_1^{k_1-i}, \end{aligned}$$

where each $P_i(x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}[x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ is a homogenous polynomial of degree $g - i$ and each $a_i(y_1, \cdots, y_{k_1}) \in \mathbb{R}[y_1, \cdots, y_{k_1}]$ is a homogenous polynomial of degree i.

For any $2 \leq j \leq n$, we define $E_j^{(1)}$ to be the $(g - k_1, g - k_1)$ matrix by deleting rows $1, \cdots, k_1$ and columns $1, \cdots, k_1$ of the matrix $O^T E_j O$. So all $E_i^{(1)}$ are semi-positive. Let $M^{(1)}(x_2, \cdots, x_n) := \sum\limits_{j=2}^n x_j E_j^{(1)}$. Then, $M^{(1)}(x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ is the $(g - k_1, g - k_1)$ matrix by deleting rows $1, \cdots, k_1$ and columns $1, \cdots, k_1$ of the matrix $O^T M(x_1, \cdots, x_n) O$, and

$$P_{k_1}(x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \det(M^{(1)}(x_2, \cdots, x_n)).$$

At any point $(w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in B$, $M^{(1)}(-\log|w_2|, \cdots, -\log|w_n|)$ is a ∞-positive $(g - k_1, g - k_1)$ matrix since $O^T M(-\log|w_1|, \cdots, -\log|w_n|) O$ is a ∞-positive (g, g) matrix by the first statement of this lemma.

Let $(0, w_2^{'}, \cdots, w_n^{'}) \in B_1^*$ be an arbitrary point. For any sufficiently small $\epsilon \neq 0$, the point $(\epsilon, w_2, \cdots, w_n)$ is exactly in B^*, and so $O^T M(-\log|\epsilon|, -\log|w_1^{'}| \cdots, -\log|w_n^{'}|) O$ is a positive matrix. Then $M^{(1)}(-\log|w_2^{'}|, \cdots, -\log|w_n^{'}|)$ is a positive $(g - \mathrm{rank} E_i) \times (g - \mathrm{rank} E_i)$ matrix. Thus $M^{(1)}(x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ is a $(g - \mathrm{rank} E_i) \times (g - \mathrm{rank} E_i)$ logarithmical positive matrix function on B_1^*.

Therefore, $P_{k_1}(-\log|w_2|, \cdots, -\log|w_n|)$ is non zero at any point $(w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in B$ by the statement (1) of this lemma. In particular, we have that $P_{k_1}(x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ is a nonzero polynomial and $\deg_1 S = \mathrm{rank} E_1$.

3. See the proof of the statement(2).
4. Let $(w_1, \cdots, \underbrace{0}_{i}, \cdots, w_n) \in B_i^*$ be an arbitrary point. $(w_1, \cdots, t_i, \cdots, w_n)$ is in B^* for all $t_i \neq 0$ with sufficient small $|t_i|$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} A(w_1, \cdots, t_i \cdots, w_n) &= \frac{(-\log|t_i|)^{\deg_i S}}{S(-\log|w_1|, \cdots, -\log|t_i| \cdots, -\log|w_n|)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{S(-\log|w_1|, \cdots, -\log|t_i| \cdots, -\log|w_n|}{-\log|t_i|)^{\deg_i S}} \end{aligned}$$

for any sufficiently small $t_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Since both

$$\lim_{t_i \to 0} \frac{(-\log|t_i|)^{\deg_i S}}{S(-\log|w_1|, \cdots, -\log|t_i| \cdots, -\log|w_n|)} < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{t_i \to 0} \frac{Q(-\log|w_1|, \cdots, -\log|t_i| \cdots, -\log|w_n|}{(-\log|t_i|)^{\deg_i S}} < \infty$$

exist, $J(z_1, \cdots, \underbrace{0}_{i}, \cdots, z_n)$ is well-defined on B_i^*.

It is easy to check that for any $p \in B_i^*$, there is a neighborhood V_p of p in $B^* \cup B_i^*$ such that $\widetilde{A}(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ is continues on V_p.

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Rest}_i(A)(w_1, \cdots, \underbrace{0}_{i}, \cdots, w_n) \\ = &\begin{cases} 0, & \deg_i Q < \deg_i S; \\ \frac{Q_i}{S_i}(-\log|w_1|, \cdots - \widehat{\log|w_i|} \cdots, -\log|w_n|), & \deg_i Q = \deg_i S. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Rest}_i(A)$ is a smooth function on B_i^*.

□

4.2. **Behaviors of logarithmical canonical line bundles.** Let $\widetilde{t}_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j}\det(\widetilde{T}_{i,j})$ be the (i,j)-th cofactor of $(T^\alpha_{i,j})$ where $\widetilde{T}_{i,j}$ is a $(N-1)\times(N-1)$ the matrix that from deleting row i and column j of the $N \times N$ matrix $(T^\alpha_{i,j})$.

Let $\widetilde{K}_{i,j}$ be the $(N-1)\times(N-1)$ the matrix that from deleting row i and column j of the $N\times N$ matrix $(K_{i,j})$. Let $\widetilde{k}_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j}\det(\widetilde{K}_{i,j})$ be the (i,j)-th cofactor of the matrix $(K_{i,j})$.

For all pair (i,j) with $1 \le i,j \le N$, we define $(N-1, N-1)$ simple forms

$$\nu_{i,j} = \begin{cases} dw_i^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_j^\alpha} \bigwedge\limits_{k\neq i, k\neq j}^{1\le k\le N} dw_k^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_k^\alpha}, & i \neq j; \\ \bigwedge\limits_{k\neq i}^{1\le k\le N} dw_k^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_k^\alpha}, & i = j. \end{cases}$$

By 4.1.2, we obtain $(\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1} = (N-1)!(\sum_{i=1}^N \widetilde{k}_{i,i}\nu_{ii} - \sum_{i\neq j}^{1\le i,j\le N}\widetilde{k}_{j,i}\nu_{i,j})$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\overline{X}$ be a n-dimensional complex manifold of and D a simple normal crossing divisor on $\overline{X}$. Let ω_h be the Kähler form of a Hodge metric h on $X := \overline{X} - D$. Let p a point in $\overline{X}$ with a coordinate chart $(U, (z_1, \cdots, z_n))$ such that*

$$U \cap X = \{(z_1, \cdots, z_l, \cdots z_n) \mid 0 < |z_i| < 1 (i = 1, \cdots l), |z_j| < 1 (i = l+1, \cdots, n)\ \}.$$

We have that $|\omega_h| \le C(\prod_{i=1}^l -\log|z_i|)^M$ in the coordinate chart $\{(z_1, \cdots, z_l, \cdots, z_m) \mid 0 < |z_i| < r(i = 1, \cdots, l), |z_j| < r(j = l+1, \cdots, m)\}$ of p for a suitable $r > 0$, where C, M are positive constants depending on r. In particular, the form ω_h has Poincaré growth on D.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Hodge Theory(cf.[Sch73],[CKS86]). One also can see a detailed proof in Section 1.3 of [Y-Z2010]. □

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ be a neat arithmetic subgroup and $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ regular with respect to Γ. Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ be the symmetric toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} := \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ constructed by $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.*

Assume that the boundary divisor $D_\infty := \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is simple normal crossing. Let D_i be an arbitrary irreducible component of D_∞. The intersection number

$$D_i \cdot (K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}} + D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma} - 1} = 0$$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied : (i) $g = 2$, (ii) D_i is constructed from an edge ρ_i in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ for some minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ such that $\mathrm{Int}(\rho_i) \subset C(\mathfrak{F}_{\min})$.

Proof. Let $||\cdot||_i$ be an arbitrary Hermitian metric on the line $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ and let s_i be the section of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ defining D_i. Since $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ represents $K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}} + D_\infty$ in cohomology class(cf.[Mum77]), we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}} + D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-1}\cdot D_i\\
=\ & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}-D_\infty(\epsilon)} (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-1}\wedge c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i),||\cdot||_i)\\
=\ & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} -(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^N \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}-D_\infty(\epsilon)} (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-1}\wedge \partial\overline{\partial}\log||s_i||_i\\
=\ & \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^N \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}-D_\infty(\epsilon)} (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-1}\wedge d(\partial-\overline{\partial})\log||s_i||_i\\
=\ & -\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}C_{N-1}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)} (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-1}\wedge (\partial-\overline{\partial})\log||s_i||_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(U_\alpha,(w_1^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha))$ be an arbitrary local coordinate chart such that $D_i\cap U_\alpha=\{w_i^\alpha=0\}$. We write $||s_i||_i = h_\alpha(w)|w_i^\alpha|^2$ on U_α. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha} C_{N-1}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}\wedge(\partial-\overline{\partial})\log(h_\alpha(w)|w_i^\alpha|^2)\\
=\ & \int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha} C_{N-1}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}\wedge\big\{2\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Im}(\partial\log|w_i^\alpha|)+(\partial-\overline{\partial})\log h_\alpha(w)\big\}\\
=\ & 2\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Im}\big(C_{N-1}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}\partial\log|w_i^\alpha|\wedge(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}\big)\\
&+C_{N-1}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}\wedge(\partial-\overline{\partial})\log h_\alpha(w).
\end{aligned}$$

As we have shown in [Y-Z2010], the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is a sub holomorphic bundle of some Hodge bundle on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ and the Bergman metric is compatible with the Hodge metric, so that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}\wedge(\partial-\overline{\partial})\log h_\alpha(w)=0. \tag{4.8.1}$$

by the estimate in the lemma 4.7. Note that we also can use ω_B has Poincaré growth on D_∞ to get the equality 4.8.1(cf.Proposition 1.2 [Mum77]).

On $U_\alpha^* := U_\alpha\setminus D_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(N-1)!}\partial\log|w_i^\alpha|\wedge(\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}\\
=\ & \widetilde{k}_{i,i}\frac{dw_i^\alpha}{w_i^\alpha}\wedge\bigwedge_{1\le m\le N,m\neq i} dw_m^\alpha\wedge\overline{dw_m^\alpha}\\
&+\sum_{1\le m\le N,m\neq i}\widetilde{k}_{i,m}\frac{dw_m^\alpha}{w_i^\alpha}\wedge\bigwedge_{1\le l\le N,l\neq m} dw_l^\alpha\wedge\overline{dw_l^\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $T_j(\epsilon)$ be the tube neighborhood of $\{w_j=0\}$ in U_α for $j=1,\cdots,N$. Since

$$dw_k^\alpha\wedge\overline{dw_k^\alpha}=0\ \text{ on } \partial T_k(\epsilon):=\{|w_k^\alpha|=\epsilon\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha} \partial \log |w_i^\alpha| \wedge (\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1} \\
= &\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{\partial T_j(\epsilon)\cap\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)} \partial \log |w_i^\alpha| \wedge (\partial\overline{\partial}\log\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1} \\
= &(N-1)!\int_{\partial T_i(\epsilon)\cap\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)} \frac{\widetilde{k}_{i,i}}{w_i^\alpha} dw_i^\alpha \wedge \bigwedge_{1\le m\le N, m\neq i} dw_m^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_m^\alpha} \\
&+(N-1)!\sum_{1\le j\le N, j\neq i}\int_{\partial T_j(\epsilon)\cap\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)} \frac{\widetilde{k}_{i,j}}{w_i^\alpha} dw_j^\alpha \wedge \bigwedge_{1\le l\le N, l\neq j} dw_l^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_l^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha$ has Poincaré growth, the above integral is bounded uniformly on ϵ.

For any integer $j\in[1,N]$ with $j\neq i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\widetilde{k}_{i,j}}{w_i^\alpha} &= (-1)^{N-1}(\frac{g+1}{4})^{N-1} \\
&\times \frac{\widetilde{t}_{i,j}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)}{w_j^\alpha(\prod_{1\le l\le N, l\neq j}|w_l^\alpha|^2)(F^\alpha)^{2(N-1)}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)} \quad \text{on } \partial T_j(\epsilon)\cap\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{t}_{i,j}=(-1)^{i+j}\det(\widetilde{T}_{i,j})$ is the (i,j)-th cofactor of the matrix $(T_{l,m})_{1\le l,m\le N}$.

The lemma 4.3 says

$$\deg_j \widetilde{t}_{i,j} \le 2(N-1)\deg_j F - 1 \ \forall j\neq i,$$

we then get

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial T_j(\epsilon)\cap\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)} \frac{\widetilde{k}_{i,j}}{w_i^\alpha} dw_j^\alpha \wedge \bigwedge_{1\le l\le N, l\neq j} dw_l^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_l^\alpha} = 0 \quad \forall j\neq i. \tag{4.8.2}$$

by using the generalized Cauchy integral formula and the Poincaré growth of $(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}$.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\widetilde{k}_{i,i}}{w_i^\alpha} &= (-1)^{N-1}(\frac{g+1}{4})^{N-1} \\
&\times \frac{\widetilde{t}_{i,i}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)}{w_i^\alpha(\prod_{1\le l\le N, l\neq i}|w_l^\alpha|^2)(F^\alpha)^{2(N-1)}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)} \quad \text{on } \partial T_i(\epsilon)\cap\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{t}_{i,i}=\det(\widetilde{T}_{i,i})$ is the (i,i)-th cofactor of the matrix $(T_{l,m})_{1\le l,m\le N}$.

Let $d_i=\deg_i F^\alpha[x_1,\cdots,x_N]$. We can write

$$F^\alpha = P(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_N)x_i^{d_i}+\cdots,$$

where P is a homogenous polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_N]$ of degree $g-d_i$.

For any integers l,m in $[1,N]\setminus\{i\}$, we define $A_{l,m}:=PP_{lm}-P_lP_m$, where

$$P_{lm}:=\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_l\partial x_m},\ P_l:=\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_l}.$$

We get a $(N-1)\times(N-1)$ matrix $(A_{l,m})_{l,m\in[1,N]\setminus\{i\}}$. Then, the coefficient of the term $x_i^{2(N-1)d_i}$ in $\widetilde{t}_{i,i}$ is just $\det(A_{l,m})$. Again using the generalized Cauchy integral formula and the Poincaré growth of $(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int_{\partial T_i(\epsilon)\cap\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)}\frac{\widetilde{k}_{i,i}}{w_i^\alpha}dw_i^\alpha\wedge\bigwedge_{1\le m\le N,m\neq i}dw_m^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_m^\alpha}\\ = \;& (-1)^{N-1}(\frac{g+1}{4})^{N-1}\\ &\times\int_{\{w_i^\alpha=0\}}\frac{\det(A_{l,m})(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\widehat{\log|w_i^\alpha|},\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\bigwedge_{1\le m\le N,m\neq i}dw_m^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_m^\alpha}}{(\prod_{1\le l\le N,l\neq i}|w_l^\alpha|^2)P^{2(N-1)}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\widehat{\log|w_i^\alpha|},\cdots,,\log|w_N^\alpha|)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^N\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-1}\wedge(\partial-\overline{\partial})\log||s_i||_i\\ = \;& \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}\times\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}\wedge(\partial-\overline{\partial})\log(h_\alpha(w)|w_i^\alpha|^2)\\ = \;& \frac{-1}{2\pi}\mathrm{Im}\big(\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}\partial\log|w_i^\alpha|\wedge(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-1}\big)\\ = \;& \frac{-(N-1)!}{2\pi}\mathrm{Im}\big(\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^{N-1}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap T_i(\epsilon)}\frac{\widetilde{k}_{i,i}}{w_i^\alpha}dw_i^\alpha\wedge\bigwedge_{1\le m\le N,m\neq i}dw_m^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_m^\alpha}\big)\\ = \;& (-1)^N(N-1)!(\frac{g+1}{4})^{N-1}\\ &\times\int_{\{w_i^\alpha=0\}}\frac{\det(PP_{lm}-P_lP_m)}{P^{2(N-1)}}(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\widehat{\log|w_i^\alpha|},\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{\bigwedge_{m\neq i}^{1\le m\le N}(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}dw_m^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_m^\alpha})}{\prod_{1\le l\le N,l\neq i}|w_l^\alpha|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

In all conditions(i),(ii), the polynomial P never takes zero value and $\det(PP_{lm}-P_lP_m)$ is always zero by the lemma 4.6. □

Remark. Recall that there is a commutative diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{H}_g \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d] & U^{\mathfrak{F}_0}(\mathbb{C})\cong\mathbb{C}^{g(g+1)/2} \arrow[d, "w_{ij}:=\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z_{ij})"] \\ \mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma\cap U^{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, "\subset"] & (\mathbb{C}^*)^{g(g+1)/2}. \end{tikzcd}$$

By the lemma 4.6, we can write

$$F^\alpha(x_1,\cdots,x_N)=S_i(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_N)x_i^{\deg_i F^\alpha}+\text{ terms of lower degree of }x_i$$

for any integer in $i\in[1,N]$. Here $S_i(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_N)$ is a nonzero polynomial and

$$S_i(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\widehat{\log|w_i^\alpha|},\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)$$

is never zero on any point $(w_1^\alpha,\cdots,w_1^\alpha)$ in U_α.

4.3. **Calculation on intersection numbers and non ampleness of logarithmical canonical bundles.** Let d be an integer with $1 \leq d \leq N-1$. Let $D_1, \cdots, D_d$ be d irreducible components of the boundary divisor D_∞. For each integer $i \in [1, d]$, let $||\cdot||_i$ be an arbitrary Hermitian metric on the line $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ and let s_i be a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$ defining D_i.

Now we study the intersection number $(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}} + D_\infty)^{N-d} \cdot D_1 \cdots D_d$.

We have :

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}} + D_\infty)^{N-d} \cdot D_1 \cdots D_d \\
= \;& \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} - D_\infty(\epsilon)} (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-d} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{d} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i), ||\cdot||_i) \\
= \;& \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} -C_{N-d+1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} - D_\infty(\epsilon)} (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-d} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=2}^{d} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i), ||\cdot||_i)) \wedge \partial\overline{\partial}\log||s_1||_1 \\
= \;& \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{C_{N-d+1}}{2} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma} - D_\infty(\epsilon)} (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-d} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=2}^{d} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i), ||\cdot||_i)) \wedge d(\partial - \overline{\partial})\log||s_1||_1 \\
= \;& -\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} C_{N-d} \int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)} (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-d} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=2}^{d} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i), ||\cdot||_i)) \wedge (\partial - \overline{\partial})\log||s_1||_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(U_\alpha, (w_1^\alpha, \cdots, w_N^\alpha))$ is be a local coordinate chart from toroidal compactification as 4.1.1 such that $D_1 \cap U_\alpha = \{w_1^\alpha = 0\}$, and

$$U_\alpha^* := U_\alpha - \bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\{w_j^\alpha = 0\}.$$

We write $||s_1||_1 = h_\alpha(w)|w_1^\alpha|^2$ on U_α. By the estimate in the lemma 4.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} C_{N-d} \int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha} (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-d} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=2}^{d} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i), ||\cdot||_i)) \wedge (\partial - \overline{\partial})\log||s_1||_1. \\
= \;& \frac{-1}{2\pi}\mathrm{Im}\big(C_{N-d} \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha} \partial \log|w_1^\alpha| \wedge (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=2}^{d} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i), ||\cdot||_i))\big).
\end{aligned}$$

We now use $[i_1, \cdots, i_l]$ to mean a l-tuple $(i_1, \cdots, i_l)$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_l \leq N$, and we say $[i_1, \cdots, i_l] = [j_1, \cdots, j_l]$ if and only if $i_k = j_k$ $\forall k = 1, \cdots, l$. For any $[i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_l]$, let $j_1, \cdots, j_{N-l}$ be integers in $\{1, \cdots, N\} \setminus \{i_1, \cdots, i_l\}$ satisfying $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{N-l} \leq N$, define

$$[i_1, \cdots, i_l]^\circ := [j_1, \cdots, j_{N-l}].$$

So $[i_1, \cdots, i_l]^\circ = [j_1, \cdots, j_l]^\circ$ if and only if $[i_1, \cdots, i_l] = [j_1, \cdots, j_l]$.

For any l-tuple $[i_i, \cdots, i_l]$, we define a simple (l, l)-form on U_α

$$\nu^{[i_i,\cdots,i_l]}_{[j_i,\cdots,j_l]} := (dw^\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dw^\alpha_{i_l}) \bigwedge (d\overline{w^\alpha_{j_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{w^\alpha_{j_l}}).$$

For a $N \times N$ matrix $A = (A_{ij})$, we use $A^{[i_i,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_i,\cdots,j_d]}$ to mean a $(N-d, N-d)$ matrix by deleting rows $i_1, \cdots, i_d$ and column $j_1, \cdots, j_d$ of the matrix $A = (A_{i,j})$, and we define

$$\widetilde{A}^{[i_i,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_i,\cdots,j_d]} = (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{d}(i_k+j_k)} \det(A^{[i_i,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_i,\cdots,j_d]}).$$

Consider the $N \times N$ matrix $K = (K_{i,j})$ related to the equality 4.1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(N-d)!}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d} \\ =& \sum_{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]} \widetilde{K}^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]} \bigwedge_{1\le l\le N-d}^{[j_1,\cdots,j_{N-d}]=[i_1,\cdots,i_d]^\circ} (dw^\alpha_{j_l}\wedge d\overline{w^\alpha_{j_l}}) + \sum_{[i_1,\cdots,i_d],[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]\neq[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \pm\widetilde{K}^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\nu^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]^\circ}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]^\circ} \end{aligned}$$

and we get the following equality on $\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\log|w^\alpha_1|\wedge(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}}{(N-d)!} =& \underbrace{\sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]} \frac{\widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2\cdots,i_d]}}{2w^\alpha_1}dw^\alpha_1\wedge \bigwedge_{1\le l\le N-d}^{[j_1,\cdots,j_{N-d}]=[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]^\circ} (dw^\alpha_{j_l}\wedge d\overline{w^\alpha_{j_l}})}_{I} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]\neq[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} \pm\frac{\widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}}{2w^\alpha_1}dw^\alpha_1\wedge\nu^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]^\circ}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]^\circ}}_{II} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}^{j_1\neq 1} \pm\frac{\widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}}{2w^\alpha_1}dw^\alpha_1\wedge\nu^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]^\circ}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]^\circ}}_{III} \end{aligned}$$

We note that I, II and III are smooth forms on $\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha$.

Let $T_j(\epsilon)$ be the tube neighborhood of $\{w_j = 0\}$ in U_α for $j = 1,\cdots,N$. When $j \ge 2$, it is not necessary that $D_j \cap U_\alpha = \{w_k = 0\}$ for some k. However $\{w_j = 0\} \subset D_\infty \, \forall j$. We note that

$$\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_j(\epsilon).$$

Lemma 4.9. *Let η be an arbitrary smooth $(d-1,d-1)$ form on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. We have*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)} I\wedge\eta = 0$$

for any integer $k \in [2,N]$.

Proof. Since

$$dw^\alpha_k\wedge d\overline{w^\alpha_k} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial T_k(\epsilon)(=\{|w^\alpha_k| = \epsilon\}),$$

we get $I = \sum\limits_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],k\in\{i_2,\cdots,i_d\}} \theta([1,i_2,\cdots i_d])$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$, where

$$\theta([1,i_2,\cdots i_d]) := \widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\frac{dw^\alpha_1}{2w^\alpha_1}\wedge\bigwedge_{l=1}^{N-d}(dw^\alpha_{j_l}\wedge d\overline{w^\alpha_{j_l}}) \quad \text{on } \partial T_k(\epsilon)$$

with $[j_1,\cdots,j_{N-d}] = [1,\cdots,i_l]^\circ$.

On the coordinate chart $(U_\alpha,(w^\alpha_1,\cdots,w^\alpha_N))$, we write $\eta = \sum_\beta c_\beta\eta_\beta$ where each c_β is a smooth function on U_α and each η_β is a simple $(d-1,d-1)$ form given by the wedge product of some

dw_l^α's and some $\overline{dw_m^\alpha}$'s with coefficient 1. It is sufficient to prove the equality

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)}\theta([1,i_2,\cdots i_d])\wedge c_\beta\eta_\beta=0$$

for any d-tuple $[1,i_2,\cdots i_d]$ satisfying $k\in\{i_2,\cdots,i_d\}$, any simple $(d-1,d-1)$ form η_β with coefficient 1 and any smooth function c_β on U_α.

Let η_β be an arbitrary simple $(d-1,d-1)$ form with coefficient 1 and c_β an arbitrary smooth function on U_α. We may require that η_β contains no dw_1^α and contains neither a form like $dw_{j_l}^\alpha$ nor a form like $\overline{dw_{j_m}^\alpha}$(otherwise $\theta([1,i_2,\cdots i_d])\wedge\eta_\beta=0$). Since $\theta\wedge\eta_\beta$ is a simple $(N,N-1)$-form with coefficient $\frac{\widetilde{K}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}}{2w_1^\alpha}$, η_β must contain dw_k^α. We also require that η_β does not contain $\overline{dw_k^\alpha}$(otherwise, $\eta_\beta=0$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$). Then, η_β contains $\overline{dw_1^\alpha}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta([1,i_2,\cdots i_d])\wedge\eta_\beta\\ =\ &\pm\widetilde{K}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}dw_k^\alpha\wedge\frac{dw_1^\alpha\wedge\overline{dw_1^\alpha}}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge\bigwedge_{j=2}^{k-1}(dw_j^\alpha\wedge\overline{dw_j^\alpha})\wedge\bigwedge_{j=k+1}^{N}(dw_j^\alpha\wedge\overline{dw_j^\alpha})\ \text{ on }\ \partial T_k(\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}$ is a $(N-d,N-d)$ form of Poincaré growth, there is a small neighborhood V_0 of the origin point $0\in U_\alpha$ such that

$$|\widetilde{K}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}|\le\frac{C}{\prod_{m=1}^{N-d}|w_{j_m}^\alpha|^2(\log|w_{j_m}^\alpha|)^2}\text{ on }V_0\cap U_\alpha^*$$

where C is a constant depending on V_0. It is well-known that there is

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\varepsilon\Big(\int_\varepsilon^A\frac{dr}{r(\log r)^2}\Big)^n=0$$

for any real numbers $A,n>0$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)}\theta([1,i_2,\cdots i_d])\wedge c_\beta\eta_\beta=0.$$

□

Lemma 4.10. *Let η be an arbitrary smooth $(d-1,d-1)$ form on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. We have*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)}II\wedge\eta=0$$

for any integer $k\in[2,N]$.

Proof. We can write $II=\sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]\neq[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}\pm\theta_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}$, where

$$\theta_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}:=\widetilde{K}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\frac{dw_1^\alpha}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge\nu_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]^\circ}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]^\circ}.$$

Since $dw_k^\alpha\wedge\overline{dw_k^\alpha}=0$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$, it is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)}\theta_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\wedge c_\beta\eta_\beta=0$$

for any simple $(d-1,d-1)$ form η_β with coefficient 1, any smooth function c_β on U_α and any two d-tuples $[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]$ satisfying

$$(!)\quad[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]\neq[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]\quad\text{and}\quad k\in\{i_2,\cdots,i_d\}\bigcup\{j_2,\cdots,j_d\}.$$

Let η_β be an arbitrary simple $(d-1, d-1)$ form with coefficient 1 and c_β an arbitrary smooth function on U_α. Let $[1, i_2, \cdots, i_d], [1, j_2, \cdots, j_d]$ be two arbitrary d-tuples satisfying the condition (!), and let $[i_{d+1}, \cdots, i_N] = [1, i_2, \cdots, i_d]^\circ$ and $[j_{d+1}, \cdots, j_N] = [1, j_2, \cdots, j_d]^\circ$. So $i_{d+1} \geq 2, j_{d+1} \geq 2$ and $\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} = \widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} \frac{dw_1^\alpha}{2w_1^\alpha} \wedge \nu^{[i_{d+1},\cdots,i_N]}_{[j_{d+1},\cdots,j_N]}$.

We can require that the simple form η_β contains no dw_1^α and contains none of

$$\{dw^\alpha_{i_{d+1}}, \cdots, dw^\alpha_{i_N}\} \bigcup \{\overline{dw^\alpha_1}, \overline{dw^\alpha_{j_{d+2}}}, \cdots, \overline{dw^\alpha_{j_N}}\}$$

(otherwise $\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta_\beta = 0$). There are three cases :

- $k \in \{i_2, \cdots, i_d\} \cap \{j_2, \cdots, j_d\}$: Then $k \notin \{i_{d+1}, \cdots, i_N\} \cup \{j_{d+1}, \cdots, j_N\}$. Suppose that $\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta_\beta$ is a nonzero $(N, N-1)$ simple form. Then η_β contains dw_k^α by that $k \notin \{i_{d+1}, \cdots, i_N\}$. We may require that η_β contains no $\overline{dw_k^\alpha}$(otherwise $\eta = 0$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$), and then η_β contains $\overline{dw_1^\alpha}$. Since $k \notin \{j_{d+1}, \cdots, j_N\}$, we have
$$\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta_\beta = \pm \widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} dw_k^\alpha \wedge \frac{dw_1^\alpha \wedge \overline{dw_1^\alpha}}{2w_1^\alpha} \wedge (\bigwedge_{l=2}^{k-1} dw_l^\alpha \wedge \overline{dw_l^\alpha}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{k+1}^{N} dw_l^\alpha \wedge \overline{dw_l^\alpha}).$$
As $k \notin \{i_{d+1}, \cdots, i_N\} \cup \{j_{d+1}, \cdots, j_N\}$, we obtain
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon) \cap \partial T_k(\epsilon)} \theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge c_\beta \eta_\beta = 0$$
by the Poincaré growth of the form $(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}$.
- $k \in \{i_2, \cdots, i_d\}$ but $k \notin \{j_2, \cdots, j_d\}$: Then, $k \in \{j_{d+1}, \cdots, j_N\}$, and so
$$\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta_\beta = 0 \text{ on } \partial T_k(\epsilon).$$
- $k \in \{j_2, \cdots, j_d\} \setminus \{i_2, \cdots, i_d\}$: Then $k \in \{i_{d+1}, \cdots, i_N\}$ but $k \notin \{j_{d+1}, \cdots, j_N\}$. We require that η_β does not have $\overline{dw_k^\alpha}$(otherwise $\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta_\beta = 0$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$ by $k \in \{i_{d+1}, \cdots, i_N\}$), and so η_β has $\overline{dw_1^\alpha}$ as $j_{d+1} \geq 2$. Thus, we get
$$\begin{aligned} &\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta_\beta \\ = \ & \pm \frac{(-g-1)^{N-d} \det(T^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]})}{4^{N-d}(\prod_{d+1 \leq l \leq N}^{i_l \neq k} w^\alpha_{i_l})(\prod_{l=d+1}^{N} \overline{w_{j_l}})(F^\alpha)^{2(N-d)}(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|)} \\ & \times \frac{dw_k^\alpha}{w_k^\alpha} \wedge \frac{dw_1^\alpha \wedge \overline{dw_1^\alpha}}{2w_1^\alpha} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=2}^{k-1} dw_i^\alpha d \wedge \overline{w_i^\alpha}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=k+1}^{N} dw_i^\alpha \wedge \overline{dw_i^\alpha}) \text{ on } \partial T_k(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$
Since $k \in \{j_{d+1}, \cdots, j_N\}$ but $k \notin \{i_{d+1}, \cdots, i_N\}$, we have
$$\deg_k \det(T^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}) \leq 2(N-d) \deg_k F^\alpha - 1.$$
by the lemma 4.3. Therefore, we obtain
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon) \cap \partial T_k(\epsilon)} \theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge c_\beta \eta_\beta = \int_{\{w_k^\alpha = 0\}} 0 = 0.$$
by using the generalized Cauchy integral formula and using the Poincaré growth of $(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}$.

□

Lemma 4.11. *Let η be an arbitrary smooth $(d-1,d-1)$ form on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. We have*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)} III\wedge\eta=0$$

for any integer $k\in[1,N]$.

Proof. Since $dw_k^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_k^\alpha}=0$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$, $III=\sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[j_1,\cdots,j_d],j_1\neq 1}^{k\in\{1,i_2,\cdots,i_d\}\cup\{j_1,\cdots,j_d\}}\pm\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$ where

$$\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}:=\widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\frac{dw_1^\alpha}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge\nu^{[1,\cdots,i_d]^\circ}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]^\circ}.$$

As $j_1\neq 1$, we must have $[j_1,\cdots,j_d]^\circ=[1,\cdots]$ and so

$$III=0\text{ on }\partial T_1(\epsilon).$$

Now we suppose that $k>1$. It is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)}\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\wedge c_\beta\eta_\beta=0$$

for any simple $(d-1,d-1)$ form η_β with coefficient 1, any smooth function c_β on U_α and any two d-tuples $[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[j_1,\cdots,j_d]$ satisfying

$$(!!)\quad j_1\neq 1\ \text{ and }\ k\in\{1,i_2,\cdots,i_d\}\cup\{j_1,\cdots,j_d\}.$$

Let η_β be an arbitrary simple $(d-1,d-1)$ form with coefficient 1 and c_β an arbitrary smooth function on U_α. Let $[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[j_1,\cdots,j_d]$ be two arbitrary d-tuples satisfying the condition (!!), and let $[i_{d+1},\cdots,i_N]=[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]^\circ$ and $[1,j_{d+2},\cdots,j_N]=[j_1,\cdots,j_d]^\circ$. Then, we get

$$\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}=\widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\frac{dw_1^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_1^\alpha}}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge\bigwedge_{l=d+1}^{N}dw_{i_l}^\alpha\wedge\bigwedge_{l=d+2}^{N}d\overline{w_{j_l}^\alpha}.$$

We can require that the simple form η_β contains no dw_1^α and contains none of

$$\{dw_{i_{d+1}}^\alpha,\cdots,dw_{i_N}^\alpha\}\bigcup\{d\overline{w_1^\alpha},d\overline{w_{j_{d+2}}^\alpha},\cdots,d\overline{w_{j_N}^\alpha}\}$$

(otherwise $\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\wedge\eta_\beta=0$). There are three cases :

- $k\in\{1,i_2,\cdots,i_d\}\cap\{j_1,\cdots,j_d\}$: So $k\notin\{i_{d+1},\cdots,i_N\}\cup\{1,j_{d+2},\cdots,j_N\}$.

  Suppose that $\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\wedge\eta_\beta$ is a nonzero $(N,N-1)$ simple form with coefficient $\frac{\widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}}{2w_1^\alpha}$. Then η_β contains dw_k^α by $k\notin\{i_{d+1},\cdots,i_N\}$. We may require η_β contains no $d\overline{w_k^\alpha}$(otherwise $\eta=0$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$). Since $k\notin\{1,j_{d+2},\cdots,j_N\}$, we have

  $$\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\wedge\eta_\beta=\pm\widetilde{K}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}dw_k^\alpha\wedge\frac{dw_1^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_1^\alpha}}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge(\bigwedge_{l=2}^{k-1}dw_l^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_l^\alpha})\wedge(\bigwedge_{k+1}^{N}dw_l^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_l^\alpha}).$$

  Then,

  $$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)}\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\wedge c_\beta\eta_\beta=0$$

  by $k\notin\{i_{d+1},\cdots,i_N\}\cup\{1,j_{d+2},\cdots,j_N\}$ and the Poincaré growth of the form $(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}$.
- $k\in\{1,i_2,\cdots,i_d\}$ bur $k\notin\{j_1,\cdots,j_d\}$: So $k\in\{1,j_{d+2},\cdots,j_N\}$, and $i_{d+1}>1$. Then,

  $$\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\wedge\eta_\beta=0\ \text{ on }\ \partial T_k(\epsilon).$$

- $k \in \{j_1, \cdots, j_d\}$ but $k \notin \{1, i_2, \cdots, i_d\}$: So $k \in \{i_{d+1}, \cdots, i_N\}$ but $k \notin \{1, j_{d+2}, \cdots, j_N\}$ and $i_{d+1} > 1$. We require that η_β does not have $\overline{dw_k^\alpha}$(otherwise $\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta_\beta = 0$ on $\partial T_k(\epsilon)$).

  Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta_\beta \\ = \ &\pm \frac{(-g-1)^{N-d} \det(T^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]})}{4^{N-d}(\prod_{d+1\le l\le N}^{i_l\neq k} w^\alpha_{i_l})(\prod_{l=d+1}^{N} \overline{w_{j_l}})(F^\alpha)^{2(N-d)}(\log|w^\alpha_1|, \cdots, \log|w^\alpha_N|)} \\ &\times \frac{dw^\alpha_k}{w^\alpha_k} \wedge \frac{dw^\alpha_1 \wedge \overline{dw^\alpha_1}}{2w^\alpha_1} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=2}^{k-1} dw^\alpha_i \wedge \overline{dw^\alpha_i}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{i=k+1}^{N} dw^\alpha_i \wedge \overline{dw^\alpha_i}) \text{ on } \partial T_k(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

  The lemma 4.3 says that

$$\deg_k \det(T^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}) \le 2(N-d) \deg_k F^\alpha - 1.$$

  Therefore, we obtain

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_k(\epsilon)} \theta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge c_\beta \eta_\beta = 0.$$

  by the generalized Cauchy integral formula and the Poincaré growth of $(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}$.

□

By the lemma 4.6, we can write

$$F^\alpha(x_1, \cdots, x_N) = S^{\alpha,1}(x_2, \cdots, x_N) x_1^{\deg_1 F^\alpha} + \text{ terms of lower degree of } x_1 \ ,$$

where S_1 is a homogenous polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \cdots, \widehat{x_i}, \cdots, x_N]$ of degree $(g - \deg_1 F^\alpha)$. For any integers l, m in $[1, N]$, we define a $N \times N$ matrix $A^\alpha(1) := (A^\alpha(1)_{l,m})_{1\le l,m\le N}$ by setting

$$A^\alpha(1)_{l,m} := S^{\alpha,1} \frac{\partial^2 S^{\alpha,1}}{\partial x_l \partial x_m} - \frac{\partial S^{\alpha,1}}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial S^{\alpha,1}}{\partial x_m}. \tag{4.11.1}$$

Lemma 4.12. *The coefficient of the term* $x_1^{2(N-d)\deg_1 F^\alpha}$ *in* $\det(T^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]})$ *is*

$$\det(P^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]})$$

where $P := A^\alpha(1)$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the lemma 4.3. □

We write the real $(N-d, N-d)$-form

$$C_{N-d}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d} = (N-d)! \sum_{[i_1,\cdots,i_d],[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \varsigma^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \tag{4.12.1}$$

with $\varsigma^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} = \overline{\varsigma^{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}_{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}}$. Each term in $C_{N-d}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}$ can be written

$$\varsigma^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} = c^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \det(K^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}) \bigwedge_{l=d+1}^{N} (dw^\alpha_{i_l} \wedge \overline{dw^\alpha_{j_l}}), \tag{4.12.2}$$

such that $c^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}$ is the sign ± depending on $([i_1, \cdots, i_d], [j_1, \cdots, j_d])$, and

$$c^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} = c^{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}_{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}, \quad c^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]} = 1.$$

Lemma 4.13. *Let $P := A^{\alpha}(1)$ be a $N \times N$ matrix of polynomials given in 4.11.1. Given a d-tuple $[\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d]$ where $1 \leq \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d \leq N$, we always use $N-d$ tuple $[\alpha_{d+1}, \cdots, \alpha_N]$ to represent $[\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d]^{\circ}$, where $\{\alpha_{d+1}, \cdots, \alpha_N\} = \{1, \cdots, N\} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d\}$.*

For any two d-tuples $[1, i_2, \cdots, i_d], [1, j_2, \cdots, j_d]$, we define a rational function in $\mathbb{R}(x_2, \cdots, x_N)$

$$\xi^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}(x_2, \cdots, x_N) = \frac{\det(P^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]})(x_2, \cdots, x_N)}{(S^{\alpha,1})^{2(N-d)}(x_2, \cdots, x_N)}, \tag{4.13.1}$$

and we define a real $(N-d, N-d)$ form

$$\delta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} := \frac{C_{N-d}}{2}\Big(\big(\prod_{l=d+1}^{N} w^{\alpha}_{i_l}\overline{w^{\alpha}_{j_l}}\big)\bigwedge_{l=d+1}^{N}(dw^{\alpha}_{i_l} \wedge d\overline{w^{\alpha}_{j_l}}) + \big(\prod_{l=d+1}^{N} w^{\alpha}_{j_l}\overline{w^{\alpha}_{i_l}}\big)\bigwedge_{l=d+1}^{N}(dw^{\alpha}_{j_l} \wedge d\overline{w^{\alpha}_{i_l}})\Big).$$

Let η be an arbitrary real smooth $(d-1, d-1)$ form on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$. We have :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} C_{N-d} \int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon) \cap U_\alpha} (\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-d} \wedge \eta \wedge (\partial - \overline{\partial}) \log ||s_1||_1. \\
= \;& (-1)^{N-d+1}\Big(\frac{g+1}{4}\Big)^{N-d}(N-d)! \\
&\times\Big\{ \sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]} \int_{\{w_1=0\}} \xi^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}(\log|w^{\alpha}_2|, \cdots, \log|w^{\alpha}_N|) \frac{\delta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]} \wedge \eta}{\prod_{l=d+1}^{N} |w^{\alpha}_{i_l}|^4} \\
&+ \sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d] \neq [1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} c^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]} \int_{\{w_1=0\}} \xi^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}(\log|w^{\alpha}_2|, \cdots, \log|w^{\alpha}_N|) \frac{\delta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} \wedge \eta}{\prod_{l=d+1}^{N} |w^{\alpha}_{i_l} w^{\alpha}_{j_l}|^2}\Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

where each $c^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}$ is a sign defined in 4.12.1-4.12.2.

Proof. For any two d-tuples $[1, i_2, \cdots, i_d], [1, j_2, \cdots, j_d]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dw^{\alpha}_1}{2w^{\alpha}_1} \wedge \varsigma^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]} &= C_{N-d}\det(K^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]})\frac{dw^{\alpha}_1}{2w^{\alpha}_1} \wedge \bigwedge_{l=d+1}^{N}(dw^{\alpha}_{i_l} \wedge d\overline{w^{\alpha}_{i_l}}) \\
\frac{dw^{\alpha}_1}{2w^{\alpha}_1} \wedge \varsigma^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} &= c^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]} C_{N-d}\det(K^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]})\frac{dw^{\alpha}_1}{2w^{\alpha}_1} \wedge \bigwedge_{l=d+1}^{N}(dw^{\alpha}_{i_l} \wedge d\overline{w^{\alpha}_{j_l}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $C_{N-d}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}$ has Poincaré growth on D_∞, we get

$$|\det(K^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]})| < \frac{C}{\prod_{l=d+1}^{N}(|w^{\alpha}_{i_l}||\log|w^{\alpha}_{i_l}|)(|w^{\alpha}_{j_l}||\log|w^{\alpha}_{j_l}|)} \quad \text{on } V_0 \cap U^*_\alpha,$$

where V_0 is a sufficient small neighborhood of the origin point $(0, \cdots, 0)$ in U_α and C is a constant depending on V_0. Thus, $|\lim_{\epsilon \to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon) \cap U_\alpha} \theta([1, i_2, \cdots, i_d]) \wedge \eta|$ is finite. Moreover, we have

$$\det(K^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}) = \frac{(-g-1)^{N-d}}{4^{N-d}} \frac{\det(T^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]})(\log|w^{\alpha}_1|, \cdots, \log|w^{\alpha}_N|)}{\big(\prod_{l=d+1}^{N} w^{\alpha}_{i_l}\overline{w^{\alpha}_{j_l}}\big)(F^{\alpha})^{2(N-d)}(\log|w^{\alpha}_1|, \cdots, \log|w^{\alpha}_N|)} \text{ on } \partial T_1(\epsilon)$$

Using the generalized Cauchy integral formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_1(\epsilon)}\frac{dw_1^\alpha}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge\varsigma^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\wedge\eta\\
= \;& 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{(-g-1)^{N-d}}{4^{N-d}}\int_{\{w_1=0\}}\xi^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}(\log|w_2^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{C_{N-d}\bigwedge\limits_{l=d+1}^{N}(dw^\alpha_{i_l}\wedge d\overline{w^\alpha_{i_l}})\wedge\eta}{\prod\limits_{l=d+1}^{N}|w^\alpha_{i_l}|^2}\\
= \;& 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{(-g-1)^{N-d}}{4^{N-d}}\int_{\{w_1=0\}}\xi^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}(\log|w_2^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{\delta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\wedge\eta}{\prod\limits_{l=d+1}^{N}|w^\alpha_{i_l}|^4}
\end{aligned}$$

and if $[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]\neq[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_1(\epsilon)}\frac{dw_1^\alpha}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge(\varsigma^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}+\varsigma^{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]})\wedge\eta\\
= \;& c^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{(-g-1)^{N-d}}{4^{N-d}}\int_{\{w_1=0\}}\xi^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}(\log|w_2^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{\delta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}\wedge\eta}{\prod\limits_{l=d+1}^{N}|w^\alpha_{i_l}w^\alpha_{j_l}|^2}
\end{aligned}$$

We then have :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{C_{N-d}}{(n-d)!}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}\partial\log|w_1^\alpha|\wedge(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}\wedge\eta\\
= \;& C_{N-d}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_1(\epsilon)}I\wedge\eta+C_{N-d}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_1(\epsilon)}II\wedge\eta\\
= \;& \sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_1(\epsilon)}\frac{dw_1^\alpha}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge\varsigma^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\wedge\eta\\
&+\sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]\neq[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}\frac{1}{2}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap\partial T_1(\epsilon)}\frac{dw_1^\alpha}{2w_1^\alpha}\wedge(\varsigma^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}+\varsigma^{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]})\wedge\eta
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}C_{N-d}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-d}\wedge\eta\wedge(\partial-\overline{\partial})\log||s_1||_1. \\
= \;& \frac{-1}{2\pi}\mathrm{Im}\big(C_{N-d}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial D_\infty(\epsilon)\cap U_\alpha}\partial\log|w_1^\alpha|\wedge(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_\alpha)^{N-d}\wedge\eta\big). \\
= \;& (-1)^{N-d+1}(\frac{g+1}{4})^{N-d}(N-d)! \\
& \times\{\sum_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\int_{\{w_1=0\}}\xi^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}(\log|w_2^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{\delta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}\wedge\eta}{\prod\limits_{l=d+1}^{N}|w_{i_l}^\alpha|^4} \\
& +\sum^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]\neq[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}_{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d],[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}c^{[i_1,\cdots,i_d]}_{[j_1,\cdots,j_d]}\int_{\{w_1=0\}}\xi^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}(\log|w_2^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|)\frac{\delta^{[1,i_2,\cdots,i_d]}_{[1,j_2,\cdots,j_d]}\wedge\eta}{\prod\limits_{l=d+1}^{N}|w_{i_l}^\alpha w_{j_l}^\alpha|^2}\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

We have the following generalization of the theorem 4.8.

Theorem 4.14. *Let $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ be a neat arithmetic subgroup and $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ a $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$(or $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ regular with respect to Γ. Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ be the symmetric toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}:=\mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ constructed by $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$.*

Assume that the boundary divisor $D_\infty:=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}\setminus\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ is simple normal crossing. Let d be an integer with $1\leq d\leq\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-1$. Let $D_1,\cdots,D_d$ be d irreducible components of the boundary divisor D_∞. For each D_i($1\leq d\leq\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-1$), let $||\cdot||_i$ be an arbitrary Hermitian metric on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$.

There is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}\cdot D_1\cdots D_d \\
= \;& (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}\int_{D_i}\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d})\wedge(\bigwedge_{1\leq j\leq d,j\neq i}c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_j),||\cdot||_j))
\end{aligned}
$$

for any integer $i\in[1,\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}]$, where the operator Rest_{D_i} is defined in 4.2.1.

Moreover, the intersection number

$$(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}\cdot D_1\cdots D_d=0$$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied : (i) $g=2$; (ii) there is a $D_i\in\{D_1,\cdots,D_d\}$ constructed from an edge ρ_i in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ for some minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ such that $\mathrm{Int}(\rho)\subset C(\mathfrak{F}_{\min})$.

Remark. Let $\rho=\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}E$ be an edge in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ where E is a semi-positive $g\times g$ matrix with rational coefficients. By the lemma 2.16, if $\mathrm{rank}(E)\neq g$(i.e, $\mathrm{Int}(\rho)\subset C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$) then $\mathrm{rank}(E)=1$.

Proof. Using the proposition 4.2, we can get the integral formula for $i=1$ immediately by putting $\eta:=\bigwedge\limits_{j=2}^{d}c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_j),||\cdot||_j)$ into the lemmas 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.13. □

The theorem 2.21 and the corollary 2.25 in Section 2 imply the following claim obviously.

Claim * :*Let $D_1,\cdots,D_d$ be d($d\leq\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$) different irreducible components of D_∞. We have that $\bigcap\limits_{i=1}^{d}D_i\neq\emptyset$ if and only if there exists a minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ and a top-dimensional cone*

$\sigma_{\max}$ in the polyhedral decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} := \{\sigma_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of the convex cone $C(\mathfrak{F}_{\min})$ such that each D_i corresponds to an edge of $\sigma_{\max}$. Moreover, if $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d} D_i \neq \emptyset$ then every local chart U_α in 4.1.1 satisfying $U_\alpha \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{d} D_i \neq \emptyset$ is constructed by a top-dimensional cone $\sigma_{\max}$ in $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}}$ for some minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$, so that each D_i corresponds one-one to an edge of $\sigma_{\max}$.

Lemma 4.15. *Let p be a positive integer in $[1, N]$. Let D_i, D_j be two different irreducible components of $D_\infty = \bigcup_{k=1}^{l} D_k$ such that $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$. For any pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$, let*

$$(D_i \cap D_j)_\infty := \bigcup_{1\le k\le l, k\neq i, k\neq j} D_i \cap D_j \cap D_k \quad \text{and} \quad (D_i \cap D_j)^* := (D_i \cap D_j) - (D_i \cap D_j)_\infty.$$

We have that

$$\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i\cap D_j}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)) = \mathrm{Rest}_{D_i\cap D_j}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_j}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p))$$

and that $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i\cap D_j}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p))$ is a closed smooth form on $(D_i \cap D_j)^*$ having Poincaré growth on $(D_i \cap D_j)_\infty$, where the operators $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}, \mathrm{Rest}_{D_j}$ and $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i\cap D_j}$ are defined in 4.2.1.

Proof. For convenience, let $i = 1$ and $j = 2$. There exists a minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ and a top-dimensional cone $\sigma_{\max}$ in the polyhedral decomposition $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_{\min}} := \{\sigma_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of the convex cone $C(\mathfrak{F}_{\min})$ such that D_1, D_2 correspond to two different edges $\rho_1 := \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}E_1, \rho_2 := \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}E_2$ of $\sigma_{\max}$. Let $(U_\alpha, (w_1^\alpha, \cdots, w_N^\alpha))(N = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma})$ be a local chart as 4.1.1 such that $\{w_i^\alpha = 0\} = U_\alpha \cap D_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We write the volume $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ in the chart $(U_\alpha, (w_1^\alpha, \cdots, w_N^\alpha))$ as

$$\Phi_\alpha = \frac{(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^N \bigwedge_{1\le i\le N} dw_i^\alpha \wedge d\overline{w_i^\alpha}}{(\prod_{1\le i\le N} |w_i^\alpha|^2)(F^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|, \cdots, \log|w_N^\alpha|))^{g+1}}.$$

For convenience, let $\mathfrak{F}_{\min}$ be the standard minimal cusp $\mathfrak{F}_0$. Then, E_1 and E_2 are semi-positive $g \times g$ symmetric real matrices. By the lemma 2.16, we have

$$\mathrm{rank}(E_i) = 1 \text{ or } g \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Then, we need to check the following three cases.

- $\mathrm{rank}E_1 = g$ or $\mathrm{rank}E_2 = g$: Let $\mathrm{rank}E_1 = g$. Then,

  $$F^\alpha(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N) = \text{Constant} \cdot x_1^g + \text{ terms of lower dgeree of } x_1,$$

  and so

  $$\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p) = 0 \text{ in } U_\alpha \text{ and } \mathrm{Rest}_{D_1\cap D_2}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_2}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)) = 0 \text{ in } U_\alpha.$$

- $\mathrm{rank}E_1 = \mathrm{rank}E_2 = 1$ and there is an orthogonal $g \times g$ matrix O such that

  $$O^T E_1 O = \mathrm{diag}[\lambda_{1,1}, 0, \cdots, 0](\lambda_{1,1} > 0) \text{ and } O^T E_2 O = \mathrm{diag}[\lambda_{2,1}, 0, \cdots, 0](\lambda_{2,1} > 0):$$

  Then, the homogenous polynomial F^α has the form

  $$F^\alpha(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N) = S(x_3, \cdots, x_N) \cdot (\lambda_{1,1}x_1 + \lambda_{2,1}x_2) + \text{ terms without } x_1 \text{ and } x_2,$$

  and so $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1\cap D_2}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)) = \mathrm{Rest}_{D_1\cap D_2}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_2}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)) = 0$ in U_α.

- Otherwise, the homogenous polynomial F^α has the form

  $$F^\alpha(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N) = S(x_3, \cdots, x_N) \cdot x_1x_2 + \text{ terms without } x_1 \text{ and } x_2,$$

  and so $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1\cap D_2}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p)) = \mathrm{Rest}_{D_1\cap D_2}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_2}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^p))$ in U_α.

The rest can be obtained by using same method in the proof of the proposition 4.2. □

We observe that these $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i\cap D_j}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}))$'s$(i\neq j)$, $\mathrm{Rest}_{D_i}(\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}))$'s all have same type as $\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ and all have Poincaré growth on boundary.

Theorem 4.16. *Let* $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{Sp}(g,\mathbb{Z})$ *be a neat arithmetic subgroup and* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$ *a* $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}}$*(or* $\mathrm{GL}(g,\mathbb{Z})$*)-admissible polyhedral decomposition of* $C(\mathfrak{F}_0)$ *regular with respect to* Γ*. Let* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$ *be the symmetric toroidal compactification of* $\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}:=\mathfrak{H}_g/\Gamma$ *constructed by* $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$*.*

Assume that the boundary divisor $D_\infty:=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}\setminus\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ *is simple normal crossing. Let* d *be an integer with* $1\leq d\leq\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-1$ *and let* $D_1,\cdots,D_d$ *be any* d *different irreducible components of the boundary divisor* D_∞ *such that* $\bigcap\limits_{k=1}^{d}D_k\neq\emptyset$*. We have :*

1. *If* $d\geq g-1$ *then the intersection number*

$$D_1^{i_1}\cdots D_d^{i_d}\cdot(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-\sum_{k=1}^{d}i_k}=0$$

for any d *positive integers* $i_1,\cdots,i_d$ *with* $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{d}i_k<\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$*.*

2. *The divisor* $K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty$ *is not ample on* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}$*.*
3. *Define* $D^{(1)}:=D_1,D^{(2)}:=D^{(1)}\cap D_2,\cdots,D^{(d)}:=D^{(d-1)}\cap D_d$*. For* $d<g-1$*, there is*

$$\begin{aligned}&(K_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}+D_\infty)^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}\cdot D_1\cdots D_d\\ =&\int_{\bigcap\limits_{k=1}^{d}D_k}\mathrm{Rest}_{D^{(d)}}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D^{(d-1)}}\cdots(\mathrm{Rest}_{D^{(1)}}((\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}))\cdots)\end{aligned}$$

where the operators $\mathrm{Rest}_{D^{(k)}}$ $k=1,\cdots,d$ *are defined in 4.2.1.*

Proof. The statement (2) is a direct consequence of the statement (1). For each i, let $||\cdots||_i$ be an arbitrary Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_i)$. Using estimates in the lemmas 4.9-4.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{D_1}\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d})\wedge(\bigwedge_{l=2}^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_l),||\cdot||_l))\\ =&\int_{D_1\cap D_2}\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1\cap D_2}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D_1}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}-d}))\wedge(\bigwedge_{l=3}^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}}c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}}(D_l),||\cdot||_l))\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can finish proving statements (1) and (3) in this theorem by recurrence since all local volume functions have degree g. ☐

Example 4.17. Suppose that $d(<g-1)$ different irreducible components $D_1,\cdots.D_d$ of $D_\infty:=\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,\Gamma}\setminus\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ has $\bigcap\limits_{l=1}^{d}D_l\neq\emptyset$. Let $(U_\alpha,(w_1^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha))(N=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{A}_{g,\Gamma})$ be a local chart as 4.1.1 such that $\{w_i^\alpha=0\}=U_\alpha\cap D_i$ for all $i=1,\cdots,d$. The following is an algorithm processor to produce

$$\chi_\alpha:=\mathrm{Rest}_{D^{(d)}}(\mathrm{Rest}_{D^{(d-1)}}\cdots\mathrm{Rest}_{D^{(1)}}((\partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_{g,\Gamma})^{N-d}))|_{U_\alpha\cap\bigcap\limits_{l=1}^{d}D_l}.$$

00: Begin

10: Input $N=g(g+1)/2$ and the volume $\Phi_{g,\Gamma}$ in the local chart $(U_\alpha,(w_1^\alpha,\cdots,w_N^\alpha))$ as

$$\Phi_\alpha=\frac{(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2})^N\bigwedge\limits_{1\leq i\leq N}dw_i^\alpha\wedge d\overline{w_i^\alpha}}{(\prod_{1\leq i\leq N}|w_i^\alpha|^2)(F^\alpha(\log|w_1^\alpha|,\cdots,\log|w_N^\alpha|))^{g+1}},$$

```
    where $F^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \cdots, x_N]$ is a homogenous polynomial in of degree $g$.
    Let $k = 0$ and let $S_0(x_1, \cdots, x_N) := F^{\alpha}(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$.
20: Let $f(x_1, \cdots, x_N) := S_k(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$ and $q := k + 1$.
30: Let $k = q$ and let $n := \deg_k f(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$. Write
        $f = S_k(x_{k+1}, \cdots, x_N) x_k^n + \text{terms of lower degree of } x_k.$
40: Let $P = (P_{lm})_{1 \leq l,m \leq N}$ be a $N \times N$ matrix given by
        $P_{lm} = S_k \frac{\partial^2 S_k}{\partial x_l \partial x_m} - \frac{\partial S_k}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial S_k}{\partial x_m}.$
    Let $g_k(x_{k+1}, \cdots x_N) := \det(P^{[1,2,\cdots,d]}_{[1,2,\cdots,d]})$.
50: If $k < d$ then goto 20. Otherwise, output
        $\chi_{\alpha} = (-1)^{N-d} (\frac{g+1}{4})^{N-d} (N-d)!$
        $\quad \times \frac{g_d(\log|w^{\alpha}_{d+1}|, \cdots, \log|w^{\alpha}_N|)}{S_d(\log|w^{\alpha}_{d+1}|, \cdots, \log|w^{\alpha}_N|)^{2(N-d)}} \frac{\bigwedge_{i=d+1}^{N} dw^{\alpha}_i \wedge d\overline{w^{\alpha}_i}}{(\prod_{i=d+1}^{N} |w^{\alpha}_i|)^2}.$
60: End.
```

References

[AMRT] Ash, A.; Mumford, D.; Rapoport, M.; Tai, Y. :*Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties.* Lie Groups: History, Frontiers and Applications, Vol. IV. Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, Mass., 1975. iv+335 pp.

[BB66] Baily, W.L. & Borel, A. :*Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains.* Ann. of Math. 84(1966) 442528.

[BJ] Borel, A. & Ji, L. :*Compactifications of symmetric and locally symmetric spaces.* Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.

[CCK79] Carlson, J. ; Cattani, E. & Kaplan, A. :*Mixed Hodge structures and compactifications of Siegel's space(preliminary report).* Journes de Géometrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979, pp. 77–105, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn—Germantown, Md., 1980.

[Chai] Chai, C.-L. :*Siegel moduli schemes and their compactifications over* $\mathbb{C}$. Arithmetic geometry(Storrs, Conn., 1984), 231–251, Springer, New York, 1986.

[CK87] Cattani,E. ; Kaplan, A. :*Degenerating variations of Hodge structure.* Actes du Colloque de Thorie de Hodge(Luminy, 1987). Astrisque No. 179-180 (1989), 9, 67–96.

[CKS86] Cattani,E. ; Kaplan, A. & Schmid, W. :*Degeneration of Hodge structures.* Ann. Math., **38**,(1986), 457-535.

[Del71] Deligne, P. :*Théorie de Hodge II.* I.H.É.S. Publ. Math. **40**(1971) 5–57

[Del73] Deligne, P. :*Espaces hermitiens symétriques.* Notes from a seminar at IHES, Spring 1973. English version :*Deligne' Notes on Symmetric Hermitian Spaces.* Notes taken by Milne, J.S. at website http://www.jmilne.org/math/

[Del79] Deligne, P. :*Variétés de Shimura: interpretation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modeles canoniqes.* Proc. Symp. Pure Math., A.M.S. 33, part 2, 1979, pp. 247-290.

[Fal] Faltings, G. :*Moduli spaces.* Rational points(Bonn, 1983/1984), 1–32, Aspects Math., E6, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1984.

[FC] Faltings, G. & Chai, C-L :*Degeneration of abelian varieties. With an appendix by David Mumford.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete(3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas(3)], 22. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[Ful] Fulton, W. :*Introduction to toric varieties.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, 131. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[Gri] *Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry.* Proceedings of a seminar held at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., during the academic year 1981/1982. Edited by Phillip Griffiths. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 106. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.

[Hel] Helgason, S. :*Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces.* Corrected reprint of the 1978 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 34. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[Igu67] Igusa, J. :*A desingularization problem in the theory of Siegel modular functions.* Math. Ann. 168 1967 228–260.

[KKMS] Kempf,G.; Knudsen,F.; Mumford.D and Saint-Donat, B. :*Toroidal Embeddings I*, Springer, LNM 339,1973.

[KW65] Korányi,A. & Wolf,J.A. :*Generalized Cayley transformations of bounded symmetric domains.* Amer. J. Math. 87 1965 899–939.

[KU] Kato, K. & Usui, S.:*Classifying spaces of degenerating polarized Hodge structures.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, 169. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.

[Mil] Milne, J.S. :*Alegraic Groups, Lie Groups, and their Arithmetic Subgroups.* Version 2.00, 2010. E-book at website http://www.jmilne.org/math/

[Mil90] Milne, J. S. :*Canonical models of(mixed) Shimura varieties and automorphic vector bundles.* Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. I(Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), 283C414, Perspect. Math., 10, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990

[Mum77] Mumford,D. :*Hirzebruch's proportionality theorem in the non-compact case.* Inventiones math., **42**(1977), 239-272.

[Nam] Namikawa, Y. :*Toroidal compactification of Siegel spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 812. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[Sch73] Schmid, W. :*Variation of Hodge Structure: The singularities of the period mapping.* Invent. math. **22**(1973) 211–319

[Sie43] Siegel, C.L. :*Symplectic geometry.* Amer. J. Math. 65,(1943). 1–86.

[Sat] Satake, I. :*Algebraic structures of symmetric domains.* Kanô Memorial Lectures, 4. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo; Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[T-Y86] Tian, G.; Yau, S.-T. :*Existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on complete Kähler manifolds and their applications to algebraic geometry.* Mathematical aspects of string theory(San Diego, Calif., 1986), 574–628, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., 1, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987.

[Wang93] Wang, W. :*On the smooth compactification of Siegel spaces.* J. Differential Geom. 38(1993), no. 2, 351–386.

[Yau78] Yau, S.-T. :*On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation*, I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31**(1978), 339-411.

[Yau93] Yau, S.-T. :*A splitting theorem and an algebraic geometric characterization of locally Hermitian symmetric spaces.* Comm. Anal. Geom. 1(1993), no. 3-4, 473–486.

[Y-Z2010] Yau, S.-T. ; Zhang, Y. :*Hodge bundles on smooth compactifications of Siegel varieties and applications.* preprint.

[Zuc81] Zucker, S. :*Locally homogeneous variations of Hodge structure.* Enseign. Math.(2) 27(1981), no. 3-4, 243–276(1982).

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: `yau@math.harvard.edu`

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
E-mail address: `zhangyi_math@fudan.edu.cn, zhangyi.alex@gmail.com`